

No	Remarks / Questions						Decision	
	Line number	Document / Section	Remark Type	Criticality	Remark Description	Reporter	Decision	Explanation
1	365-387	6A.3	T-Typo	Minor	4) - 11): "1) - 8) " is correct numbering.	NTTDOCOMO	Corrected	
2	370	6A.3	Q-Question	Minor	What do you mean by "eSIM Easy Setup Mode" ? Is there a specific definition of implementation on the Mediator ?	NTTDOCOMO	Explained	It is the mode that enables cellular network setting and configuration of remote SIM provisioning as defined in the 3.1.X10. Resources to read/be updated from Mediator are different for Wi-Fi Easy Setup and eSIM Easy Setup. And, typical Wi-Fi Easy Setup operation is that Mediator delivers separate Enroller(AP device) 's Wi-Fi access information to the Enrollee. Mediator needs to know it is eSIM Easy Setup Mode to decide whether it sends its own Wi-Fi access information instead of sending AP information of separate Enroller to the Enrollee.
3	378	6A.3	I-Incomplete	Major	"The Mediator transmits its Wi-Fi Setting Information to the Enrollee." The Word "Wi-Fi" should be deleted so that the other protocol (e.g. Bluetooth) can also be accepted.	NTTDOCOMO	Accepted	Added Note
4	410, 444, 453	6B 2.2 Table X1 6B 3.2 Table X5 6B 3.2 Table X7	I-Incomplete	Major	"Example URI" "URI" These descriptions are example or definition ? We believe this should be definition to avoid fragmentation.	NTTDOCOMO	Explained/ Corrected	These descriptions are "example URI". It is OCF convention to provide "example URI" instead of specifying "URI". In the process of OCF device and its Resource discovery, Resource name(s) and its mapping URI(s) can be found. The column Title in 6B 3.2 Table X5, and 6B 3.2 Table X7 are updated to "Example URI".
5	412	6B 2.2 Table X2	T-Typo	Minor	Property title -> RSP Last Error Code Description "See more details in the Table X2": The following description is correct. "See more details in the Table X4"	NTTDOCOMO	Corrected	

Remarks / Questions							Decision	
No	Line number	Document / Section	Remark Type	Criticality	Remark Description	Reporter	Decision	Explanation
6	427	6B 2.2 Table X3	E-Error	Major	It describes that "User Confirmation Pending"(at first line) corresponds to "ES9+ AuthenticateClient(Success)" in SGP.22. However, it turns to "User Confirmation Pending" although the necessity of Confirmation code is ambiguous since ProfileMetaData is not retrieved at this moment. So it is difficult to decide the UI of the Mediator with this ambiguity. Our proposal to resolve this is: - to involve the procedure 3 to 7 in Figure11 of SGP.22 into the initiated status - to transit to "User Confirmation Pending" after "ES10b.GetRAT(Success)"or"ES10b.GetProfilesInfo(Success)"	NTTDOCOMO	Added description under Figure X9 –eSIM Easy Setup Flow (Informative) for clarification	RSP Procedure Status ("ps")is <i>observable</i> value, which means OCF Server shall need to send NOTIFICATION to OCF Client whenever the observable value changes. The procedure OCF has considered (description is also included under Figure X5 (previously Figure X9) is as follows : 1. Once LPA receives "ES9+ AuthenticateClient(Success)", then OCF Server updates its "ps" value to "User Confirmation Pending". At this point, OCF Client gets NOTIFICATION from OCF Server on the "ps" value change. 2. OCF Client requests eSIM Profile Metadata (“pm”) and Confirmation Code Required (“ccr”) to OCF Server. OCF Server retrieves the information from LPA, and then returns those values to the OCF Client. 3. UI in the Mediator displays eSIM Profile information and requests Confirmation Code (if required) 4. Upon an end user input, OCF Client sends UPDATE request message to set the value of RSP End User Consent (“euc”). 5. LPA gets "end user consent" in SGP.22 from RSP End User Consent (“euc”) value in OCF Server. If RSP End User Consent (“euc”) value is either “Download OK” or “Download and Enable OK”, then, OCF Server shall change RSP Procedure Status (“ps”) to “Confirmation received”, and the value change is NOTIFIED to the OCF Client.
7	427	6B 2.2 Table X3	I-Incomplete	Minor	It describes that "Installed" corresponds to "ES10b.LoadBoundProfilePackage(Success)" in SGP.22. However, when it turns to "Installed", the IP tethering needs to be destroyed. Therefore, just in case, it should wait for the following result "ES9+.HandleNotification(Success)" which comes from Server side. (Refer to SGP.22 Fig14)	NTTDOCOMO	Explained	Specific point when IP tethering is destroyed after receiving "installed" is implementation specific. LPA can use cellular network of the installed eSIM Profile to send ES9+.HandleNotification(ProfileInstallationResult) and receive "ES9+.HandleNotification(Success)" in SGP.22 Fig14 even after IP tethering is destroyed.
8	433	6B 2.2 Table X4	U-Unclear/Ambiguous	Major	Last Error Code "6A 80(HEX)" "69 85(HEX)": The word "(HEX)" is unnecessary because Value Type of this code is string. There is also the possibility that vendors might be confused whether or not to include "(HEX)" to program code.	NTTDOCOMO	Accepted	Deleted "(HEX)"

No	Remarks / Questions						Decision	
	Line number	Document / Section	Remark Type	Criticality	Remark Description	Reporter	Decision	Explanation
9	434	6B 2.2	I-Incomplete	Minor	Note 1: This sentence is unnecessary for the reason above.	NTTDOCOMO	Accepted	Deleted the NOTE
10	445	6B 3.2	T-Typo	Improvement	"Table X2 defines the...": The following description is correct. "Table X6 defines the..."	NTTDOCOMO	Corrected	
11	446	6B 3.2 Table X6	U-Unclear/Ambiguous	Major	"eUICC information" is ambiguous. The description after "Comprises..." should be clearly defined referring to "EUICCInfo1" and "EUICCInfo2" in SGP.22 Annex.H to avoid the fragmentation. Also, "eUICC Information" is defined as one single item in Table X6. However, in SGP.22	NTTDOCOMO	Accepted (Partially)	The description after "Comprises..." clearly defined referring to "EUICCInfo2" defined in GSMA SGP.22. Since "EUICCInfo1" is the subset of "EUICCInfo2" and "EUICCInfo2" is required for eligibility check and capability negotiation anyway, OCF does not see the needs to define additional Property for "EUICCInfo1".
12	456	6B 4.2 Table X8	U-Unclear/Ambiguous	Major	"eUICC Profile MetaData" is ambiguous. The description after "Comprises..." should be clearly defined referring to "ProfileInfo" in SGP.22 Annex.H to avoid the fragmentation.	NTTDOCOMO	Accepted	The description after "Comprises..." clearly defined referring to "ProfileInfo" in SGP.22
13	458-464	7	Q-Question	Improvement	The connection between Enrollee and Mediator can also be other IP connection than Wi-Fi. We believe one of the major example is Bluetooth so it would be better to put it too (e.g. Bluetooth PAN).	NTTDOCOMO	Accepted	Added Note
14	514	8.4 X2	I-Incomplete	Improvement	"end user consent" might be better to be replaced by "end user confirmation" since "end user consent" is already defined in SGP.22 as a term related to Policy rules.	NTTDOCOMO	Accepted	It is changed to RSP End User Consent.
15	530	8.5 Figure X9	U-Unclear/Ambiguous	Minor	Sequence 23: "Downloading an eSIM profile from SM-DP+" It would be better to clarify the download is done via Mediator and the user interface is basically provided by the Mediator. Therefore, our proposal to amend is below: "Downloading an eSIM profile from SM-DP+ (via Mediator)"	NTTDOCOMO	Explained	Added description under Figure X5 (previously Figure X9) –eSIM Easy Setup Flow (Informative) could be enough to explain your concern.

No	Remarks / Questions						Decision	
	Line number	Document / Section	Remark Type	Criticality	Remark Description	Reporter	Decision	Explanation
16	412	6B 2.2 Table X2	U-Unclear/Ambiguous		RSP Last Error Description should be read only because the Mediator does not need to change this and likewise, it is not clear why the Confirmation Code requirements property is read/write when its value should come from the LPA (based on the activation code or a request from the SM-DP+)	Microsoft	Accepted	It is correct that the LPA sets "Confirmation Code Required" flag based upon the Activation Code or smdpSigned2 data object, but the intention is that the Mediator can also set the value obtaining an Activation Code from, e.g., QR code or Entitlement Server. But, OCF agreed that "Confirmation Code Required" would be "read only" as the Mediator should fetch that value later anyway. RSP Last Error Description ("led") was defined "read/write". The intention was to handle error message may exist from end user interaction(s). OCF assumed that those error messages may need to be delivered to either OCF Client or LPA. However, with no critical error cases to put "write", OCF decided to change it to "read only".