

# GSMA

<http://www.gsma.com/>

## OPG #92 Meeting Minutes

<b>Author:</b>	Tom Van Pelt	<b>Email:</b>	tpelt@gsma.com
		<b>Tel:</b>	+32 479 232846
<b>Date:</b>	07/06/2022	<b>Time:</b>	13:00 – 15:00 BST
<b>Subject:</b>	OPG #92 Meeting Minutes		
<b>Operator Attendees:</b>			
A1	Erich Zillinger	Erich.Zillinger@A1.at	
America Movil	Roberto Jorge Hernandez Perez	roberto.hernandez@americamovil.com	
BT	Milan Lalovic	milan.lalovic@bt.com	
China Unicom	Gao Chen	cheng96@chinaunicom.cn	
KDDI	Toshi Wakayama Masaharu Hattori	to-wakayama@kddi.com ma-hattori@kddi.com	
NTT Docomo	Sora Funayama	sora.funayama.ag@nttdocomo.com	
Orange	Rida Zouaoui	rida.zouaoui@orange.com	
Singtel	Darren Neo Macus Chua	darren.neo@singtel.com macuschua@singtel.com	
Softbank	Junbeom Lee	junbeom.lee@g.softbank.co.jp	
TIM	Mario Madella	mario.madella@telecomitalia.it	
Telefonica	Alexandre Harmand Fernando Pascual Blanco Miguel Alejandro Hernandez Armengol	alexander.harmand@telefonica.com fernando.pascualblanco@telefonica.com miguelalejandro.hernandezarmengol@telefonica.com	
Telus	Mohamed Eldakrouy	mohamed.eldakrouy@telus.com	
Verizon	Michael Salmon	michael.salmon@verizon.com	
<b>Industry Participants</b>			
Capgemini engineering	Deepak Gunjal	deepak.a.gunjal@capgemini.com	
Dell	Jeffrey Goldberg	Jeffrey.Goldberg@Dell.com	
Ericsson	Bart van Kaathoven Magnus Olsson	bart.van.kaathoven@ericsson.com magnus.m.olsson@ericsson.com	
GS Lab	Amit Wankhede	amit.wankhede@gslab.com	
Huawei	Ulrich Kleber	ulrich.kleber@huawei.com	
Nokia	Padmakumar Subramani	padmakumar.subramani@nokia.com	
Optare Solutions	Fernando Lamela Santiago Rodrigues	flamela@optaresolutions.com srodriguez@optaresolutions.com	
Qualcomm	Nishant Gupta	gnishant@qti.qualcomm.com	
Syniverse	Mark Lipford	mark.lipford@syniverse.com	
ZTE	Zhijun Li	li.zhijun3@zte.com.cn	
<b>GSMA Attendees:</b>	Tom Van Pelt Mark Cornall	tpelt@gsma.com mcornall@gsma.com	

<b>Other Attendees:</b>
<b>Absent / apologies:</b>

**ALL GSM ASSOCIATION MEETINGS ARE HELD IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE GSMA'S [ANTI-TRUST](#) AND IPR COMPLIANCE POLICY**

<b>Security Classification</b>		
Non-Confidential	Project Team or Group	X

© GSMA, 2022. The GSM Association ("Association") makes no representation, warranty or undertaking (express or implied) with respect to and does not accept any responsibility for, and disclaims liability for the accuracy or completeness or timeliness of the information contained in this document. The information contained in this document may be subject to change without prior notice. This document has been classified according to the GSMA [Document Confidentiality Policy](#).

**1. GSMA Antitrust Policy and Agenda**

GSMA Anti-trust and US entity list statement were noted.

Agenda for the meeting was approved.

**2. OPG#89 Meeting minutes**

No comments were made.

Minutes of OPG#92 were approved.

Regarding the open actions, Magnus indicated that OPG91.06 was work in progress.

**3. MWC Las Vegas Release Planning**

Tom presented the planning and status of the MWC Las Vegas release.

**4. Topic M**

Tom informed that Sandra apologised because she had discovered that logistics issues were preventing her to join OPG#92. OPG members are requested to review CN0001R3 and CR0001 offline and provide feedback to Sandra to allow quicker progress on those in OPG#93.

**5. Topic H- Edge Interconnection Network (EIN)**

Amit presented an overview of the use cases that were developed following the need for those that was identified in earlier discussions of the topic (OPG\_92\_Doc\_05).

Following comments were raised:

- Alignment is needed on the acronym used: EIN vs. ENI
- The interface used to control the EIN may not be the SBI-NR as suggested in the use cases
  - SBI-CR may be involved as well
  - The choice may depend on the endpoint that is controlled. If that is an SDN, it may well be a new SBI interface next to the 3 existing ones.

- From the use case perspective, it may not be needed to identify the SBI interface used, but that identification is part of the concept as a whole.
- For handovers the EIN may be needed only for stateful applications. For stateless applications there's no context to be transferred.
- In use case 3 traffic could be routed directly between UPFs rather than through Edge Platform Site#2
- The description should clarify what control the OP needs to have over the EIN and why the EIN would be needed
- Other use cases could be useful to cover, e.g. the application logic needing access to information held by an application instance in another edge platform site (users gaming against each other, connected cars, etc.). That may expose other requirements like the possibility for an application to indicate in its manifest whether it needs the EIN.

## 6. Application Terminology

Tom presented a discussion document (OPG\_92\_Doc\_06) aiming to propose alignment on Application related terminology. This was a revision of the contribution presented during OPG#91 addressing the comments raised there. Following comments and questions were raised:

- Does the Application Backend part include the parts on the edge cloud? It isn't meant to include those. The Backend Part would be the logic of the application centralised on a public or private cloud, but not on the edge.
- Whether Edge Application isn't the same as Application Instance and Edge-Native application. It isn't. The Application Instance is an instantiation of the edge parts of an application on a specific edge resource. The Edge Application is the overall application including all its parts. An Edge native application is a specific case of an Edge Application where the edge parts must be available to provide the service.

## 7. TEC Forum requests

Tom presented the requests from TEC Forum following their meeting in Madrid (OPG\_92\_Doc\_01). Following comment was raised:

- It is critical for the APIs to be open ended because any need to change those could have significant impact on the service delivered.
- No feedback was provided on how to progress the topics raised.
  - **Action:** All to consider how to progress the topics raised by TEC Forum.

## 8. AOB

Tom announced that the next OPG meeting would take place on 14 June and provided an update on the face-to-face meeting being planned for the autumn.

**#92 Call closed at 14:15 BST**

**Action points log**

**Open action points**

Action number	Description	Status	Notes
OPG 91.01	Parties that put themselves forward as contributor on a topic to reach out to the topic owner to inquire how and where they can support.	Open	
OPG 91.02	Sandra to align with Alex on how to bring OPAG feedback to OPG	Open	
OPG 91.03	all OPG members to contribute to list of items to be clarified regarding terminal support	Open	
OPG 91.06	Magnus to clarify the issue with OP terminology and propose solutions in another discussion paper.	Open	
OPG 92.01	All to consider how to progress the topics raised by TEC Forum.	Open	

### Closed action points

Action number	Description	Status	Notes
OPG 91.04	Jose Antonio to provide reference on network slicing related TR in 3GPP SA5 to Sandra	Closed	Reference Provided
OPG 91.05	Juan Carlos to share the slides presented as part of the TEC Forum update with OPG	Closed	Slides provided and added to OPG#91 meeting folder on SharePoint

### Decisions
