

# GSMA

<http://www.gsma.com/>

## OPG #90 Meeting Minutes

<b>Author:</b>	Tom Van Pelt	<b>Email:</b>	tpelt@gsma.com
		<b>Tel:</b>	+32 479 232846
<b>Date:</b>	10/05/2022	<b>Time:</b>	13:00 – 15:00 BST
<b>Subject:</b>	OPG #90 Meeting Minutes		
<b>Operator Attendees:</b>			
CK Hutchison	Sandra Ondrusova	sandra.ondrusova@hwleurope.com	
	<i>Chair</i>		
America Movil	Roberto Jorge Hernandez Perez	roberto.hernandez@americamovil.com	
BT	Milan Lalovic	milan.lalovic@bt.com	
China Unicom	Gao Chen	cheng96@chinaunicom.cn	
KDDI	Toshi Wakayama	to-wakayama@kddi.com	
NTT Docomo	Junpei Uoshima	junpei.uoshima.nt@nttdocomo.com	
Orange	Rida Zouaoui	rida.zouaoui@orange.com	
Singtel	Darren Neo	darren.neo@singtel.com	
TIM	Antonella Napolitano	antonia.napolitano@telecomitalia.it	
Telefonica	Alexandre Harmand Jesus Maria Martin Garcia	alexander.harmand@telefonica.com jesusmaria.martingarcia@telefonica.com	
Telus	Mohamed Eldakrouy	mohamed.eldakrouy@telus.com	
T-Mobile	Murat Karabulut	murat.karabulut@t-mobile.com	
<b>Industry Participants</b>			
Capgemini engineering	Shamik Mishra	shamik.mishra@altran.com	
	<i>Deputy-Chair</i>		
Capgemini engineering	Deepak Gunjal	deepak.a.gunjal@capgemini.com	
Dell	Jeffrey Goldberg	Jeffrey.Goldberg@Dell.com	
Ericsson	Bart van Kaathoven Magnus Olsson	bart.van.kaathoven@ericsson.com magnus.m.olsson@ericsson.com	
GS Lab	Vikram Barate Amit Wankhede	vikram.barate@gslab.com amit.wankhede@gslab.com	
Huawei	Ulrich Kleber	ulrich.kleber@huawei.com	
Intel	Neal Oliver	neal.oliver@intel.com	
Nokia	Timo Perala Padmakumar Subramani	timo.perala@nokia.com padmakumar.subramani@nokia.com	
Optare Solutions	Fernando Lamela Santiago Rodrigues	flamela@optaresolutions.com srodriguez@optaresolutions.com	
ZTE	Zhijun Li Lijuan Chen	li.zhijun3@zte.com.cn chen.lijuan@zte.com.cn	

<b>GSMA Attendees:</b>	Tom Van Pelt Mark Cornall Gemicha West	tpelt@gsma.com mcornall@gsma.com gwest@gsma.com
<b>Other Attendees:</b>		
<b>Absent / apologies:</b>		

**ALL GSM ASSOCIATION MEETINGS ARE HELD IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE GSMA'S [ANTI-TRUST](#) AND IPR COMPLIANCE POLICY**

<i>Security Classification</i>		
Non-Confidential	Project Team or Group	X

© GSMA, 2022. The GSM Association (“Association”) makes no representation, warranty or undertaking (express or implied) with respect to and does not accept any responsibility for, and disclaims liability for the accuracy or completeness or timeliness of the information contained in this document. The information contained in this document may be subject to change without prior notice. This document has been classified according to the GSMA [Document Confidentiality Policy](#).

**1. GSMA Antitrust Policy and Agenda**

GSMA Anti-trust and US entity list stated were noted.

Agenda for the meeting was approved, reversing topics H and E to accommodate availability issues.

**2. OPG#89 Meeting minutes**

No comments were made.

Minutes of OPG#89 were approved.

**3. MWC Las Vegas Release Planning**

Tom presented the planning and status of the MWC Las Vegas release. A deadline of 17<sup>th</sup> May has been set for contributions for the F2F Meeting. The registration for that meeting has been extended to 18<sup>th</sup> May.

**4. CN0003R2 Topic J- Enhanced Network capabilities exposure**

Fernando presented the second revision of the Concept Note for Topic J. Some comments were made requiring updates and clarifications in the next revision, among others:

- SBI-NR is not covered by CAMARA. So that shouldn't be referenced as the standardised solution for that interface.
- The picture on the slide describing architectural impact is related to edge node sharing which may not be relevant when it comes to network capabilities exposure.
- The OP may have to translate 3GPP provided analytics information to a format that makes sense to expose northbound to a developer.

- Also capabilities other than analytics may have to be considered for charging purposes

## 5. CN0004R2 Topic H- Edge Interconnection Network (EIN)

Vikram presented the second revision of the Concept Note for Topic H. Several comments were made requiring updates and clarifications in the next revision, among others:

- The SBI-CR is assumed to be an interface to interact with existing cloud solutions and may not cover the functionality required for interacting with the EIN.
- Interaction between the OP and the Application (Instances) to support their access to the EIN may need to be considered.
- Given tenant isolation edge instances on different ECs may by default not have communication between them and the OP may have to interact with the application and/or the EIN to enable that.
- Would the application need an API to interact with the OP when it needs to use the EIN
- Edge node sharing seems to be excluded by the out of scope topics, but may have to be added
- "OP Interaction" may have to be defined clearly because there's ambiguity on whether it applies only to SBI-CR or also to SBI-NR (e.g. network monitoring).

## 6. CN0002R3 Topic E – Cellular Service Continuity

Deepak presented the second revision of the Concept Note for Topic E. Several comments were made requiring updates and clarifications in the next revision, among others:

- The direction of the handover between 5G and 4G or non-3GPP access may have to be included in the analysis because the effects may not be symmetrical.
- Differences in QoS models (and other aspects) between access technologies may be hard to make abstraction of and therefore the application layer may have to be made aware of (imminent) handovers to a different access technology.
  - This might require an extension of the NBI to cover such notifications
  - Therefore these differences might have to be covered in an SLA with the application provider. In the CR(s) for topic E, this could be covered by a note indicating that abstraction is not possible.
- Based on 3GPP specifications there seem to be no notifications to AFs informing of the impacts of such inter-technology handovers on an ongoing session. An LS might have to be sent to 3GPP to confirm whether that is indeed the case and if so, whether such notifications could be introduced.
- Also interaction with TEC Forum might be useful to understand their view on how differences in access technology should be handled towards application providers.
  - This could be raised by the OPG representatives attending the TEC Forum meeting in Madrid on 23<sup>rd</sup> May and might apply not only to Topic E.
  - **Action:** Topic owners to inform Sandra and Tom (and/or other OPG representatives attending the TEC Forum meeting on 23<sup>rd</sup> May) of the areas where TEC Forum input is desired for the development of their topic area.

## 7. AOB

Next OPG meeting (OPG#91) will be the hybrid face-to-face meeting on 24<sup>th</sup> May in Madrid. Parties intending to attend on site must register for the meeting on Infocentre and provide their identity details to the host, Telefonica as indicated in the meeting information pack (OPG\_91\_Doc\_99). Contributions for discussion in OPG#91 should be available by 17<sup>th</sup> May.

A second F2F will be organised in autumn for the MWC Barcelona release, either in the week of 17<sup>th</sup> October. Based on progress at the equivalent time for the MWC Las Vegas release, the second option that was considered (week of 26<sup>th</sup> September) seems to come too early in the release planning to have a meaningful meeting. Candidate hosts are invited to inform GSMA (Mark and Tom) of their interest.

### **#90 Call closed at 15:05 BST**

#### **Action points log**

##### **Open action points**

<b>Action number</b>	<b>Description</b>	<b>Status</b>	<b>Notes</b>
OPG 90.01	Topic owners to inform Sandra and Tom (or other OPG representatives attending the TEC Forum meeting on 23rd May) of the areas where TEC Forum input is desired for the development of their topic area.	Open	

##### **Closed action points**

<b>Action number</b>	<b>Description</b>	<b>Status</b>	<b>Notes</b>

##### **Decisions**
