

## OPAG #75 Meeting Minutes

<b>Author:</b>	Tom Van Pelt	<b>Email:</b>	tpelt@gsma.com
		<b>Tel:</b>	+32 479 23 28 46
<b>Date:</b>	06/07/2022	<b>Time:</b>	13:00 – 15:00 BST
<b>Subject:</b>	OPAG #75 Meeting Minutes		
<b>Attendees:</b>			
<i>Chair</i>	Miguel Alejandro Hernandez Armengol	miguelalejandro.hernandezarmengol@telefonica.com	
Other members	Deepak Gunjal	deepak.gunjal@altran.com	
	Roberto Jorge Hernandez Perez	roberto.hernandez@americamovil.com	
	Milan Lalovic	milan.lalovic@bt.com	
	Gao Chen	cheng96@chinaunicom.cn	
	Bart van Kaathoven	bart.van.kaathoven@ericsson.com	
	Jian Zhang	zhangjian369@huawei.com	
	Yajie Hu	huyajie1@huawei.com	
	Ulrich Kleber	ulrich.kleber@huawei.com	
	Masaharu Hattori	ma-hattori@kddi.com	
	Diego Preciado Rojas	diego.preciado_rojas@nokia.com	
	Martin Oettl	martin.oettl@nokia.com	
	Hubert Mariotte	hubert.mariotte@orange.com	
	Nishant Gupta	gnishant@qti.qualcomm.com	
	Vijay Sangameshwara	s.vijay@samsung.com	
	Macus Chua	macuschua@singtel.com	
	Fabrizio Moggio	fabrizio.moggio@telecomitalia.it	
	Napolitano Antonia	antonia.napolitano@telecomitalia.it	
<b>GSMA Attendees:</b>	Tom Van Pelt Daniel Okonkwo Toyeeb Rehman	tpelt@gsma.com dokonkwo@gsma.com trehman@gsma.com	
<b>Other Attendees:</b>			
<b>Absent / apologies:</b>			

**ALL GSM ASSOCIATION MEETINGS ARE HELD IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE GSMA'S [ANTI-TRUST](#) AND IPR COMPLIANCE POLICY**

<b>Security Classification</b>		
Non-Confidential	Project Team or Group	X

© GSMA, 2023. The GSM Association ("Association") makes no representation, warranty or undertaking (express or implied) with respect to and does not accept any responsibility for and disclaims liability for the accuracy or completeness or timeliness

of the information contained in this document. The information contained in this document may be subject to change without prior notice. This document has been classified according to the GSMA [Document Confidentiality Policy](#).

## **1. GSMA Antitrust Policy and Agenda**

GSMA Anti-trust and US entity list stated were noted.

Agenda for the meeting was discussed and agreed.

## **2. OPAG#74 Meeting minutes and Actions**

Minutes of OPAG#74 were approved.

Action points were reviewed. Action 74.01 can be closed, see OPAG\_75\_Doc\_03. Action OPAG 60.06 remains work in progress.

## **3. Reply LS to 3GPP SA6 LS**

Deepak presented OPAG\_75\_Doc\_03, a revised proposal for a reply LS to 3GPP SA6 to clarify the use of the federation ID, providing further clarity on the fact that Federation IDs are exchanged offline before setting up the federation and are thus not adding value in the Create Federation request and response.

There were no comments to the LS and no objections against approving it.

OPAG\_75\_Doc\_03 is approved.

## **4. NBI realisation with OP**

Miguel presented the slides on documenting the realisation of the CAMARA APIs with the OP that were part of the agenda slide deck (OPAG\_75\_01). These highlight what should be documented for this realisation and that an owner will be needed to pull that together. Miguel commented that GitHub could be used for that which would help on the alignment with Open Gateway. He also mentioned that progress would need to be made because further APIs are expected to come soon.

Following comments were raised:

- The Open Gateway product stream prioritised further APIs to be contributed to CAMARA and is in the process of identifying owners to do that contribution. OPAG will be updated when that happens.
- Charging will need to take into account the work on the SBI-CHF
- The documentation structure and its development structure should be such that further APIs can be added easily.
- The editor/owner will mainly have to pull the document together and convert it to Word for publication.
- The realisation with the SBI is meant to be just guidance/blueprints to support deployments with the implementation of the APIs. It will not be considered the only realisation option.

Ownership will be discussed in the topic on documentation for the MWC Barcelona release.

## **5. SliceTF**

Miguel proposed an organisation of the SliceTF work that would allow more structured discussions and less impact on the other OPAG activities. The slides were

part of the agenda slide deck (OPAG\_75\_01). These propose bi-weekly SliceTF meetings (in a timeslot to be determined) with the interested parties and regular updates to OPAG. In the absence of a SliceTF leader, Miguel will lead the calls for now. Parties interested in participating should inform Miguel.

Following comments were raised:

- The group should be informed of the need to express interest if they want to participate in these separate calls.  
**Action:** Miguel to inform the group through the mailing list on setting up separate calls for the SliceTF and on how to participate.
- To avoid confusing the SDOs by having too many sources, LSs should be go through OPAG following a similar process as CRs
- Jian Zhang indicated that he's interested in participating.

## **6. MWC Barcelona 24 release and documentation**

Tom presented the planning for the MWC Barcelona 24 release and provided an overview on what documents could be covered. Following comments were raised:

- Bart needs to check whether there is SBI-NR impact from L4S, but does not expect so.
- Deepak will handle the maintenance topics for OPG.04 and the comments brought up in the review of the MWC Las Vegas 23 CR.
- For the Open Gateway topics, those are expected to be brought through CRs by the parties active on the relevant topic to ensure alignment is kept between the OPAG specifications and the work done in Open Gateway.
- For the SBI-EIN, it was asked whether that shouldn't go through OPG. Requirements have been defined though and it is assumed that they are clear. Therefore, the work in OPAG on that interface can start, but that may need expertise on SDNs and comments in previous meetings were that no standardised interface might exist.
- On the NBI/CAMARA API realisation, Miguel plans to propose a template and propose the content for QoD as an example. Hubert indicated that he wants to support the work.
- For the content relating to the CAMARA APIs, a survey could be done to identify owners for each API, but it might be better to check whether owners have been identified already during the selection process in the Open Gateway product stream.

## **7. AOB**

Nominations for a deputy-chair for OPAG and a SliceTF leader were invited.

Next meeting will be OPAG#76 scheduled on 13<sup>th</sup> July

Dates for future F2F meetings were communicated again:

- 16-19 October 2023 which will be hosted at the GSMA offices in London,
  - o Parties needing an invitation letter for a visa should inform Tom ([tvanpelt@gsma.com](mailto:tvanpelt@gsma.com)) and Mark ([mcornall@gsma.com](mailto:mcornall@gsma.com)) as soon as possible.
- 11-15 March 2024 and
- 3 to 6 September 2024.

Candidates interested in hosting the 2024 meetings are invited to make their interest known.

**#75 meeting closed at 14:15 BST**

## Action points log

### Open action points

Action number	Description	Status	Notes
OPAG 60.06	Miguel to liaise with the CAMARA project leads on whether they can introduce their API(s) to OPAG for the purposes of supporting federation and mapping to the SBI	Ongoing	QoD and Edge covered already

### Closed action points

Action number	Description	Status	Notes
OPAG 74.01	Tom to check with Deepak on the inclusion of the federation ID in the create federation response.	Closed	Deepak was contacted, resulting in the updated LS (OPAG_75_Doc_03).
OPAG 75.01	Miguel to inform the group through the mailing list on setting up separate calls for the SliceTF and on how to participate.	Closed	Mail sent

### Decisions

OPAG.75.01	OPAG_75_Doc_03 (LS to 3GPP SA6) is approved.