

OPG #136 Meeting Minutes

Author:	Tom Van Pelt	Email:	tpelt@gsma.com
		Tel:	+32 479 23 28 46
Date:	30/05/2023	Time:	13:00 – 15:00 BST
Subject:	OPG #136 Meeting Minutes		
Attendees:			
<i>Acting chair</i>	Sandra Ondrusova	sandra.ondrusova@hwleurope.com	
Attendees	Deepak Gunjal	deepak.gunjal@altran.com	
	Fabiola Lopez	fabiola.lopez@americamovil.com	
	Ming Hsun Lee	mslee@cht.com.tw	
	Jefferey Goldberg	Jeffrey.Goldberg@Dell.com	
	Bart van Kaathoven	bart.van.kaathoven@ericsson.com	
	Magnus Olsson M	magnus.m.olsson@ericsson.com	
	Jian Zhang	zhangjian369@huawei.com	
	Uli Kleber	ulrich.kleber@huawei.com	
	Masaharu Hattori	ma-hattori@kddi.com	
	Tetsuya Chiba	chiba@kddi.com	
	Toshiyasu Wakayama	to-wakayama@kddi.com	
	Elisabeth Andersson	elisabeth.andersson@matrixx.com	
	Martin Oettl	martin.oettl@nokia.com	
	Junpei Uoshima	junpei.uoshima.mt@nttdocomo.com	
	Fernando Lamela Nieto	flamela@optaresolutions.com	
	Rida Zouaoui	rida.zouaoui@orange.com	
	Nishant Gupta	gnishant@qti.qualcomm.com	
	Ron Nessim	ron@summit-tech.ca	
	Jyrki Penttinen	jyrki.penttinen@syniverse.com	
	Mario Gonzalez del Campo Munoz	mario.gonzalezdelcampo@telefonica.com	
	Mohamed Eldakrouy	mohamed.eldakrouy@telus.com	
	Lijuan Chen	chen.lijuan@zte.com.cn	
GSMA Attendees:	Mark Cornall Tom Van Pelt	mcornall@gsma.com tpelt@gsma.com	
Other Attendees:			
Absent / apologies:			

ALL GSM ASSOCIATION MEETINGS ARE HELD IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE GSMA'S [ANTI-TRUST](#) AND IPR COMPLIANCE POLICY

Security Classification		
Non-Confidential	Project Team or Group	X

© GSMA, 2023. The GSM Association (“Association”) makes no representation, warranty or undertaking (express or implied) with respect to and does not accept any responsibility for, and disclaims liability for the accuracy or completeness or timeliness of the information contained in this document. The information contained in this document may be subject to change without prior notice. This document has been classified according to the GSMA [Document Confidentiality Policy](#).

1. GSMA Antitrust Policy and Agenda

GSMA Anti-trust and US entity list statement were noted.

The agenda for the meeting was approved.

2. OPG#135 Meeting minutes

No comments were made.

Minutes of OPG#135 were approved.

3. Action Points

Action points were reviewed. Action OPG 134.01 can be closed as feedback was requested and received which has been incorporated in CR0008R3. Action 130.01 remains on hold.

4. OPG MWC Las Vegas Release planning

Tom presented the planning for the OP MWC Las Vegas 23 release highlighting that after OPG#136 only 3 meetings remain until the CR deadline for the release.

5. Open Gateway: work plan

Mark presented OPG_136_Doc_03, a planning for the remaining work on Open Gateway and ways of working to include the work in the OPG and OPAG. The following comments were raised:

- With a target of end of June for some topics, it can be assumed that work has been done already. Can this be shared?
 - o This mostly bases on the E/WBI defined by OPAG
 - o Work is ongoing to define a bit more detail that is needed for actual implementation (e.g. handling of tokens). Likely this can be shared.
- Can the twice weekly meetings be assumed to be identical? They will indeed mostly handle similar topics as they have been set up to account for time zone differences and should support resolving conflicts. People that are not able to join a meeting should be able to find the outcomes of the discussion in comments to the GitHub issues and Pull Requests that are covered. That is where most of the work is expected to be done.
- Will the work in these meetings and on GitHub be done under AA.35 including the relevant licensing terms? That is the intention.
- Are the mentioned PoCs planned already? They haven't been planned yet. This work is in the idea phase discussing potential options.

- Operators will likely build the Gateways themselves or Partner with a vendor. The idea is to certify those implementations as compliant with Open Gateway after testing with a reference implementation.
- Would access to the Github be limited to OPG/OPAG members or could others be brought in as well (e.g. developers)? There will be no formal restrictions, but ideally people active on GitHub are part of OPG and OPAG so that they have access to the mailing list, receive the meeting invites, etc.
- Is the target of the work OPG and OPAG PRDs? It is indeed.
- What would the approval process be? The output of the work is CRs that will follow the normal AA.35 approval process (including approval in the ISAG and with OPG as the ISIG).

OPG_136_Doc_03 was noted.

6. MVP

Given that Amit did not join the call, Sandra discussed on the next steps for the MVP where there has been little progress since the F2F (i.e., OPG#126). The target was to deliver an MVP with the aim to be in market by end of 2023. That means that there is no room for further delays in the work. That would leave following options:

- Abandoning the effort to develop an MVP
- Refocus the work to target a different market window
- Speed up the work to meet the CR deadlines.

The latter options may require another party to take ownership.

Action: Sandra to check with the contributors to the MVP, especially Cristina and Fabrizio, on their preferred way forward and whether any of them could take ownership.

7. Maintenance: Common Data Model

Sandra presented OPG_136_Doc_04_CR0005R2, the most recent revision of CR0005 commenting that no further comments had been brought on SharePoint and that the CR had been reviewed thoroughly in OPG#135 already.

Mario indicated that he had included the relevant content in CR0007R2 already to add the data model for the CCS.

There were no objections against approving the CR.

CR0005R2 is approved.

8. Enhanced Charging

Mario presented OPG_136_Doc_05_CR0008R3, a revision of the CR on charging annex that addresses concerns raised from a review on potential legal (anti-trust) issues. Following comments were raised:

- The solutions should not depend on the API payload. If not standardised, this would have significant performance impact and any requirement for the CCS to scan the API payload should thus be avoided as it needs to be quick to respond.
 - o This is covered in CR0007R2 which describes that the parameters passed to the CCS should be configurable per API. That should address the issue as CR0008 is only providing an informative annex and does not define requirements.

There were no objections against approving the CR.

CR0008R3 is approved.

Elisabeth presented OPG_136_Doc_06_CR0007R2, the second revision of the CR introducing the changes to the main body of OPG.02 for the first phase of Enhanced Charging. Following comments were made:

- The flows have been updated compared to the first revision. They should be reviewed on SharePoint
- Is the SBI-CHF between the OP and the CCS? It is indeed from functional perspective. In practice there may be gateways in between, but those would be transparent for the OP.
- Some changes were not marked as a change. This will be checked.

The CR is close to final with some editorial issues and the part on settlement to be covered still. Comments to the CR are invited in the CR folder on SharePoint (rather than to the meeting document in the meeting folders).

9. Topic Backlog

Tom presented OPG_136_Doc_07, the OPG's topic backlog. Topics from this backlog should get an owner and those that do should be prioritised for the MWC Barcelona 24 release. The backlog should thus be complete. Following comments were made:

- Deepak highlighted that he would bring some topics that came up in OPAG's discussion. He will bring a CR to cover that.

No other topics were identified as missing and no topics were proposed to be updated or removed. Given that it is stable, OPG members are requested to review the topic backlog to identify the topics that they want to take ownership of or to which they want to contribute. A formal request for owners will likely be initiated in OPG#137.

10. AOB

The OPG maintenance CR on the security sections (i.e. CR0001R4) will be reviewed by the Fraud and Security Architecture Group. OPG members are requested to inform their counterparts in that group.

OPG#137 will take place on 6th June as a virtual meeting.

A baseline CR for the OPG.02 updates in the MWC Las Vegas 23 release including the already approved topic CRs is available on SharePoint and Member Gateway.

2nd Half 2023 F2F meetings planned:

- for the MWC Barcelona 24 release:
- Dates 16 to 19 October
- Hosts are invited to make their interest known. A host should be known by mid-June to allow for sufficient time to organise visa and such.

#136 Call closed at 14:45 BST.

Action points log

Open action points

Action number	Description	Status	Notes
OPG 130.01	Sandra and Tom to include the name change of OPG.02 in the LS to SDOs to inform on the publication of the MWC Las Vegas 23 release.	On hold	on hold until the LS can be prepared at the end of the release
OPG 136.01	Sandra to check with the contributors to the MVP, especially Cristina and Fabrizio, on their preferred way forward and whether any of them could take ownership.	Open	

Closed action points

Action number	Description	Status	Notes
OPG 134.01	Tom to provide CR0008R2 to a GSMA anti-trust specialist for review	Closed	Feedback received and included in CR0008R3

Decisions

OPG.136.01	OPG_136_Doc_03 is noted
OPG.136.02	CR0005R2 is approved
OPG.136.03	CR0008R3 is approved