GSMA

http://www.gsma.com/

OPG #89 Meeting Minutes

Author:	Tom Van Pelt	**Email:**	tpelt@gsma.com
		Tel:	+32 479 232846
Date:	03/05/2022	**Time:**	13:00 – 15:00 BST
Subject:	OPG #89 Meeting Minutes		
Operator Attendees:			
CK Hutchison *Chair*	Sandra Ondrusova	sandra.ondrusova@hwleurope.com	
America Movil	Roberto Hernandez	roberto.hernandez@americamovil.com	
China Unicom	Gao Chen	cheng96@chinaunicom.cn	
KDDI	Toshi Wakayama	to-wakayama@kddi.com	
Orange	Rida Zouaoui	rida.zouaoui@orange.com	
Singtel	Darren Neo	darren.neo@singtel.com	
TIM	Mario Madella	mario.madella@telecomitalia.it	
Telefonica	Juan Carlos Garcia	juancarlos.garcia1@telefonica.com	
Telus	Mohamed Eldakroury	mohamed.eldakroury@telus.com	
T-Mobile	Murat Karabulut	murat.karabulut@t-mobile.com	
Industry Participants			
Capgemini engineering	Deepak Gunjal	deepak.a.gunjal@capgemini.com	
Dell	Jeffrey Goldberg	Jeffrey.Goldberg@Dell.com	
Ericsson	Bart van Kaathoven	bart.van.kaathoven@ericsson.com	
GS Lab	Vikram Barate Amit Wankhede	vikram.barate@gslab.com amit.wankhede@gslab.com	
Huawei	Ulrich Kleber	ulrich.kleber@huawei.com	
Intel	Neal Oliver	neal.oliver@intel.com	
Microsoft	Bahar Sadeghi	bahar.sadeghi@microsoft.com	
Nokia	Timo Perala	timo.perala@nokia.com	
Optare Solutions	Fernando Lamela	flamela@optaresolutions.com	
GSMA Attendees:	Tom Van Pelt Mark Cornall	tpelt@gsma.com mcornall@gsma.com	
Other Attendees:			
Absent / apologies:			

ALL GSM ASSOCIATION MEETINGS ARE HELD IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE GSMA'S ANTI-TRUST AND IPR COMPLIANCE POLICY

Security Classification		
Non-Confidential	Project Team or Group	X

© GSMA, 2022. The GSM Association ("Association") makes no representation, warranty or undertaking (express or implied) with respect to and does not accept any responsibility for, and disclaims liability for the accuracy or completeness or timeliness of the information contained in this document. The information contained in this document may be subject to change without prior notice. This document has been classified according to the GSMA Document Confidentiality Policy.

1. GSMA Antitrust Policy and Agenda

GSMA Anti-trust and US entity list stated were noted.

Agenda for the meeting was approved.

2. OPG#88 Meeting minutes

No comments were made.

Minutes of OPG#88 were approved.

3. TEC Forum: Commercial Requirements Taskforce

Juan Carlos introduced the TEC Forum activities, focussing on the Commercial Requirements Taskforce (OPG_89_Doc_03).

It was asked whether Network Slice as a Service was being considered. TEC Forum is including Network as a Service in the scope of the customer interviews, but not covering network slicing specifically. Customers are not familiar with the concept yet and would thus not know what to ask for. If useful, additional questions could be prepared for the customer survey questionnaire. Participation in the customer interviews themselves is also possible.

The 5G Applications group mentioned is a subgroup of the Internet Group and the Federation interfaces in the roadmap refer to the work covered by OPAG.

4. MWC Las Vegas Release Planning

Tom presented the planning and status of the MWC Las Vegas release. A deadline of 17th May has been set for contributions for the F2F Meeting. The registration for that meeting has closed now.

5. CN0001R1 Topic M- Enhanced Network capabilities exposure

Sandra presented the first revision of the Concept Note for Topic M. Several comments were made requiring updates and clarifications in the next revision, among others:

- Even if the NSI is terminated on the UPF (and thus wouldn't include the EAS), from a developer's perspective it's the full path between UE/client and application server that is relevant. Application Instance placement should therefore be done taking the SLAs agreed with (/requested by) the developer into account.
- Is there value in imposing an initial limitation on the EAS only serving one slice? Given that an EAS can support multiple UPFs there seems no value in doing so.

- Given that access to a network slice instance depends on the subscription parameters of the user/the device, the OP may need to get authorisation to manage that.
- Requirements will be needed for the interaction between the OP and the PCF to set the URSP rules.
- The orchestration of network slices shouldn't be in the OP's scope but requirements will be needed for the interaction between the OP and network slice manager

6. CN0004 Topic H- Edge Interconnection Network (EIN)

Vikram presented the initial version of the Concept Note for Topic H. Several comments were made requiring updates and clarifications in the next revision, among others:

- Focus should be more on the Edge Interconnection Network itself and the OP's interaction with that rather than on the use cases that would use the interconnection network. The use cases may be good to get an understanding on what the interconnection network needs to provide, but most use cases that depend on the EIN should be transparent to the OP and the network because it should enable IP communication between edge instances.
- The OP's interaction may be enabling that IP communication, monitoring the availability and use thereof, provide charging data for its use, etc.
- Edge Node Sharing may be another case that depends on the edge interconnection network. Like roaming, it may involve interaction between OPs to enable use of the edge interconnection network that will require enhancements to the EWBI.
- Potentially a phasing could be done first focussing on the intra-network cases using just the SBI, extending to the inter-network cases that would also require support on the EWBI
- The SBI for the interconnection network is on the edge between SBI-NR (as it is about interacting with a network, even if it wouldn't depend on the NEF) and the SBI-CR (as it is about communication between the Cloudlets). It's good to clarify that in the CR to avoid confusion.
- Enhancements to the interfaces sections should be done to the existing sections for the affected interfaces. New subsections directly under that would come only if there's architectural changes requiring the introduction of a new interface for the OP.

7. AOB

Next OPG call (OPG#90) will be on 10th May. Concept notes for discussion in OPG#89 should be available by 28th April.

A second F2F will be organised in autumn for the MWC Barcelona release, either in the week of 26th September or that of 17th October. Candidate hosts are invited.

#89 Call closed at 15:00 BST

Action points log

Open action points

Action number	Description	Status	Notes

Closed action points

Action number	Description	Status	Notes
OPG88.01	All OPG members to review the proposed LS to announce the availability of OPG.02 v2.0 by 29th April (OPG_88_Doc_05) and comment if issues are found.	Closed	No comments raised. LS sent.

Decisions