

GSMA

<http://www.gsma.com/>

OPG #84 Meeting Minutes

Author:	Tom Van Pelt	Email:	tpelt@gsma.com
		Tel:	+32 479 232846
Date:	22/03/2022	Time:	13:00 – 15:00 GMT
Subject:	OPG #84 Meeting Minutes		
Operator Attendees:			
CK Hutchison	Sandra Ondrusova	sandra.ondrusova@hwleurope.com <i>Chair</i>	
China Unicom	Gao Chen	cheng96@chinaunicom.cn	
KDDI	Toshi Wakayama	to-wakayama@kddi.com	
NTT DOCOMO	Junpei Uoshima	junpei.uoshima.mt@nttdocomo.com	
	Sora Funayama	sora.funayama.ag@nttdocomo.com	
Orange	Rida Zouaoui	rida.zouaoui@orange.com	
Telefonica	Alexandre Harmand	alexander.harmand@telefonica.com	
Telus	Mohamed Eldakrouy	mohamed.eldakrouy@telus.com	
TIM	Mario Madella	mario.madella@telecomitalia.it	
	Antonella Napolitano	antonia.napolitano@telecomitalia.it	
T-Mobile	Murat Karabulut	murat.karabulut@t-mobile.com	
Industry Participants			
Capgemini engineering	Deepak Gunjal	deepak.a.gunjal@capgemini.com	
Ericsson	Bart van Kaathoven	bart.van.kaathoven@ericsson.com	
GS Lab	Vikram Barate	vikram.barate@gslab.com	
Intel	Neal Oliver	neal.oliver@intel.com	
Microsoft	Bahar Sadeghi	bahar.sadeghi@microsoft.com	
MobileEdgeX	Heather Blanchard	heather.blanchard@mobilegex.com	
	Christoph Goertz	christoph.goertz.external@mobilegex.com	
	Thomas Vits	thomas.vits@mobilegex.com	
Nokia	Padmakumar Subramani	padmakumar.subramani@nokia.com	
ZTE	Zhijun Li	li.zhijun3@zte.com.cn	
GSMA Attendees:	Tom Van Pelt	tpelt@gsma.com	
	Mark Cornall	mcornall@gsma.com	
Other Attendees:			
Absent / apologies:			

ALL GSM ASSOCIATION MEETINGS ARE HELD IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE GSMA'S [ANTI-TRUST](#) AND IPR COMPLIANCE POLICY

Security Classification		
Non-Confidential	Project Team or Group	X

© GSMA, 2022. The GSM Association (“Association”) makes no representation, warranty or undertaking (express or implied) with respect to and does not accept any responsibility for, and disclaims liability for the accuracy or completeness or timeliness of the information contained in this document. The information contained in this document may be subject to change without prior notice. This document has been classified according to the GSMA [Document Confidentiality Policy](#).

1. GSMA Antitrust Policy and Agenda

GSMA Anti-trust and US entity list stated were noted.

Agenda for the meeting was approved.

2. OPG#83 Meeting minutes

No comments were made.

Minutes of OPG#83 were approved.

3. OPG.02 CR1002

No comments were raised to OPG.02 CR1002

No objection was raised to approving OPG.02 CR1002

Decision1: OPG.02 CR1002 approved by OPG

4. Lessons learnt feedback

Tom presented the feedback from the lessons learnt survey (see OPG_84_Doc_01)

- Neal provided some feedback in the meeting
 - Increasing the meeting frequency would not work given the load on people's schedules making it difficult to fit in meetings at other times than the regular slots. Decreasing the meeting frequency is unlikely to help much
 - There are too many topics to be handled to cover them properly, but that will likely resolve itself over time as topics are finalised. Attention may shift to the APIs and implementation though. So Workload should be balanced taking both OPG and OPAG into account.
 - Only one separate breakout meeting was organised for topic C. Will try to do better in future

Actions following the feedback will be proposed during OPG#85.

5. MWC Las Vegas Release Planning

Tom presented the planning for the next release(s) including the proposal to organise a face-to-face meeting

- No comments were raised during the meeting

6. Topic Prioritisation for MWC Las Vegas release

Tom presented the results from the topic prioritisation survey.

Alexandre commented that not all topics may have been clearly defined, using topic J as an example indicating that according to him and based on the OPAG activities, this would mostly have been covered already.

The items on the backlog associated with that topic had been taken straight from the open items as indicated in section 1.2 of the PRD and had been placed there as part of the CRs introducing the related topic in phase 3. So likely they are items to be covered still. However, it is expected that topic owners and contributors will analyse what needs to be done for their topic which (at least in theory) could lead to the conclusion that removal of the item in section 1.2 is the only change required.

Sandra volunteered to act as a topic owner for topic M (Network Slicing as a Service) and indicated that owners were required also for topic H.

ACTION: All OPG members to consider stepping up as topic owner for topic H by OPG#85 (and with lower priority for A and C in case of swift progress on the initial topics)

7. AOB

Next OPG call (OPG#85) will be on 29nd March covering process change proposals and clarifications based on the feedback from the lessons learnt survey and assignment of the topic owners.

Sandra asked whether other participants were also missing reminders for the meeting. It was clarified that Infocentre seems to strip those when distributing the mails to the members, likely to avoid that people just monitoring an activity from a distance get swamped in reminders for meetings that they don't plan to attend. Participants should thus enable the reminders manually.

#84 Call closed at 13:55 GMT

Action points log

Open action points

Action number	Description	Status	Notes
OPG73.01	Sandra to reach out to TSG chair and confirm what type of devices are in their scope and what is the progress of their work for possible future presentation about URSP rule processing logic	Open	Discussions has started
OPG85.01	All OPG members to consider stepping up as topic owner for topic H by OPG#85 (and with lower priority for topics A and C in case of swift progress on the initial topics)	Open	

Closed action points

Action number	Description	Status	Notes
OPG83.01	OPG Members to provide topics to be included in Phase 4 prioritisation by email to the GSMA by 11 th March 2022 COB (other than those listed in section 1.2 of the baseline CR to the PRD)	Closed	Topic prioritisation including additional proposed topics done and presented in OPG#84
OPG83.02	PRD Editor (Tom, GSMA) to upload the drat CR for the new version of OPG.02 including all CRs agreed CRs into IC2 and share with OPG members for offline review	Closed	CR was shared and was approved in OPG#84

Decisions

Decision1	OPG.02 CR1002 approved by OPG