

GSMA

<http://www.gsma.com/>

OPG #96 Meeting Minutes

Author:	Tom Van Pelt	Email:	tpelt@gsma.com
		Tel:	+32 479 232846
Date:	05/07/2022	Time:	13:00 – 14:00 BST
Subject:	OPG #96 Meeting Minutes		
Operator Attendees:			
CK Hutchison <i>Chair</i>	Sandra Ondrusova	sandra.ondrusova@hwleurope.com	
China Unicom	Gao Chen	cheng96@chinaunicom.cn	
KDDI	Toshi Wakayama Masaharu Hattori	to-wakayama@kddi.com ma-hattori@kddi.com	
NTT Docomo	Junpei Uoshima	junpei.uoshima.mt@nttdocomo.com	
Orange	Rida Zouaoui	rida.zouaoui@orange.com	
Singtel	Macus Chua	macuschua@singtel.com	
SK Telecom	Hyeonsoo Lee	hyeonsoo.lee@sktelecom.com	
TIM	Antonella Napolitano	antonia.napolitano@telecomitalia.it	
Telefonica	Alexandre Harmand Fernando Pascual Blanco	alexander.harmand@telefonica.com fernando.pascualblanco@telefonica.com	
Industry Participants			
CapGemini Engineering	Deepak Gunjal	deepak.a.gunjal@capgemini.com	
Dell	Jeffrey Goldberg	Jeffrey.Goldberg@Dell.com	
Ericsson	Bart van Kaathoven	bart.van.kaathoven@ericsson.com	
GS Lab	Amit Wankhede	amit.wankhede@gslab.com	
	Vikram Barate	vikram.barate@gslab.com	
Huawei	Ulrich Kleber	ulrich.kleber@huawei.com	
Qualcomm	Nishant Gupta	gnishant@qti.qualcomm.com	
Summit Tech	Ron Nessim	ron@summit-tech.ca	
Syniverse	Mark Lipford	mark.lipford@syniverse.com	
ZTE	Zhijun Li	li.zhijun3@zte.com.cn	
GSMA Attendees:	Tom Van Pelt Mark Cornall	tpelt@gsma.com mcornall@gsma.com	
Other Attendees:			
Absent / apologies:			

ALL GSM ASSOCIATION MEETINGS ARE HELD IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE GSMA'S [ANTI-TRUST](#) AND IPR COMPLIANCE POLICY

Security Classification		
Non-Confidential	Project Team or Group	X

© GSMA, 2022. The GSM Association ("Association") makes no representation, warranty or undertaking (express or implied) with respect to and does not accept any responsibility for, and disclaims liability for the accuracy or completeness or timeliness of the information contained in this document. The information contained in this document may be subject to change without prior notice. This document has been classified according to the GSMA [Document Confidentiality Policy](#).

1. GSMA Antitrust Policy and Agenda

GSMA Anti-trust and US entity list statement were noted.

Agenda for the meeting was approved.

2. OPG#95 Meeting minutes

No comments were made.

Minutes of OPG#95 were approved.

3. Topic H

Vikram presented OPG_96_Doc_03: the commented version of the current concept note for Topic H. Among others, following comments and questions were raised:

- It should be clear where the OP's responsibility would stop (and a network controller's would take over)
- A new SBI is needed

Amit presented OPG_96_Doc_04: the first revision of CR0009 introducing the changes required for topic H to the PRD. Among others, following comments and questions were raised next to suggested editorial improvements:

- The EIN itself is not an interface as implied by the proposed definition. It is rather a collection of interfaces.
- The term "EIN Termination" should be defined
- If introducing a new SBI, the generic architecture figure for the OP should be updated
- SBI-EIN is not the EIN itself, but rather an interface to control the EIN. The mapping to ETSI may thus not be correct.
- When referring to an external document, that document should be added to the table of references and the reference number would be used in the body text.

4. Topic E

OPG_96_Doc_05 was skipped because Deepak hadn't seen the comments yet. Those would be raised while going through OPG_96_Doc_06.

Deepak presented OPG_96_Doc_06: CR0002R6. Among others, following comments and questions were raised (next to suggestions for editorial improvements):

- The role of and interaction with client side QoS mechanisms (e.g. L4S or DSCP) should be considered.
 - It is proposed to add this to the backlog
- Is QoS allowed by network neutrality? It would be good to cover that in a section on regulatory considerations.
 - It is proposed to add this to the backlog

- **Action:** Tom to add both support for client-side QoS mechanisms and coverage of regulatory considerations to the backlog document
- Is there an interaction with the EIN to be covered? This has not been considered and will be studied further.

5. Maintenance CRs

Hyeonsoo presented OPG_96_Doc_07: CR0008R1. Among others, following comments and questions were raised:

- Given the generic statement proposed to be added on the use of ETSI MEC Phase 3, there is no need to repeat the version every time.
- The figure is proposed to be included.

The CR was approved with the agreed changes (i.e. removal of the version). Hyeonsoo will provide an updated version of the CR.

Sandra presented OPG_96_Doc_08: CR0006R1. Among others, following comments and questions were raised:

- It would be best to use the figure from 3GPP, but the mapping suggested is not completely accurate.
 - Edge-9 would be an interface between edge clouds (i.e. the EIN from topic H) rather than the E/WBI.
 - The NBI probably doesn't map to Edge-3 (even if edge resources could access the NBI in a similar way as a part of the application deployed on the public cloud.
 - Proposal to send an LS to 3GPP with a suggestion to correct and leave the figure as-is until there is a correction.

Sandra will provide an updated version of the CR

6. Topic M

The topic was skipped due to lack of time. Comments to CN0001R4 are very welcome. Sandra highlighted that the figure on slide 13 had to be corrected.

7. AOB

Tom announced that the next OPG meeting would take place on 12 July and provided an update on the face-to-face meeting being planned for the autumn (see OPG_95_Doc_01). Candidate hosts were requested.

#95 Call closed at 14:55 BST

Action points log

Open action points

Action number	Description	Status	Notes
OPG 91.01	Parties that put themselves forward as contributor on a topic to reach out to the topic owner to inquire how and where they can support.	Open	
OPG 91.03	all OPG members to contribute to list of items to be clarified regarding terminal support	Open	

OPG 91.06	Magnus to clarify the issue with OP terminology and propose solutions in another discussion paper.	Open	
OPG 92.01	Tom/Sandra to bring topics raised by TEC back to the agenda in August, and propose a way forward for each point (Was: All to consider how to progress the topics raised by TEC Forum).	On hold	On hold till finalisation of MWC LV release
OPG 93.01	Sandra to draft LS proposal towards 3GPP SA5 (including SA6) to clarify which function to interface with for Network Slice LCM	On hold	Needs input from NG ENS WI, may be progressed based on updated CN
OPG.96.01	Tom to add both support for client-side QoS mechanisms and coverage of regulatory considerations to the backlog document	Open	

Closed action points

Action number	Description	Status	Notes
OPG 94.01	Sandra and Tom to provide feedback to Juan Carlos indicating the proposed timeline for handling the TEC Forum requests.	Closed	

Decisions

#96.01	CR0008R2 approved