

GSMA

<http://www.gsma.com/>

OPAG #58 Meeting Minutes

Author:	Tom Van Pelt	Email:	tpelt@gsma.com
		Tel:	+32 479 23 28 46
Date:	23/02/2022	Time:	13:00 – 15:00 GMT
Subject:	OPAG #58 Meeting Minutes		
Attendees:			
<i>Chair</i>	Miguel Alejandro Hernandez Armengol	miguelalejandro.hernandezarmengol@telefonica.com	
Other members	Deepak Gunjal	deepak.gunjal@altran.com	
	Milan Lalovic	milan.lalovic@bt.com	
	Gao Chen	cheng96@chinaunicom.cn	
	Eddy Fung	Eddy.Fung@Dell.com	
	Lee Valerius	lee.bar.valerius@hpe.com	
	Hubert Mariotte	hubert.mariotte@orange.com	
	Nishant Gupta	gnishant@qti.qualcomm.com	
	Macus Chua	macuschua@singtel.com	
	Napolitano Antonia	antonia.napolitano@telecomitalia.it	
	Fabrizio Moggio	fabrizio.moggio@telecomitalia.it	
GSMA Attendees:	Tom Van Pelt Mark Cornall Sandiya Suresh Kumar	tpelt@gsma.com mcornall@gsma.com skumar@gsma.com	
Other Attendees:			
Absent / apologies:			

ALL GSM ASSOCIATION MEETINGS ARE HELD IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE GSMA'S [ANTI-TRUST](#) AND IPR COMPLIANCE POLICY

Security Classification		
Non-Confidential	Project Team or Group	X

© GSMA, 2022. The GSM Association ("Association") makes no representation, warranty or undertaking (express or implied) with respect to and does not accept any responsibility for and disclaims liability for the accuracy or completeness or timeliness

of the information contained in this document. The information contained in this document may be subject to change without prior notice. This document has been classified according to the GSMA [Document Confidentiality Policy](#).

1. GSMA Antitrust Policy and Agenda

GSMA Anti-trust and US entity list stated were noted.

Agenda for the meeting was discussed and agreed.

2. OPAG#57 Meeting minutes, SharePoint and Actions

Minutes of OPAG#57 approved

Action points were reviewed.

Action OPAG 53.01 can be closed. OPAG_58_Doc_04 covers the first analysis.

3. CAMARA Steering Committee report

Milan provided an overview of the topics discussed in the CAMARA Steering Committee (OPAG_58_Doc_03). It was clarified that as an independent activity CAMARA could be defining APIs that go beyond the OP's scope and that the project attracts more participation from non-telco API consumers. As such the resulting APIs should be better aligned with those parties' use cases.

4. MWC Barcelona Release Planning

Tom presented the planning for the MWC Barcelona 23 release where the schedule was extended. The UNI and EWBI document have been approved by OPG, The SBI-NR specification is under OPG approval still. The internal GSMA DQR process is ongoing for all documents.

5. MWC Las Vegas 23 Release Planning

Tom presented the planning for the MWC Las Vegas 23 release indicating that the deadlines for OPAG are at the start and in the middle of June, respectively for reaching stability of the documents to be included and their final approval. Tom also introduced the proposed agenda for OPAG#60, the F2F meeting. No comments were raised.

6. EWBI update

Deepak presented OPAG_58_Doc_04, a proposed LS replying to the comments that 3GPP SA3 had raised in the 3GPP SA LS to OPAG. Following comments were brought up:

- It may be better to do an analysis of the changes required to OPG.04 first before replying. That may help to avoid any inconsistencies and with 3GPP SA3's next meeting being only in April there should be time to analyse more in detail.
- The use of a 3GPP network function for E/W authorisation is not recommended because the 3GPP core network would be in a different security domain.
 - o The OP might perform that authorisation role itself.

- OAuth would be used in the standard way
- 3GPP SA3's suggestion to use static authorisation seems not applicable in the context of the E/WBI indeed, but the response might have to be formulated somewhat more politely clarifying OPAG's understanding of the scope of that authorisation being between Network functions in the same domain while the E/WBI is used between untrusted domains.

7. NBI/SBI mapping: organisation

Miguel presented OPAG_58_Doc_05, a proposal on how to start addressing the mapping. Following comments were raised:

- It seems a very useful exercise
- A survey might be useful, but it may be more important to identify owners to drive the analysis for a specific API
- We may need to think on how to keep up with CAMARA if they release several versions of an API. A twice yearly release cycle may not be suitable for that.
 - o That should indeed be considered. CAMARA should not be updating too frequently though as the industry should be able to keep up. Depending on their release frequency, either OPAG should release their documents more frequently or a different approach from a specification document may have to be considered.
- The EDGEAPP architecture mapping and the MVP work in OPG may be helpful to determine priorities.

8. AOB

No nominations for the OPAG Deputy Chair role were received by the deadline. The position is kept open and candidates are invited to make their interest known.

Next meeting will be OPAG#59 on 2nd March.

Reminder to register for the March F2F meeting if attending on site.

Regarding the Summer/Autumn F2F meeting, the OPG leadership has decided to organise that meeting between 16 and 19 October. Candidate hosts for that F2F meeting are invited to make their interest known.

#58 meeting closed at 14:55 GMT

Action points log

Open action points

Action number	Description	Status	Notes

Closed action points

Action number	Description	Status	Notes

OPAG 53.01	Deepak to study the feedback that 3GPP SA3 provided as part of the LS from 3GPP SA on the Network federation interface for Telco Edge and propose updates to OPG.04 if required.	Closed	Covered by OPAG_58_Doc_04

Decisions
