

# GSMA

<http://www.gsma.com/>

## OPAG #30 Meeting Minutes

<b>Author:</b>	Tom Van Pelt	<b>Email:</b>	tpelt@gsma.com
		<b>Tel:</b>	+32 479 232846
<b>Date:</b>	14/07/2022	<b>Time:</b>	13:00 – 15:00 BST
<b>Subject:</b>	OPAG #30 Meeting Minutes		
<b>Operator Attendees:</b>			
Telefonica <i>Chair</i>	Alexandre Harmand	alexander.harmand@telefonica.com	
BT	Milan Lalovic	milan.lalovic@bt.com	
China Unicom	Gao Chen	cheng96@chinaunicom.cn	
DT	Marcin Jarzab	Marcin.Jarzab@t-mobile.pl	
KDDI	Toshi Wakayama	to-wakayama@kddi.com	
Singtel	Macus Chua	macuschua@singtel.com	
TIM	Mario Madella	mario.madella@telecomitalia.it	
Telefonica	Miguel Alejandro Hernandez Armengol	miguelalejandro.hernandezarmengol@telefonica.com	
	Jesus Maria Martin Garcia	jesusmaria.martingarcia@telefonica.com	
T-Mobile	Murat Karabulut	murat.karabulut@t-mobile.com	
<b>Industry Participants</b>			
Capgemini engineering	Deepak Gunjal	deepak.a.gunjal@capgemini.com	
Huawei	Ulrich Kleber	ulrich.kleber@huawei.com	
Intel	Neal Oliver	neal.oliver@intel.com	
Microsoft	Bahar Sadeghi	bahar.sadeghi@microsoft.com	
<b>GSMA Attendees:</b>	Tom Van Pelt	tpelt@gsma.com	
	Mark Cornall	mcornall@gsma.com	
<b>Other Attendees:</b>			
<b>Absent / apologies:</b>			

**ALL GSM ASSOCIATION MEETINGS ARE HELD IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE GSMA'S [ANTI-TRUST](#) AND IPR COMPLIANCE POLICY**

<b>Security Classification</b>		
Non-Confidential	Project Team or Group	X

© GSMA, 2022. The GSM Association ("Association") makes no representation, warranty or undertaking (express or implied) with respect to and does not accept any responsibility for, and disclaims liability for the accuracy or completeness or timeliness of the information contained in this document. The information contained in this document may be subject to change without prior notice. This document has been classified according to the GSMA [Document Confidentiality Policy](#).

## **1. GSMA Antitrust Policy and Agenda**

GSMA Anti-trust and US entity list stated were noted.

Agenda for the meeting was approved.

## **2. OPAG#29 Meeting minutes**

No comments were made.

Minutes of OPAG#29 (OPAG\_30\_Doc\_02) were approved and action points were reviewed.

## **3. Release Planning**

Reviewed the release planning slide and dates (see OPAG\_30\_Doc\_01). Planning for Block D will be done when next steps are clearer.

## **4. Block A Federation Definition Support**

Deepak provided a status update on Block A indicating that an update to address the comments raised was in progress of being created. Tom indicated that further comments had been added to the document on SharePoint and highlighted that additional reviewers would be welcome to improve the descriptions.

## **5. Block B – Network Integration Support**

Milan provided a verbal overview of the status of the Block B activities and raised following topics:

- Other than 1 contribution, most of the main content of the document is available
- Work is required still to ensure consistency across the document, close the remaining open issue and to finalise the document, e.g. writing the introduction sections.
- Support for that is request because reaching the deadline of 21<sup>st</sup> July seems challenging, but all technical discussions should be closed by then
- An attempt will be made to finalise the entire document by the deadline still. If not achieved, next steps could be proposed in OPAG#31. A slip of 1 month is considered, but given how close the document is to being finalised preference is to avoid that.

## **6. Block D – Management and Others**

Neal provided an analysis on the 3GPP UNI specifications covering edge discovery and the flows resulting from those (OPAG\_30\_Doc\_04). Among others, following questions and comments were raised:

- It should be checked how the 5GFF contribution in LF's CAMARA project on edge relates to 3GPP
  - And also whether CAMARA considers the UNI to be in its scope

- The presentation introduces a UNI\_adaptor. That seems to be the endpoint of the UNI, but it should be clarified still whether that's part of the network or on the device.
- 3GPP TS 23.548 defines configuration of the ECS via NAS signalling
- Is architecture considering SDK on the device? Focus should be on the UNI rather than the SDK
- Defining priority areas to cover could be useful. Edge discovery may have priority

## 7. CAMARA Edge API 5GFF contribution

Deepak provided further details on the overview of the APIs that 5GFF had submitted to CAMARA (OPAG\_30\_Doc\_05). Among others, following questions and comments were raised:

- There seems potential for alignment and harmonisation of info elements exchanged as part of the APIs
- APIs may be complementary to OPAG's needs, but potentially that is due to different underlying models and approaches
- A detailed analysis is hard because 5GFF specifications are not in public domain

## 8. AOB

Deadline for deputy chair candidate nominations passed without candidates stepping up. There seem to be some parties considering the role. So the period for nominations is extended and candidates remain welcome.

A block owner is required still for Block C.

On the ToR, a proposal was expected this week. It is unclear whether that schedule can be maintained or whether there is slip.

The next OPAG call is OPAG#31 call on 21<sup>st</sup> July.

Tom gave an update of the planning for the next F2F meeting. Candidate hosts are invited to make themselves known to the GSMA.

## **#28 Call closed at 14:40 BST**

### **Action points log**

#### **Open action points**

Action number	Description	Status	Notes
OPAG 24.03	All to think on how to engage application developers	Open	No suggestions received. Ongoing Action
OPAG 24.04	All to consider how to encourage and possibly verify suppliers' compliance to OP	On hold	Also a request from TEC Forum to OPG. Put on hold there until after

			CR deadline for MWC LV release
OPAG 27.01	OPAG members to review the <a href="#">East-West bound specification</a> and <a href="#">Yaml file</a> , providing comments in the <a href="#">Block A</a> folder on SharePoint by 6 July.	Open	

#### Closed action points

Action number	Description	Status	Notes
OPAG 24.01	Tom to propose adding privacy control to backlog in OPG allowing to check 3GPP standards on privacy and user permissions with relation to User location Privacy Indicator.	Closed	CR0010 submitted and approved in OPG

#### Decisions
