

GSMA

<http://www.gsma.com/>

OPG #94 Meeting Minutes

Author:	Tom Van Pelt	Email:	tpelt@gsma.com
		Tel:	+32 479 232846
Date:	21/06/2022	Time:	13:00 – 14:00 BST
Subject:	OPG #94 Meeting Minutes		
Operator Attendees:			
CK Hutchison <i>Chair</i>	Sandra Ondrusova	sandra.ondrusova@hwleurope.com	
AT&T	Shahram Mohajeri	sm7084@att.com	
China Unicom	Gao Chen	cheng96@chinaunicom.cn	
KDDI	Toshi Wakayama	to-wakayama@kddi.com	
NTT Docomo	Sora Funayama	sora.funayama.ag@nttdocomo.com	
Orange	Rida Zouaoui	rida.zouaoui@orange.com	
Singtel	Macus Chua	macuschua@singtel.com	
TIM	Mario Madella	mario.madella@telecomitalia.it	
	Antonella Napolitano	antonina.napolitano@telecomitalia.it	
Telefonica	Alexandre Harmand	alexander.harmand@telefonica.com	
	Jesus Maria Martin Garcia	jesusmaria.martingarcia@telefonica.com	
Telus	Mohamed Eldakrouy	mohamed.eldakrouy@telus.com	
Industry Participants			
Dell	Jeffrey Goldberg	Jeffrey.Goldberg@Dell.com	
Ericsson	Bart van Kaathoven	bart.van.kaathoven@ericsson.com	
F5	Peter Nas	P.Nas@F5.com	
GS Lab	Amit Wankhede	amit.wankhede@gslab.com	
Huawei	Ulrich Kleber	ulrich.kleber@huawei.com	
Intel	Neal Oliver	neal.oliver@intel.com	
Syniverse	Mark Lipford	mark.lipford@syniverse.com	
ZTE	Zhijun Li	li.zhijun3@zte.com.cn	
GSMA Attendees:	Tom Van Pelt	tpelt@gsma.com	
	Mark Cornall	mccornall@gsma.com	
Other Attendees:			
Absent / apologies:			

ALL GSM ASSOCIATION MEETINGS ARE HELD IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE GSMA'S [ANTI-TRUST](#) AND IPR COMPLIANCE POLICY

Security Classification		
Non-Confidential	Project Team or Group	X

© GSMA, 2022. The GSM Association ("Association") makes no representation, warranty or undertaking (express or implied) with respect to and does not accept any responsibility for, and disclaims liability for the accuracy or completeness or timeliness of the information contained in this document. The information contained in this document may be subject to change without prior notice. This document has been classified according to the GSMA [Document Confidentiality Policy](#).

1. GSMA Antitrust Policy and Agenda

GSMA Anti-trust and US entity list statement were noted.

Agenda for the meeting was approved.

2. OPG#93 Meeting minutes

No comments were made.

Minutes of OPG#93 were approved.

Regarding, action OPG 93.01, Sandra indicated that she could start on the LS only after getting input from this week's Networks Group End-to-End Network Slicing meeting.

3. MWC Las Vegas Release Planning

Tom presented the planning and status of the MWC Las Vegas release.

4. Topic H

Amit gave an overview of the status of the topic and presented OPG_94_Doc_03. Among others, following comments and questions were raised:

- Focus for the EIN is indeed on establishing the connection and applying the traffic rules to enable communication between the instances of an Application on different Cloudlets/Availability Zones. The nature of that communication is dependent on the use case, but is transparent to the OP as it's handled at application level.
- Next to that, the OP may also need to have access to EIN monitoring data for telemetry and for charging purposes. For the latter it would be included in the data provided to the Charging Function over the SBI-CHF.
- These OP interactions might require a new SBI interface because the SBI-NR is terminated at the NEF/SCEF and exposes 3GPP Core network capabilities. The EIN isn't covered in those. The SBI-CR may not provide EIN control and monitoring capabilities because it is about managing virtualised infrastructure rather than networks.
- It was proposed to check whether the new SBI interface that might be required to control the EIN could be combined with the interface that is required for network slicing. That may not work given that they may be terminated on different endpoints.

5. TEC Forum requests

Tom presented an overview of the requests coming from TEC Forum (see OPG_94_Doc_01 and Action OPG.92.01).

Among others following comments and questions were raised:

- It was questioned whether OPAG should be involved because the interfaces they define may have to support the suggested extensibility.
- RESTful APIs are extensible by nature.
- An escape format for parameters to allow adding further capabilities could be useful. This might not be that valuable for proprietary vendor extensions because in a federated environment those might not be available everywhere decreasing their value for developers, but more for testing possible extensions before adding them in the specifications (e.g. enabling experimental, deprecated, etc.).
- Current topics are struggling for bandwidth and resources already and being realistic, the topics requested by TEC Forum cannot be covered in the current release. It is proposed to cover those in August once the CR deadline has passed.
 - **Action:** Sandra and Tom to provide feedback to Juan Carlos indicating the proposed timeline for handling the TEC Forum requests.

6. AOB

Tom announced that the next OPG meeting would take place on 28 June and provided an update on the face-to-face meeting being planned for the autumn (see OPG_94_Doc_01). Candidate hosts were requested.

#94 Call closed at 14:00 BST

Action points log

Open action points

Action number	Description	Status	Notes
OPG 91.01	Parties that put themselves forward as contributor on a topic to reach out to the topic owner to inquire how and where they can support.	Open	
OPG 91.03	all OPG members to contribute to list of items to be clarified regarding terminal support	Open	
OPG 91.06	Magnus to clarify the issue with OP terminology and propose solutions in another discussion paper.	Open	
OPG 92.01	All to consider how to progress the topics raised by TEC Forum.	Open	
OPG 93.01	Sandra to draft LS proposal towards 3GPP SA5 (including S16) to clarify which function to interface with for Network Slice LCM	Open	
OPG 94.01	Sandra and Tom to provide feedback to Juan Carlos indicating the proposed timeline for handling the TEC Forum requests.	Open	

Closed action points

Action number	Description	Status	Notes
----------------------	--------------------	---------------	--------------

OPG 91.02	Sandra to align with Alex on how to bring OPAG feedback to OPG	Closed	See OPG_25_Doc_01
-----------	----------------------------------------------------------------	--------	-------------------

Decisions
