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The mobile phone holds the power of ubiquity. Across the developing world, around 40% of people now actively subscribe to mobile services. Well over 50% have access to a mobile, despite not owning one. Access to mobile services in the developing world has outpaced the rate at which much of the population is gaining access to basic services such as electricity, sanitation, and banking.

As the one technology that is becoming widely accessible to all populations, including those at the bottom of the economic pyramid (BOP), there has been increased focus on the invaluable role mobile technology can play in improving social, economic and environmental development in emerging markets. As the remaining unconnected populations get access to mobile technology and the capabilities it provides, the lives of these populations will fundamentally shift. The simple increase in access to information at a faster pace, from new sources, will influence everything. Affordable mobile phones and the opportunities they usher in for the poor will be one of the most dramatic game-changing technologies the world has ever seen. Industry growth will happen with or without our intervention; the role of MDI will be to educate all those interested in harnessing the power of mobile for good.
This confluence underlines the opportunity held by Mobile for Development, which seeks to draw investment and partnership to scale mobile-enabled services that can help to facilitate service delivery in the absence of traditional modes of infrastructure that would otherwise do this. Indeed, Mobile for Development is a growing sector, with well over 1,000 live services now tracked by the GSMA across the developing world in verticals such as money, health, education and entrepreneurship. The problem is that while the sector has enjoyed continued growth in the number of services over the last 5-7 years, scaling up services still proves to be a challenge and sustainable business models continue to be elusive.

This work is designed to inform and add insight to help address these challenges. It has been developed by Mobile for Development Intelligence with support from the Rockefeller Foundation. Our inclusive approach included a research process and production of an interim and final report in April and May 2013 respectively, with a series of peer review workshops held in Nairobi, Kenya and Washington DC to drive collaboration and thought leadership across stakeholder groups.
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Mobile for Development Intelligence is a freely available, online platform of mobile market and impact data, analysis and access to an active community of practice in Mobile for Development. We believe that open access to high quality data will improve business decision making, increase total investment from both the commercial mobile industry and the development sector and accelerate economic, environmental and social impact from mobile solutions.
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Nearly 50% of people own a mobile in the developing world, with this closer to 70% including those with access despite not owning one.

Relative to other consumer electronics devices, this makes mobile the nearest to ubiquity.

We expect growth to moderate a bit, but even still, an additional 130 million people will use start using mobile across the developing world each year up to 2017.

This presents an opportunity from sheer connections, but more importantly, by using mobile as an enabler to service access—both in rural and urban areas (see slides 27-32).
Network coverage remains both a driver and limitation of mobile access
- 2G coverage (which mostly services voice and text) is widespread, over 85-90% in most markets
- 3G is more limited, especially in countries where a lot of the population lives in rural areas

This is actually not a major problem now – 2G is fine for data use provided capacity is not over burdened

Smartphones are the biggest data consumers, but they are still owned by less than 10% of people (compared to nearly 50% in US/Europe)

We expect this to rise (as device prices continue to fall), but at an uneven pace, with mid and upper income groups the fastest adopters (see slides 41-45)
But, does this matter?
We believe it is more important to consider what a phone does, not what it is called
The key story is mobile data
There is an ongoing convergence in price and functionality between featurephones and lower priced smartphones (especially Android)
In this sense, the featurephone audience presents a vast (and latent) market for VAS services
It also has implications for how people generate content – here, using social networks on mobile will play a key role (see slides 49-53; companion, section 1)
A growing sector in need of scale

• Mobile for Development is a growing sector, having attracted investment from mobile operators, entrepreneurs, investors and international development groups

• However, it has largely failed to scale – if the last 5 years were about growth in services, the next 5 will be on consolidating sustainable business models and ecosystems

• The momentum has already begun, with several sectors moving to revenue-based models as opposed to relying on donor funding (see slides 56-65; companion, section 2)
SMS remains dominant, but for how long?

- SMS is the most commonly used technology for mobile-enabled services
- Voice-based services (either calling an operator, or using an IVR system) are less popular, with a niche in mobile health and agriculture applications
- This is easy to understand in the sense that SMS can be used on any phone, and it is relatively cheap to deploy
- However, we believe this will begin to change over the next 2-3 years
  - SMS is not effective for illiterate mobile users
  - Voice-based solutions have a higher up front investment, but carry longer term profit benefits
  - As smartphone penetration rises, more and more services will use apps and the mobile internet – indeed, this is already happening in the education and entrepreneurship sectors (see slides 56-58; companion, section 2)
Impact means nothing unless it lasts

- No one will dispute the value of generating social impact.
- The issue is whether that impact is sustainable.
- This presents the central challenge (and opportunity) in M4D over the next 5 years: shifting to scaled services that, while offering the prospect of social impact, are foremost businesses with a defined value proposition (see slide 89).

The importance of sustainable impact:

- Audience reach
- Ability to leverage size (e.g. to form partnerships, economies of scale and scope)
- Sustainability
- Impact

Levels of impact:

- Local
- Sub-national
- National
- Regional
- Global
Scale requires ecosystems

- Scale is very much a process of several factors coalescing
- While verticals such as mobile money or health will have some unique barriers to scale, some can be generalised across the wider sector
  - Defined value chains
  - Sustainable business models
  - Market visibility (e.g. the ability to source partnerships)
- Mobile money has so far achieved the greatest reach (having a clear value proposition), but others can follow – the demand side case is evident in the lack of service access, it is the supply side where the barriers must be overcome (see slides 90-98; companion, section 3)
What, really, is a platform?

- To understand what technology is capable of scaling, it helps to be clear about what a platform is.
- Despite liberal use of the term, there are not that many true platforms.
- In fact, most mobile-enabled services are bespoke – designed to do one thing in one market.
- Frameworks are more promising – scalable and cost effective – and it is here where more investment is needed (see slides 84-88; companion, section 3).

A more helpful view

In theory...

Conceptual breakdown

- Something that can be built on top of & reused
- Further technical conditions

The usage we’re interested in (relevant to scalability)

The technical definition of a platform (includes iOS, Android, etc.)

e.g., requires APIs, underlying rules-engines that aren’t specific to any one service

Tool

Foundation app

Foundation app

Framework

Bespoke app

Tool

Tool

Platform
Beautiful innovation, but siloed (except in mobile money)

- Mobile, growth, developing business, changing people’s lives – all are drivers for the innovation happening in mobile across the developing world

- The challenge is to consolidate this through partnerships (avoiding duplication and fragmentation) (see slide 92)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Does the mobile service use a framework?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Money</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Open framework</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>77%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
There is also a need to finance this innovation.
Nowhere is this greater than for entrepreneurs at the early stage but not yet at the point of stable revenues (pre-growth).
The good news is that the investment community (from traditional VCs to impact investors to donors) realise this and as such attitudes to risk/return are beginning to shift in favour of higher risk given the potential for disruptive innovation (despite the inherent high probability of failure).
The challenge will be in sustaining this, and with it innovation hubs with a refilling community of entrepreneurs, investors and (increasingly) mobile operator involvement (see slide 93; companion, section 3).
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Mobile market: current and outlook

Key findings

Developing world is becoming connected at a rapid pace: nearly 40% of people in the developing world now subscribe to mobile services, with subscribers having grown at over 10% a year since 2007. Taking into account people who have access to a mobile, despite not owning one, would push the connected population to well over 50%.

Network coverage is key: despite the rise in penetration, there is still a wide gap in coverage between urban and rural areas, with mobile penetration in urban areas up to double that of the rural population.

Smartphones have grown, but are not the engines of growth: smartphones have grown to the point where we estimate just under 10% of people own one in the developing world, compared to virtually no take-up in 2007. This is dominated by low cost Android devices, which have steadily declined in price to below $100. We expect growth to continue over the next 5 years, but mainly for mid and higher income segments.

Democratising data: mobile operators and internet players are developing more innovative ways to get data into the hands of lower income segments, such as through hybrid data plans or even zero-cost mobile internet browsing.

For implications, see slide 26

Relative importance*

- High
- Moderate
- Low

*While findings may have some relevance for all stakeholders, we have prioritised this to reflect the different roles, and therefore level of influence, held by each.
Impact of mobile on development sectors

### Key findings

**M4D is growing**: there are now over 800 live mobile-enabled products and services in the developing world, with growth having accelerated over the last 3 years. There are also interesting geographic distributions: mobile money in Africa, learning/education in Asia, with health and agriculture more balanced.

**Emergence of new business models**: as new sectors in the M4D space have emerged since 2009, so too have new business models. Donor funding remains the most common model in mHealth, but others drawing revenue from consumers or business (e.g. using B2C, B2B and B2B2C) are used in the money, learning and entrepreneurship sectors in particular.

**SMS remains dominant, but new technologies are emerging**: 67% of M4D services use SMS as an access medium, its popularity having *increased* since 2009. USSD also remains popular, with the use of the mobile web and apps on the rise.

![Relative importance chart](chart.png)

*While findings may have some relevance for all stakeholders, we have prioritised this to reflect the different roles, and therefore level of influence, held by each.*

For implications, see slide 55
Key findings

**Barriers to scale are multi faceted:** scale is driven by a number of factors related both to an organisation and the wider sector. Across the M4D sector, the most important are the presence of defined value chains, sustainable business models, and market visibility.

**An important distinction:** it is important to distinguish between a true platform, a framework and a bespoke service. Platforms (e.g. Linux, iOS, Android) are generic and can accommodate a range of applications or services. Frameworks (e.g. Fundamo, Frontline SMS) are less generic than platforms, but provide many re-usable tools for others to use in M4D services. Bespoke M4D services are the least generic and are generally designed for one sector in one country.

In the M4D sector, bespoke services are most common, followed by frameworks, with true platforms generally controlled by global TMT firms (with or without direct interests in M4D). We believe frameworks present the best route to scale, and warrant investment.

**Mobile money stands out:** the mobile money sector has the most defined value chain, including a layer for vendors (e.g. Fundamo, Comviva) providing the underlying frameworks the services are built on.

### Relative importance*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MNO</th>
<th>Vendor</th>
<th>Entrepreneur</th>
<th>Investor</th>
<th>International development</th>
<th>Government</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><img src="image.png" alt="High" /></td>
<td><img src="image.png" alt="High" /></td>
<td><img src="image.png" alt="High" /></td>
<td><img src="image.png" alt="High" /></td>
<td><img src="image.png" alt="High" /></td>
<td><img src="image.png" alt="High" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><img src="image.png" alt="Low" /></td>
<td><img src="image.png" alt="Low" /></td>
<td><img src="image.png" alt="Low" /></td>
<td><img src="image.png" alt="Low" /></td>
<td><img src="image.png" alt="Moderate" /></td>
<td><img src="image.png" alt="Moderate" /></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*While findings may have some relevance for all stakeholders, we have prioritised this to reflect the different roles, and therefore level of influence, held by each.
# User-centric innovation

## Key findings

**Organisations, not departments:** developing user-centric innovation means the end user must be at the heart of all parts of an organisation, including management culture, product and service design, and marketing.

**Segmentation and personas are key:** M4D service providers must define target end user segments and develop personas that clearly define the gaps and opportunities that could be met by a given service.

**Cost and communication are key barriers:** high up-front costs and the fact many M4D organisations have partnerships where each party has a different background and set of objectives create barriers to effective implementation of user-centric innovation.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Relative importance*</th>
<th>MNO</th>
<th>Vendor</th>
<th>Entrepreneur</th>
<th>Investor</th>
<th>International development</th>
<th>Government</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><img src="image" alt="High" /></td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Moderate" /></td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Low" /></td>
<td><img src="image" alt="High" /></td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Moderate" /></td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Low" /></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*While findings may have some relevance for all stakeholders, we have prioritised this to reflect the different roles, and therefore level of influence, held by each.*

For implications, see slide 108
Role of government

Key findings

**A ubiquitous influence**: the role of government on the mobile for development sector is mostly indirect through setting regulation and influencing the business environment. Direct investment in mobile-enabled services has so far been limited, with this mostly focused on the health sector.

**USFs strong in theory, weak in reality**: Despite Universal Service Funds (USFs) offering a way of underpinning coverage expansion to rural areas, these have been largely mired by inefficiency. Around $11 billion has been collected worldwide but not actually been put towards any projects, with 97% of this in the developing world.

**Good business opportunities, not always the best environments**: while the culture for entrepreneurship has improved in many markets (e.g. parts of Asia, Latam), there are still developing regions languishing – 63% of markets in Africa and 33% in South Asia still fall into the bottom quartile worldwide in the ease of doing business.

### Relative importance*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>MNO</th>
<th>Vendor</th>
<th>Entrepreneur</th>
<th>Investor</th>
<th>International development</th>
<th>Government</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>High</strong></td>
<td>✅</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Moderate</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>✅</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Low</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✅</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*While findings may have some relevance for all stakeholders, we have prioritised this to reflect the different roles, and therefore level of influence, held by each.*

For implications, see slide 131
Learnings: Rockefeller Foundation

- Mobile has become a transformative technology, with ownership in the developing world nearing 50%, and still significant headroom for growth over the next 5 years. This growth will likely be fuelled by populations at the lower and middle income level, further underlining the opportunity of mobile-enabled services targeting these segments.

- Mobile for Development as a sector is growing. The challenge over the next 3-5 years is to move away from growth purely in the number of services towards a scalable and sustainable model. From a foundation perspective, we would highlight two points in this context:
  - **Focus on the cross sector.** The route to scaling a sector is to re-use technology (frameworks) in different verticals, and in different countries. This horizontal, as opposed to vertical, way of thinking is driven by the recognition that, already, many services are essentially doing the same thing but under different brand names – whether this is in P2P (e.g. mobile-based recruitment solutions), in transactions using mobile money frameworks or other ways discussed in this report – which provides opportunities for leveraging existing technologies for more than their originally intended purpose.
  - **Flexible business models.** To harness the innovation that is being developed by entrepreneurs and other organisations, it is important that investors and foundations recognise the need for flexibility in business models. A crucial, yet largely unfulfilled, requirement is for organisations to align services with customer needs. In a rapidly changing consumer environment, these needs are fluid, and as such business models are likely to change over time. Established risk perceptions in mature markets will need to be reconsidered in light of this to ensure this supply of innovation is harnessed. This is indeed starting to happen, the challenge will be in gaining widespread recognition from the investment and development community. We believe the Rockefeller Foundation can play a key role, both through its interventions and role as a thought leader.

- The cross sector view and driving sustainable business models are changes that will be most effective from a foundation perspective if integrated at the organisational level. We see this report as a platform for further discussions between MDI and the Rockefeller Foundation on achieving this, and ultimately helping both parties in articulating these implications to the wider mobile and development communities.
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## Key findings

**Developing world is becoming connected at a rapid pace:** nearly 40% of people in the developing world now subscribe to mobile services, with subscribers having grown at over 10% a year since 2007. Taking into account people who have access to a mobile, despite not owning one, would push the connected population to well over 50%.

**Network coverage is key:** despite the rise in penetration, there is still a wide gap in coverage between urban and rural areas, with mobile penetration in urban areas up to double that of the rural population.

**Smartphones have grown, but are not the engines of growth:** smartphones have grown to the point where we estimate just under 10% of people own one in the developing world, compared to virtually no take-up in 2007. This is dominated by low cost Android devices, which have steadily declined in price to below $100. We expect growth to continue over the next 5 years, but mainly for mid and higher income segments.

**Democratising data:** mobile operators and internet players are developing more innovative ways to get data into the hands of lower income segments, such as through hybrid data plans or even zero-cost mobile internet browsing.

## Key implications

**Harness the scale:** while growth in the number of people using a mobile will moderate over the next 5 years, we still expect 130 million new mobile services subscribers *every year* to 2017. This means an increasing total addressable mobile for development market, uniquely positioned to use the mobile as an alternative to traditional modes of service delivery.

**Bridging the coverage gap is multi pronged:** to bridge the gap will require both further network roll-out and alternative solutions, such as by using green power for rural base stations. There is also a role for GSMA in lobbying for benign regulatory environments, and community power, which can be used both to aid mobile connectivity and access to utilities such as water and electricity.

**Featurephones and smartphones blur:** it is increasingly important to consider the convergence in price and functionality between higher end featurephones and lower end smartphones. M4D service providers should be aware that as smartphone penetration rises, while this opens a more personalised experience, it carries trade-offs, such as lower build quality and battery life.

**Mobile data is the common denominator:** more people, including those at the low income end, will gain access to mobile data, either on featurephones or smartphones. M4D services can tap into a range of handsets and through a range of mediums (e.g. pre-installed apps on a featurephone, browsing on a smartphone).
Mobile: the closest to ubiquity

- On an ownership basis, the mobile phone is the most widely owned communication device in the developing world
- The PC is owned by a much smaller share of people, with tablets smaller still
- Access to a PC will be greater than ownership given device sharing, but the same is true of mobile, so the gap is unlikely to change

Penetration of population (developing world)

Note: mobile is proportion of people that subscribe to mobile services
Source: MDI estimates based on GSMA Intelligence, Strategy Analytics, Telegeography
While access to basic services such as electricity and sanitation is near universal in most developed markets, it remains a minority in developing regions.

Mobile access – either through direct ownership or having access to a mobile in the household – is more widespread, positioning it as a unique catalyst helping to increase access to these services.

*Mobile and financial services includes select countries

Source: GSMA Intelligence, GSMA Mobile Money program, IEA, World Bank, MDI Analysis
High growth economies, even higher in mobile

- There are now around 6 billion people living in the developing world, six times that of the developed.
- Incomes remain much lower, but have grown at 5% a year over the last 4 years.
- Mobile adoption has grown even faster, but still over half the developing world population is yet to own a mobile, leaving a large opportunity for the mobile industry, and in turn presenting social and economic opportunities in connecting low income segments (e.g. Mobile for Development sector).

*Compound Annual Growth Rate. Population and GDP/capita are for 2011.
Source: GSMA Intelligence, IMF, MDI Analysis.
Growth will come from the developing world

- Growth in active mobile subscribers in the developing world has been very strong the last 5 years at over 10%.
- Even though we expect growth to slow to 2017, this still translates into around 130 million new people subscribing to mobile services every year in the developing world.
- By contrast, most mature markets have reached saturation (something which will happen in developing regions, but not for several years).

**Active mobile subscriber growth**

**Humans that subscribe to mobile services**

Source: GSMA Intelligence, MDI Analysis
We draw an important distinction between total penetration and active subscriber penetration.

**Total penetration** reflects all SIM cards (for mobiles, tablets etc), but also counts multi-SIM owners (common in the developing world to save money on calls) and some people who are registered but are only very infrequent users of their phone.

**Active subscriber penetration** reflects our estimate for the number of people who actively subscribe to mobile services.

This is a more representative measure in market sizing Mobile for Development:
- Reflects potential human user base of a service
- Overlay population with access to a mobile (relevant for some sectors such as mobile learning)

---

Graphs showing penetration rates for the developing and developed worlds from 2007 to 2017.

- **Developing world**
  - Difference due to:
    - Multi SIM ownership
    - Inactive SIMs
    - Other connected devices (e.g. tablets, dongles)

- **Developed world**
  - Difference between developing and developed world due to:
    - Maturity of market
    - Ownership barriers (e.g. income levels, cultural factors)
    - Access vs. outright ownership

Source: GSMA Intelligence, MDI Analysis
There is considerable variation in mobile penetration within the developing world, although penetration has risen fairly evenly over the last 5 years.

Central/Eastern Europe is nearing maturity in penetration terms, while most other regions still have significant headroom.

South Asia (e.g. India, Bangladesh, Sri Lanka) and Africa are the highest growth regions at close to 20% over the last 5 years, and we expect these regions to continue as growth leaders over the next 5 years, albeit at a slower pace.

Note: developing world only
Source: GSMA Intelligence, MDI Analysis
Rise in the developing world

Mobile penetration

2007

2012

2017

Note: penetration is of active mobile subscribers (e.g. those who subscribe to mobile services)
Source: GSMA Intelligence, MDI Analysis, Google Fusion
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Urban/rural divide

- Large coverage gap
  - Cost of network roll out
  - Return on investment to mobile operator

- Shared access brings several implications
  - Augments the M4D reachable audience
  - Latent demand for mobile ownership
  - Virtual SIM technology (e.g. Movirtu)
    - Multiple log ins on one phone, each with a separate tariff (e.g. for women who could not otherwise own a phone)
    - Mobile as a utility (for now)
  - Design of M4D services (personalised nature)
  - This form of access likely to continue in rural markets in particular

Active subscriber penetration

- South Africa: 62% Urban, 40% Rural
- Ghana: 63% Urban, 30% Rural
- India: 32% Urban, 20% Rural

Active subscriber penetration, South Africa

- Urban: 14% No access to phone, 25% Access to shared phone in household, 50% Own basic or feature phone
- Rural: 12% No access to phone, 39% Access to shared phone in household, 38% Own basic or feature phone

Source: GSMA Intelligence, AMPS, Ghana Statistical Service, MDI Analysis
Income divide

- We show here one estimate based on data from South Africa.

- If these figures are accurate and indicative of other countries, there are interesting implications that arise.

- Little difference in penetration between incomes of below $850/year up to $11,000 year ($2-$30/day).

- Implies mobile seen more as utility than luxury, even for those with little disposable income.

Active subscriber penetration, South Africa

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Annual household income (pre tax)</th>
<th>No access to phone</th>
<th>Access to shared phone in household</th>
<th>Own phone</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Less than $850</td>
<td>29%</td>
<td>34%</td>
<td>38%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$850 to $11,000</td>
<td>22%</td>
<td>37%</td>
<td>41%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>More than $11,000</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>71%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: AMPS, MDI Analysis
User behaviours – what do people do on their phone?

- In Africa, call minutes are generally higher than texts (e.g. around 3-4 minutes per day vs. 1-2 SMS)

- There is also the use of other functions using a mobile
  - P2P money transfers
  - Cash ins and outs using a mobile account

- Text-based communication should be seen beyond just SMS
  - Social networking – e.g. 5% of African population uses Facebook, but this goes up to 30% among those who use the internet
  - As people get even low end phones with basic data access, social networks likely to become more popular mode of communication on mobile

Note: SMS data for India, Thailand, Malaysia not available for this report
Source: GSMA Wireless Intelligence, GSMA Mobile Money for the Unbanked 2011 Global Mobile Money Adoption Survey, Internet World Stats
## Prepaid and contract plans

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Prepaid</th>
<th>Contract</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Term</strong></td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Commit to minimum (e.g. 18, 24 months)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Customer spend</strong></td>
<td>Limited by size of top up (often $5 or under)</td>
<td>Minimum = contracted months x monthly tariff</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Maximum = minimum + overage + other (e.g. roaming)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Barriers to acquisition</strong></td>
<td>Logistical (e.g. proximity to an airtime vendor)</td>
<td>Low income</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SIM registration provides identity barrier</td>
<td>Lack of identity documents</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Poor credit history</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Lack of credit history</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Mobile operator view</strong></td>
<td>Lower customer lifetime value on airtime fees; less willing to subsidise handsets</td>
<td>Higher customer lifetime value on airtime fees; more willing to subsidise handsets</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Limited ownership of customer</td>
<td>Better knowledge/relationship of end users</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lower data/VAS uptake</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Is the dominant structure in</strong></td>
<td>Africa, Middle East, Latam, parts of Asia (e.g. China, India)</td>
<td>North America, Western Europe, parts of Asia (e.g. South Korea, Taiwan)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: MDI Analysis
How do the mobile operators think about different markets?

**Digital pioneers and connected players**
- E. Asia, N. America, Nordics, Australasia, W. Europe

**Discoverers**
- Africa, S. Asia

**Fast growers**
- Middle East, Latam, SE Asia, E. Europe, Russia, China, S. Africa

**Challenges**

- Monetising network investment
- Data explosion and network capacity
- Stagnation of traditional revenue
- 3G network roll outs
- Low cost internet ecosystems
- Strengthening customer engagement

**Strategic focus**

- Intelligent networks
- 4G roll out
- Service innovation
- Revenue diversification
- Cost effective network coverage
- Service innovation addressing local needs

Note: sphere size based on population

Source: GSMA, MDI Analysis
High growth, low spend

• Growth of the mobile sector in many developing countries is higher than in mature markets given their high economic growth and continued rise in mobile penetration.

• However, people spend much less on mobile in developing markets, as the vast majority of users are prepay, making airtime costs a higher share of income (e.g. 2-3% on average, more for markets such as Kenya, compared to around 1% in developed markets).

• This ratio would be even higher among the base of pyramid users.

Note: Figures are for 2011. ARPU is per month in US $.
Source: GSMA Intelligence, IMF
There is a higher concentration of market share in developing relative to developed markets.

However, this has mitigated in many developing markets over the last few years (the opposite has occurred in some notable developed markets such as the US and UK).

Lower concentration generally translates into a more competitive marketplace, particularly by reducing prices.

In combination with several other factors (e.g. increased network coverage, lower handset prices), this has helped drive an increase in mobile penetration in the developing world.

Key for governments to understand this virtuous circle to promote healthy competition.

Mobile operator competition (HHI)

- Rwanda
- Mexico
- China
- Kenya
- South Africa
- Ghana
- UK
- Indonesia
- Germany
- Brazil
- US
- India

HHI = sum of squared subscriber market share

The greater the value, the greater the concentration of market share (generally less competitive)

Source: GSMA Intelligence, MDI Analysis
Smartphones and featurephones

- We estimate still less than 10% of people have a smartphone in the developing world (nearing 50% in US/Europe)

- Smartphone penetration will rise, but less for low income segments

- Regional variation, especially for smartphones
  - Higher in Latam
  - Lower in Africa (where the smartphone may serve more of a community role (e.g. community health worker, agricultural co-op’s) for the time being

- By sheer size, East Asia (dominated by China), Africa and South Asian regions have the most mobile subscribers

Note: figures are estimated for 2012
Source: MDI estimates based on GSMA Intelligence, Strategy Analytics
Outlook

Key influencing factors

1. Networks and coverage
   - Investment
   - Coverage expansion (urban to rural)

2. Handset utility and access to data
   - Handset range
   - Handset price
   - Innovation in access to data
   - Subsidies

3. Income growth
   - GDP growth
   - Growth in GDP per capita

Mobile penetration will continue to inexorably rise, but…

The shape and dynamics are more fluid, particularly in terms of the impact on lives of the base of pyramid versus the mass market

Source: MDI Analysis
2G coverage is generally much more widespread than 3G in developing markets (average 2G coverage is around 95% of population, with 3G often below 70%).

3G coverage is growing, but there remains a sizeable urban-rural coverage gap due to roll out costs.
Networks and coverage: roll out to come

- Coverage will continue to increase, especially for 3G

- But what does this really mean?

- Handsets can access data on 2G networks (via GPRS), but the capacity (e.g. number of people using data) is less than 3G

- For the Base of Pyramid and other lower income segments, 2G coverage is sufficient to enable further rises in mobile penetration and even data use

- 3G coverage is a leading factor for higher intensity smartphone penetration (e.g. watching video) – in other words, what people do on a phone, not supporting whether they can own a phone

Source: Ericsson, MDI Analysis
Mobile networks transfer data over radio spectrum, while fixed broadband networks transfer data via copper or fibre optic.

This means the data economics are more challenging using mobile.

Currently, this is not a problem because most people in developing markets use featurephones, which use less data than smartphones, and much less than a home broadband connection.

However, as more people use data that networks have to absorb, the cost of data to consumers may rise, with more stringent usage caps also a possibility.

Usage caps are more likely to impact mid and high end users, with price rises impacting mid and lower income segments.
Lack of reliable coverage in rural areas is partly because many network sites are off the electricity grid.

For these areas, mobile operators can either power sites using a diesel generator or alternative means.

**Green Power** increasingly used in sub-Saharan Africa (e.g. Kenya)
- Requires capex commitment from the MNO, but is a cheaper power source than diesel in the long run (ROI 2-3 years)
- Number of green sites steadily increasing
- Infill solution to increase rural coverage

Smaller, but more limited, infill possibilities include IP-based connections (e.g. Range Networks)

Network sites on the electricity grid

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>On grid</th>
<th>Off grid</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Kenya</td>
<td>90%</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tanzania</td>
<td>69%</td>
<td>31%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uganda</td>
<td>59%</td>
<td>41%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Power solution for off grid sites

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>24x7 DG</th>
<th>DG-battery hybrid</th>
<th>Green Power</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Kenya</td>
<td>28%</td>
<td>60%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tanzania</td>
<td>31%</td>
<td>65%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uganda</td>
<td>40%</td>
<td>58%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: DG = diesel generator. Data as of September 2012
Source: GSMA Green Power for Mobile, Range Networks, MDI Analysis
Networks and coverage: utility access through mobile

Potential impact on mobile ARPU for off-grid customers is 14%+

1. Power from BTS infrastructure
   • (i) Outsource power solution to ESCo who sells community energy services or
   • (ii) Sell power from over-capacity of BTS power equipment
   • Examples: OMC Power, Desi Power, Applied Solar Technologies (AST)

2. CPM from retail distribution network
   • Leveraging extensive rural sales dealer/retail network for distribution or sale of charging/lighting devices through commercial partnerships
   • Examples: Fenix International and MTN Uganda, Nokero, Azuri Technologies

3. Power with payment technology
   • Opportunity for micro e-payments: high volumes of small payments for off-grid domestic and small business energy
   • Examples: m-Kopa, Mobisol

Source: Digicell, GSMA Mobile Enabled Community Services
Handsets and data: featurephones and Android

- Smartphones are still less than a third of handset sales in most emerging markets, with featurephones (e.g. Nokia, Samsung models) dominant

- Android is by far the largest smartphone platform; it now takes a quarter of all handset sales in Latin America and nearly 15% in the Middle East and Africa

- Android has particular advantages for developers compared to other platforms
  - Lower cost devices in the ecosystem
  - Larger audience
  - Open source (more flexible)

- Convergence: Android with featurephones

Note: ASP (Average Selling Price) and sales share for Q3 2012
Source: Strategy Analytics, company websites, MDI Analysis
Handsets and data: smartphones blurring with featurephones

- There is now a convergence between smartphones at the low end and featurephones at the high end on price and functionality

- Important implications:
  - As prices fall, smartphones open to wider audience, with potential for richer experience
  - However, cheaper smartphones may compromise on quality (e.g. build, battery power – problematic for rural areas)
  - In time, smartphone adoption will rise even among lower income segments
  - Over short to medium term, featurephones likely to remain the dominant handset type in most developing countries
    - Reliable phones for voice calls and SMS
    - Potential for enhanced experience using data

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>iPhone 4S (smartphone)</th>
<th>X100 (China) (smartphone)</th>
<th>Nokia Asha 305 (featurephone)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>OS</td>
<td>iOS 5</td>
<td>Android 2.3</td>
<td>Series 40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Screen</td>
<td>Touch</td>
<td>Touch</td>
<td>Touch</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Price ($, wholesale)</td>
<td>&gt;$500</td>
<td>$99</td>
<td>$60-90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Camera (MP)</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Processing power (MHz)</td>
<td>1,000</td>
<td>650</td>
<td>1,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: prices indicative, as of January 2013
Source: Strategy Analytics, company websites, MDI Analysis
The developing world accounts for a majority of handset sales but a minority of subsidy (portion of handset cost paid for by mobile operator).

This is because most people using a mobile phone in the developing world do so on a prepaid plan (which operators generally do not subsidise).

The economics governing customer lifetime value mean that this is unlikely to change over the next 2-3 years.

This means that the mobile community (operators and others) are looking at new ways of enhancing the experience of lower income users, such as through innovative access to data.

---

**Global share of handset subsidies**

- North America: 54% subsidy, 13% handset sales (handset subsidy)
- Western Europe: 22% subsidy, 12% handset sales (handset subsidy)
- Asia Pacific: 43% subsidy, 18% handset sales (handset subsidy)
- Central and Latin America: 3% subsidy, 13% handset sales (handset subsidy)
- Central and Eastern Europe: 3% subsidy, 7% handset sales (handset subsidy)
- Africa/Middle East: 0% subsidy, 12% handset sales (handset subsidy)

Note: data is for 2011
Source: Strategy Analytics, MDI Analysis
Handsets and data: democratising data

Internet (OTT) players

- Google
- Opera Mini
- Mozilla

• **Google Free Zone**
  - Free internet access for Google search, Gmail, Google+.
  - Further browsing is charged
  - **Trials in Philippines, South Africa, Indonesia from November 2012**, full roll-out pending success of trials

• **Facebook Zero, Wikipedia Zero**
  - Free access to these sites on mobile internet

• **Key implications:**
  - Designed for basic and featurephones (e.g. majority of mobile users in emerging markets)
  - Data into the hands of lower income groups
  - Content creation (see slide 31, ‘Implications for user engagement’)

Mobile operators

- Hybrid data plans
  - Prepay element: customer caps spend on data each month
  - Contract element: commitment term
  - Avoids barriers to contract (e.g. proof of identity)
  - Operators more willing to subsidise handsets (featurephones or smartphones)

Source: Google, Opera Software, Facebook, Wikipedia, mobile operator websites, MDI Analysis
Income growth

- The last 5 years have brought about significant growth in the income per capita in many emerging markets, while this has broadly stagnated in developed countries.
- We must caution the likely skew from higher income groups.
- The proportion of the population in the BoP has been falling (and will likely have continued falling since 2008), although there is significant regional variation.
- To the extent this decline continues, combined with declines in the cost of mobile ownership, this will be an additional driver for mobile penetration and, in middle income groups, upgrades to smartphones.

Source: IMF (income per capita), World Bank (Base of Pyramid), MDI Analysis
As mobile penetration rises, we expect growth in user generated content to follow. This is already being seen with mobile activity on Wikipedia:
- Orange Kenya: 87% growth in mobile Wikipedia page views in 4 months to October 2012, following launch of Wikipedia Zero (growth for rest of Kenya of -7%)
- Orange Niger: 77% growth on the same basis (6% growth for the rest of Niger)

...and Twitter
- 57% of tweets from Africa come from a mobile
- Local content is key: 68% of twitter users get news through the platform, 22% search for jobs

...and high growth in use of the mobile version of the Opera Mini browser in Africa (mainly featurephones)

Growth in Opera Mini use in Africa

Note: Opera Mini figures are for the 12 months to March 2012
Source: Opera Software, Wikimedia, Portland Communications, MDI Analysis
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**What you need to know**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Key findings</th>
<th>Key implications</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>M4D is growing:</strong> there are now over 800 live mobile-enabled products and services in the developing world, with growth having accelerated over the last 3 years. There are also interesting geographic distributions: mobile money in Africa, learning/education in Asia, with health and agriculture more balanced.</td>
<td><strong>The need for scale:</strong> while the number of M4D services continues to rise, there remains a general lack of scale achieved (with some exceptions, such as in the mobile money sector). The drive for social impact must be balanced by the need for scale (social impact is desirable, but must be sustainable).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Emergence of new business models:</strong> as new sectors in the M4D space have emerged since 2009, so too have new business models. Donor funding remains the most common model in mHealth, but others drawing revenue from consumers or business (e.g. using B2C, B2B and B2B2C) are used in the money, learning and entrepreneurship sectors in particular.</td>
<td><strong>Diversification likely to continue:</strong> while some sectors have established clearly defined business models that are unlikely to change (e.g. mobile money, where mobile operators make money on transaction volume), others are still evolving (e.g. an increasing focus on B2B in the mobile entrepreneurship sector).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>SMS remains dominant, but new technologies are emerging:</strong> 67% of M4D services use SMS as an access medium, its popularity having increased since 2009. USSD also remains popular, with the use of the mobile web and apps on the rise.</td>
<td><strong>Balance basic functionality with growing data adoption:</strong> SMS likely to remain a ubiquitous delivery medium given its ease of use, but M4D services designed to run via the mobile internet, through apps, or be hosted in the cloud are likely to increase, particularly in sectors focused on interactive content and P2P (e.g. mLearning and entrepreneurship).</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
• Strong growth in the number of M4D services launched over the last 3 years

• Crucial to consider scale of each sector, not just the number of services or projects

Note: figures based only on mobile-enabled products and services in developing world tracked by GSMA (including those merged/closed)
Excludes services in pipeline with an impending launch
Source: MDI Analysis
We show below the geographical distribution of live M4D services in the developing world tracked by the GSMA.

Mobile money has a concentration in Africa, learning and education in Asia, while health and agriculture are more evenly split between these two regions.

Live deployments

Note: figures based only on mobile-enabled products and services in developing world tracked by GSMA. As of September 2012; excludes services in pipeline with an impending launch.

Source: MDI Analysis
Our work so far…

Over 800 M4D services tracked

- Business model
  • Where will the service generate revenue from?
    • Consumer
    • Donor
    • Business
    • Open Source
    • Government
    • Advertising

- Device
  • What type of device is the service aimed at?
    • Basic phone (e.g.,)
    • Feature phone (e.g.,)
    • Smart phone (e.g.,)
    • PC/ laptop
    • Tablet
    • Other

- Delivery technology
  • What mobile delivery technology will the service utilise?
    • Native Voice
    • IVR
    • SMS
    • USSD
    • Text-to-Audio
    • Web
    • Apps
    • WAP

- Enablers
  • What kind of products and services are being offered?
    • Interactive content
    • Push content
    • Payments
    • Peer to Peer
    • Data Collection
    • Call Centre
    • Inventory management

Findings

Analysis & initial findings

Current GSMA resources

Further research & definitions

Source: MDI Analysis
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Product/service type</th>
<th>Consumer (MNO led)</th>
<th>Consumer (non MNO led)</th>
<th>Business</th>
<th>Advertising</th>
<th>Government</th>
<th>Open Source</th>
<th>Donor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Rolled out as a value added service (VAS) by an MNO</td>
<td>• Revenue generated directly by end user</td>
<td>• Businesses targeted by service to generate revenue</td>
<td>• Revenue generated from advertising delivered through service itself</td>
<td>• Primary funding comes from government</td>
<td>• Service based around open source software/framework</td>
<td>• Primary funding comes from donor organisations, usually in a lump sum grant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• While it may not earn revenue from customer directly, VAS designed to drive new customer uptake/ reduce customer churn.</td>
<td>• e.g. subscription, one off mobile money payment</td>
<td>• Generally supports internal business processes (e.g. Inventory management), or core business services (e.g., recruitment)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Value derived from external parties adopting service</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Call Centre</td>
<td>Interactive content</td>
<td>Peer to peer content</td>
<td>Push content</td>
<td>Data collection</td>
<td>Inventory management</td>
<td>Payments</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Simple voice call to a trained human content provider</td>
<td>• Content based services that users can access by querying a central database</td>
<td>• Social networks and posting systems, users create and access content</td>
<td>• Content pushed out (one way) via voice message or SMS</td>
<td>• Create customised surveys and send them to fieldworkers’ mobiles</td>
<td>• Supply chain management and stock ordering tools</td>
<td>• Mobile wallets, payment gateways and a wide range of payment based services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• May be delivered via IVR, SMS, USSD, app, WAP, etc.</td>
<td>• Wide range of delivery mechanisms, even including voice</td>
<td>• May be “broadcast” or “narrowcast” (customised by location / user profile)</td>
<td></td>
<td>• Product security / validation tools</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Spotlight: use cases of mobile by sector

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Financial inclusion</th>
<th>Health</th>
<th>Agriculture</th>
<th>Learning</th>
<th>Entrepreneurship</th>
<th>Corporate &amp; NGO use</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Money transfer</td>
<td>Health education and promotion</td>
<td>Helplines for advice and trading assistance</td>
<td>Literacy and numeracy</td>
<td>Business advice helplines</td>
<td>Prepaid airtime vending systems</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Airtime and prepaid services</td>
<td>Reminders for patients to take medicines</td>
<td>Broadcast and narrowcast advice and weather updates</td>
<td>Financial literacy</td>
<td>Job posting and trading platforms</td>
<td>Surveying tools</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bill payment</td>
<td>Remote patient monitoring and diagnosis</td>
<td>Crop insurance and agricultural financial services</td>
<td>Technology literacy</td>
<td>Training and skills development</td>
<td>Fieldworker communications tools</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bank account management</td>
<td>Healthcare micro-payments</td>
<td>Fair trade compliance tools</td>
<td>Language learning</td>
<td>Store / SME management tools</td>
<td>Crisis monitoring tools</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Micro-credit</td>
<td>Data collection tools for health workers</td>
<td>Weather monitoring on base stations</td>
<td>Workforce training</td>
<td>Inventory ordering and management tools</td>
<td>Supply chain management tools</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Micro-savings</td>
<td>Health worker training and capacity building</td>
<td>Agricultural supply chain management tools</td>
<td>Entrepreneurial skills and career development</td>
<td>Store / SME management tools</td>
<td>ICT training resources for small organisations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Micro-insurance</td>
<td>Medical supply chain optimisation</td>
<td>Drug verification</td>
<td>Job advice and connection</td>
<td>Inventory ordering and management tools</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corporate payments</td>
<td>Specialised medical devices</td>
<td>Specialised medical devices</td>
<td>Teacher training and support</td>
<td>Job advice and connection</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mobile commerce</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Classroom tools and resources</td>
<td>Teacher training and support</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social payments</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Classroom tools and resources</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: MDI Analysis
### Device

#### Delivery technology

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Device</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Basic phone</td>
<td>Offers basic voice services (telephony/voice mail), SMS and USSD based services.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| Feature phone   | Basic phone features plus…  
|                 | • Internet enabled, supports transmission of picture messages downloading music, built-in camera                                                                                                   |
| Smartphone      | Feature phone features plus…  
|                 | • Graphical interfaces and touchscreen capability, built-in Wi-Fi, and GPS (global positioning system)                                                                                                  |
| PC/laptop       | Personal desktop computer, or laptop. Typically running Windows, or maybe Linux OS.                                                                                                                        |
| Tablet          | Smart phone features plus…  
|                 | • Larger screen, increased computing power, front and rear facing cameras, extra ports (e.g., USB)                                                                                                       |
| Other           | A “catch all” for devices not included in the above  
|                 | • E.g., modems, Personal digital assistance (PDA), etc.                                                                                                                                                |
| Voice           | Basic telephony services, with voice delivered over a mobile network.                                                                                                                                       |
| IVR             | Interactive voice response, allows a computer to interact with humans through & voice recognition navigation and DTMF tones via keypad                                                                 |
| SMS             | Short Messaging Service, allows exchange of short text messages between mobile phone devices.                                                                                                               |
| USSD            | Unstructured Supplementary Service Data. A synchronous message service creating a real-time M2P connection allowing a two-way exchange of data, mostly through menu structures |
| Text-to-Speech  | A system of interlinked hypertext documents accessed via the Internet; also accessible via enabled mobile devices                                                                                         |
| Web             | A software application designed to run on mobile devices. (typically smartphones, and tablet computers)                                                                                                      |
| Apps            | Wireless Application Protocol for accessing information over mobile network. WAP browsers typically found on older feature phones.                                                                        |

Source: MDI Analysis
Popular access technologies

Voice
- Interactive Voice Response (IVR)
- Voice

Messaging
- SMS
- USSD
- MMS

Browsing
- WAP
- Web

Apps
- Embedded
- Java (J2ME)
- Android

Examples
- BBC WST Janala (Bangladesh)
- Manobi Agriculture (Senegal)
- HIV-911 (South Africa)
- Tata Telecom m-Krishi (India)
- mDhil (India)
- Kantoo English (Chile)
- Nokia Life Tools (India, Indonesia)
- Esoko (Africa)
- Infonet Biovision (Kenya)

Source: MDI Analysis
Business model: shifting to consumer revenue

- Just under half of m-services across all sectors sell a product or service to consumers
- Donor-based funding accounts for a similar share, but this is heavily skewed by the mHealth sector
- This has changed over the last 3 years, with newer projects less reliant on donor funding and more on a consumer-based business model

![Business model of mobile services](chart1)

![How m-services are funded](chart2)

Note: services often use more than one funding model, so percentages will add up to more than 100%

Source: MDI Analysis
Mobile money services are most likely to be funded at least partly through consumer revenue.

Emerging entrepreneurship services have a B2B focus, with applications in e-commerce, logistics and inventory management.

Advertising is not yet widely used:
- Developing market MNOs and VAS suppliers do not yet have advertising capability built into their networks.
- Not yet defined end user market.

Heatmaps are used to compare sectors on a given factor (here, business model) or to look at change in a sector over time.

The shading is based on the frequency of a given category.

Source: MDI Analysis
Here we look at the popularity of different business models for M4D services that launched before 2009 vs. after 2009.

There has been a shift to use different business models M4D sector over the last 3 years (evident in health sector):
  - Increase in end-user pay
  - Increase in m-services offered as part of wider suite of services from a mobile operator
  - On average, less reliance on donor funding

On the other hand, m-services focused on entrepreneurship have consolidated around a B2B model (e.g. job advertising platforms, e-commerce).

---

### Pre 2009

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Health</th>
<th>E&amp;E</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Consumer (non MNO)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Donor</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consumer (MNO)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Open source</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Government</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Advertising</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 2009-12

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Health</th>
<th>E&amp;E</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Consumer (non MNO)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Donor</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consumer (MNO)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Open source</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Government</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Advertising</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Basic and featurephones remain by far the most common devices for m-services use. Smartphones are targeted by a third of m-services – most popular in mLearning and mEntrepreneurship.

SMS and its text-based cousin, USSD, are the most popular delivery modes. This owes to their nature of being ubiquitous technologies in the mobile world (making them cheap to deploy and available on any handset) and ease of use.

Note: services can be designed for multiple devices or technologies, so percentages will add up to more than 100%.

Source: MDI Analysis
Technology heatmap

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Money</th>
<th>Health</th>
<th>Agriculture</th>
<th>Learning</th>
<th>E&amp;E</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Native Voice</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>143</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>156</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IVR</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SMS</td>
<td>133</td>
<td>287</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>557</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>USSD</td>
<td>133</td>
<td>159</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>331</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WAP</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Web</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>175</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>285</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apps</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>127</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>203</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Traditional voice** calls still used in mHealth (e.g. to seek medical advice), though not in other sectors.

**SMS** is the most popular way to deliver an M4D service to the end user across all sectors.

**USSD-based** services also widely used, but most concentrated for mobile money.

Services using the mobile web popular in the entrepreneurship sector (e.g. location-based services).

Source: MDI Analysis
The enduring power of SMS

- More m-services are now being designed for use on a smartphone (health, learning and entrepreneurship services in particular), although SMS remains the most commonly used mobile technology to deliver m-services despite this.
- On the other hand, fewer services are launching using traditional voice, although this is likely due to the complexity and cost of such services rather than its effectiveness.

m-services delivered via...

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Service</th>
<th>Pre 2009</th>
<th>2009-12</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SMS</td>
<td>65%</td>
<td>76%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>USSD</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td>52%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Native voice</td>
<td>23%</td>
<td>13%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Featurephone</td>
<td>77%</td>
<td>85%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smartphones</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>38%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Launch year
- Pre 2009
- 2009-12

Source: MDI Analysis
Product and service function (enabler)

- The product/service type (enabler) looks at the function the service is actually performing regardless of the vertical sector – in this way, it is a _horizontal_ way of looking at M4D.
- The wide use of push content is mainly driven by SMS-based services in the health, agriculture and learning sectors.
- Other horizontals are more specific (e.g. data collection for mHealth services, payments in mobile money, inventory management in entrepreneurship services).

**M4D service function**

- **Push content:** 43%
- **Data collection:** 31%
- **Interactive content:** 29%
- **Payments:** 20%
- **P2P content:** 16%
- **Call centre:** 14%
- **Inventory management:** 3%

Source: MDI Analysis
## Enabler heatmap

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Interactive content</th>
<th>Money</th>
<th>Health</th>
<th>Agriculture</th>
<th>Learning</th>
<th>E&amp;E</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Push content</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Payments</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P2P</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Data collection</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Call centre</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inventory management</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Interactive content** plays well into languages (often English) and other educational materials.

**Push and interactive content** (mainly through SMS, USSD) can be used to deliver weather alerts, soil agronomy information and crop prices.

**Call centre** generally found in the health sector (as with traditional voice). Intuitively it would also suit mobile agriculture services, though cost and complexity may reduce its popularity in this vertical.

Source: MDI Analysis
Mobile money

- Most scaled of all mobile for development verticals
- Polarised: few big players, long tail
- Concentration of activity in East Africa
  - Even excluding industry champion m-Pesa, region accounts for around 50% of m-money transactions worldwide*
- Opportunity for increased scale
  - Emerging presence of large equipment vendors (Ericsson, Huawei)
  - 2.5bn unbanked, of which 1bn have mobile
- Potential for re-use
  - Payment as the common denominator
  - e.g. m-Kopa (solar lighting)

Mobile money – registered customers

As of June 2011...

- 60m registered customer accounts
- Around 20% of services with >1m registered customers

Challenges

Operational hurdles
- Distribution, including agent liquidity
- Market segmentation
- Customer activation
- Fraud & risk management

Regulatory barriers
- Onerous customer registration / KYC
- Licensing requirements
- E-money
- Agent regulation

Inadequate investment
- Margin pressures on core business
- Lack of proof points

Learning gaps
- New products i.e. Savings
- Greater efficiency to reduce cost

Note: registered customers exclude Safaricom (m-Pesa)

*June 2011, excludes Globe
Source: GSMA Mobile Money for the Unbanked, 2011 Global Mobile Money Adoption Survey
Mobile money: East Africa is the hub

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Global</th>
<th>East Africa</th>
<th>West Africa</th>
<th>Asia Pacific</th>
<th>Central Asia and the Middle East</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sample size (number of respondents)</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Customer accounts**
- Registered customer accounts, June 2011: 60.4m (33%), 35.9m (29%), 6.4m (66%), 12.6m (43%), 1.9m (103%)
- Annualised growth rate of registered customer accounts, December 2010 to June 2011: 60.4m (33%), 35.9m (29%), 6.4m (66%), 12.6m (43%), 1.9m (103%)

**Functional transactions**
- P2P transfers per active customer, June 2011: 0.69<sub>bde</sub>, 0.84<sub>b</sub>, 0.15<sup>*</sup>, 0.20<sub>dfe</sub>, 0.07<sup>*</sup>
- Bill payments per active customer, June 2011: 0.20<sub>bde</sub>, 0.06<sub>b</sub>, 0.03<sup>*</sup>, 1.10<sub>d</sub>, 0.09<sup>*</sup>
- Bulk payments per active customer, June 2011: 0.03<sub>bde</sub>, 0.02<sub>b</sub>, 0.06<sup>*</sup>, 0.19<sub>d</sub>, 0.13<sup>*</sup>
- Airtime topups per active customer, June 2011: 2.07<sub>bde</sub>, 2.26<sub>b</sub>, 2.68<sup>*</sup>, 1.94<sub>d</sub>, 0.44<sup>*</sup>

**Cash-conversion transactions**
- Cash ins per active customer account, June 2011: 1.85<sub>bde</sub>, 2.18<sub>b</sub>, 1.03<sup>*</sup>, 0.57<sub>dfe</sub>, 0.27<sup>*</sup>
- Cash outs per active customer account, June 2011: 1.57<sub>bde</sub>, 1.98<sub>b</sub>, 0.31<sup>*</sup>, 0.06<sub>dfe</sub>, 0.19<sup>*</sup>

Note: registered customers exclude Safaricom (m-Pesa)
Source: GSMA Mobile Money for the Unbanked, 2011 Global Mobile Money Adoption Survey
Mobile money: illustrative examples

**bKash Limited**

- Canonical mobile money payment system: send, receive, pay
- Largely rural Bangladeshi market presents opportunity: 15% formal banking penetration, over 50% mobile penetration
- Service seeing high growth
- Available through over 28,000 agents

Launched: **2011**

Backed by: **BRAC Bank**

Target markets: **Bangladesh**

Source: bKash, m-Kopa, MDI Analysis

---

**M-KOPA**

- Provides Pay-as-you-go solar solution, allowing users to pay KES40 per day via their m-Pesa account to use system for lighting and mobile charging.

Launched: **2011**

Backed by: **Various donors and investors**

Available at more than 80 locations in Kenya.

Target markets: **Kenya**

Source: bKash, m-Kopa, MDI Analysis
Mobile health

- Largest development vertical by number of organisations
- High multiplicity and lack of scale identified as key challenges
  - Surplus of pilots (‘pilotitis’) and local impact
  - Lack of exit strategy for donors
  - MNO’s not used effectively
- Opportunities
  - Move to a linear value chain
  - Demonstrable value drivers for MNOs (e.g. ROI, churn reduction) and health industry
  - Advocate policy and legislative support (e.g. ITU/WHO Oct 2012 – build on current projects, form public/private/NGO partnerships)
  - Facilitate/broker public private partnerships and secure long term investment
  - Drive down cost through tax and other incentives for MNOs

Challenges

- **Fragmentation**
  - Reduces sustainability (recent GSMA study of almost 700 services showed multiple overlap of services in countries and regions)

- **Scale**
  - Not achieved in >95% of solutions – limited reach of services
  - MNO assets not being leveraged effectively

- **Replication**
  - Without adequate proof points/data, replication is not happening

- **No linear value chain**
  - No “shared value proposition” being demonstrated by either mobile or health sector with clear understanding of each-other’s value drivers (impact indicators)

Source: GSMA mHealth program, MDI Analysis
Mobile health: illustrative examples

Motech

- Open source mHealth software project
- Provides underlying application framework for a diverse range of mHealth solutions
- Enables solutions to be developed more quickly and cost-effectively with fewer technical resources
- Allows data sharing across different deployments of the

Launched: 2010
Backed by: Grameen Foundation
Target markets: Health initiatives anywhere in developing word (e.g., Africa, India)

Etisalat Mobile Baby

- Uses mobile to monitor pregnancy using ultrasound, identify danger signs, pay for emergency transportation, and communicate with the referral facility
- Brings together healthcare professionals, NGOs, pharmaceutical/insurance companies, state government

Launched: 2011
Backed by: Etisalat, Qualcomm, D-Tree International and Great Connection Inc.
Target markets: Africa

Over 500 birth attendants trained, over 20,000 pregnant women registered

Source: Motech, Etisalat, MDI Analysis
Mobile agriculture

- Polarised sector – few services with large base (>1m users), long tail
- Opportunities for scale
  - Penetrate untapped rural market and 'unconnected' rural customers
  - Identifiable business case for mobile operators: MNOs are keen to launch services for rural sector to increase customers and brand loyalty while reducing churn
  - Strong focus from international community to invest in agriculture via ICT: food security, climate change, large addressable market
- Strong potential for overlap with other sectors
  - Financial services for rural sector (loans, insurance and savings)
  - Learning – training, advisory
  - Health – delivery of content via mobile shares elements
  - Utilities & M2M (e.g. irrigation pumps controlled by mobile tech)

**Mobile agriculture opportunity**

**Challenges**

**Business model**
- Price sensitive customers (majority smallholder farmers)
- Proof points
- Many services, few with scale

**Mobile operators**
- Few MNO-led mAgri services

**Content**
- Lack of content providers
- Illiteracy, technical illiteracy
- Consumer education

Source: CIA World Factbook, World Bank, GSMA mAgriculture program, MDI Analysis
## Mobile agriculture: illustrative examples

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Farmforce</strong></th>
<th><strong>Nong Xin Tong</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><img src="image1" alt="Farmforce logo" /></td>
<td><img src="image2" alt="Nong Xin Tong logo" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Launched:</strong> 2012</td>
<td><strong>Launched:</strong> 2010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Backed by:</strong> Syngenta Foundation</td>
<td><strong>Backed by:</strong> China Mobile</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Target markets:</strong> Africa, Asia, Latam</td>
<td><strong>Target markets:</strong> China</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Penetrates untapped rural markets by integrating thousands of smallholder farmers with exporters in larger markets</td>
<td>• Agricultural web and mobile based service for market prices, advisory, jobs, and more</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Focuses on international regulatory environment by aiding farmers compliance with food standards, traceability of goods, etc.</td>
<td>• Agriculture ministry uses service for policy announcements</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Uses sophisticated ‘virtual farm’ concept, linking farmers to exporters via database.</td>
<td>• Long term investment for China Mobile: focus on financial viability for farmers, not pure profit for MNO (service only USD 6 a year for farmers)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Over 40 million users</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Farmforce, China Mobile, MDI Analysis
Mobile learning

- Interactive content is primary form of product/service for this vertical
- Business models hard to prove and make sustainable
- Needs more government regulatory support

- Opportunities for scale
  - Highly desirable service in emerging markets, which currently consumes around ¼ of family income in many low income households
  - Strong focus from international community to invest in education access (e.g., MDG2)

- Strong potential for overlap with other sectors
  - In terms of strategic knowledge partnerships, disseminating knowledge from other sectors
  - Content management systems and other interactive content services in other verticals

Believe mobile technology important to learning and education

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Indonesia</td>
<td>92%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S. Africa</td>
<td>91%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>China</td>
<td>90%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brazil</td>
<td>90%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>India</td>
<td>87%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Korea</td>
<td>82%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>USA</td>
<td>73%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UK</td>
<td>69%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Challenges

- **Regulatory**
  - Education and ICT policies to distinguish educational content from other VAS
  - Policies required to accelerate ICT enhanced learning/training

- **Mobile operators**
  - Proving/pitching business case of educational content to MNOs
  - Appropriate revenue share agreements with MNOs

- **Content and training**
  - Generating content to suit diversity of end-users (language diversity, literacy levels, etc)
  - Human resource capacity
  - Appropriate training lacking

Source: TIME Mobility Poll – Qualcomm – 2012, GSMA mLearning program, MDI Analysis
Mobile learning: illustrative examples

**Nokia Life Tools**
- Provides a range of services covering Agriculture, Education and Entertainment targeted at both urban and rural consumers
- Established over 90 knowledge partnerships across the four active countries
- Offered in 12 of India’s official languages alone
- Over 90 million users

Launched: 2008  
Backed by: Nokia  
Target markets: India, Indonesia, China, Nigeria

**Yoza Cellphone Stories**
- Short stories and classic literature are published on mobile phones (MXit and on a mobisite)
- Users can comment, enter writing competitions, review stories
- Stories found in English, Afrikaans and isiXhosa
- 470,000 complete reads of stories and poems; 47,000 comments

Launched: 2010  
Backed by: Shuttleworth Foundation  
Target markets: South Africa, Kenya

Source: Nokia, Yoza, MDI Analysis
Mobile entrepreneurship

- An up-and-coming vertical, with many new services emerging
- Mobile money is a critical enabler to many services
- Services tracked by GSMA are more likely to be delivered using mobile web, and offer B2B services
- High impact potential; in places such as Nigeria, Egypt, and Indonesia, micro-entrepreneurs estimated to generate up to 38% of GDP*

- Opportunity for increased scale
  - Maturing mobile money markets
  - Strong focus from international community on job creation in emerging markets
  - Supporting businesses in multiple sectors (e.g. agriculture, education, health)
  - Well positioned to benefit from natural ‘willingness to pay’ from businesses

**Believe mobile technology important to entrepreneurship**

- Indonesia: 98%
- India: 96%
- S. Africa: 96%
- China: 94%
- Brazil: 93%
- Korea: 92%
- UK: 88%
- USA: 87%

**Challenges**

- **Operational**
  - Assistance with customer relationship management
  - Marketing
  - Market data
  - Training and mentorship

- **Investment**
  - Access to credit & capital
  - Low costs to afford loans and business services
  - Information about investment opportunities

- **Regulatory barriers**
  - Barriers inherited from mobile money sector
  - Little knowledge of regulatory framework
  - Tax and investment policies that impede innovation
  - Company registration expenses and licensing requirements

*Booz & Co., “Mobile Value Added Services” 2012
Source: TIME Mobility Poll – Qualcomm – 2012, MDI Analysis
Mobile entrepreneurship: illustrative examples

**Souktel**

- Service connecting job-seekers with employers via mobile
- Over 20 leading aid agencies actively use AidLink services to get key information to and from communities in crisis
- In Palestine currently serves close to 10,000 job seekers in a given month

Launched: **2006**
Backed by: The Synergos Institute, The King Abdullah II Fund for Development

Target markets: Africa, Asia, Latam, Caribbean

---

**Tiendatek**

- A tablet and barcode scanner system for shopkeepers: can register transactions, get useful metrics, charge credit cards
- Data fuels Tiendatek Data: a marketing analytics tool used by companies like Unilever and Bimbo
- System integrates with payment providers, mobile operators, hardware providers, integrators, banks, consumer-goods companies and shopkeeper associations

Launched: **2008**
Backed by: Frogtek

Target markets: Mexico, Colombia

---

Source: Souktel, Frogtek, MDI Analysis
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### Key findings

**Barriers to scale are multi faceted:** scale is driven by a number of factors related both to an organisation and the wider sector. Across the M4D sector, the most important are the presence of defined value chains, sustainable business models, and market visibility.

**An important distinction:** it is important to distinguish between a true platform, a framework and a bespoke service. Platforms (e.g. Linux, iOS, Android) are generic and can accommodate a range of applications or services. Frameworks (e.g. Fundamo, Frontline SMS) are less generic than platforms, but provide many re-usable tools for others to use in M4D services. Bespoke M4D services are the least generic and are generally designed for one sector in one country.

In the M4D sector, bespoke services are most common, followed by frameworks, with true platforms generally controlled by global TMT firms (with or without direct interests in M4D). We believe frameworks present the best route to scale, and warrant investment.

**Mobile money stands out:** the mobile money sector has the most defined value chain, including a layer for vendors (e.g. Fundamo, Comviva) providing the underlying frameworks the services are built on.

### Key implications

**It takes an industry:** there is growing recognition among service providers, donors and impact investors of these barriers and the need to collaborate as a sector to overcome them. Partnerships with mobile operators have and will continue to play a key role.

**Re-use of frameworks:** the potential to re-use a service or the infrastructure underpinning it is a trade-off between how generic it is, technical complexity and cost to intervene. In general, the more generic and less costly/complex, the greater the potential for re-use.

Platforms are most generic but they are also most expensive and complex. Bespoke services are more accessible but also less generic. Frameworks strike a balance, and it is here where we see examples both of services being directly re-used across sectors and countries (e.g. Ushahidi, Motech) and of services connecting to an existing framework originally designed for a different sector (e.g. m-Kopa) happening in the field today.

**Fewer players, larger scale:** most mobile money services sit on top of a framework developed and owned by one of a relatively small number of vendors (e.g. 5-10 worldwide). In general, services in other M4D sectors are bespoke, and often duplicate other services in the same country.
In theory, platforms exist on several levels

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Operating system</th>
<th>Delivery</th>
<th>Product/service (what does it do?)</th>
<th>Functional nature</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• Smartphone</td>
<td>• Text-based</td>
<td>• Application level</td>
<td>• Temporal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>– iOS</td>
<td>– SMS</td>
<td>– Call centre</td>
<td>• Communication type</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>– Android</td>
<td>– USSD</td>
<td>– Interactive content</td>
<td>• Transactional</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>– BlackBerry</td>
<td>– STK</td>
<td>– Peer-to-peer</td>
<td>• Public</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>– Windows</td>
<td>– Voice (e.g. IVR)</td>
<td>– Push content</td>
<td>• Community vs. individual</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>– Symbian</td>
<td>– Data</td>
<td>– Data collection</td>
<td>• Location-based</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Featurephone</td>
<td>– Combination of these modes</td>
<td>– Inventory management</td>
<td>• Identity-based</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>– Nokia Series 40</td>
<td></td>
<td>– Payments</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>– Samsung/LG proprietary</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>– Other</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Basic handsets</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>– Many</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>– Proprietary OS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: MDI Analysis
The “platform” problem

- In the mobile space the term “platform” can currently be used to mean:
  - iOS, Android, Linux,…
  - pieces of open source software with public APIs…
  - or simply mobile services that scale to reach a large user base…

- This distinction will better enable us to landscape existing M4D services with respect to their reuse potential

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Problem</th>
<th>Solution</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• This use of the term doesn’t sufficiently indicate what can be reused, and in what sorts of ways</td>
<td>• We propose to make the issue of reuse more transparent by drawing a clear distinction between platforms, frameworks, and M4D applications</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The mobile space...

Conceptual breakdown

- Something that can be built on top of & reused
- Further technical conditions
- The usage we’re interested in (relevant to scalability)
- The technical definition of a platform (includes iOS, Android, etc.)
- e.g., requires APIs, underlying rules-engines that aren’t specific to any one service

Source: MDI Analysis
A more helpful view

Our classification...

Platform

Generic in nature, could be used in a multitude of different services. An operating system, cloud, etc.

Framework

A set of re-usable software tools and interfaces for developing applications

- Generic/novelty
- Longevity/evolutionary
- Enabler/developer focus

Open

Closed

- Development tools open/closed to external developers

M4D application

Foundation

Application built on top of a vendor framework

- Generic/novelty
- Longevity/evolutionary
- Enabler/developer focus

Bespoke

“One off" application built from the “ground up”

iOS

Nokia Series40

Ushahidi

Fundamo

Orange Money

Kom Kom

Source: MDI Analysis
Examples of this classification

iOS development framework

Angry Birds
Twitter iPhone app

iOS

Foundation application level

Kanco
Ushahidi
Urbanmirror

Ushahidi

Mapping
UI tools
Time-line

Linux

Bespoke application level

Kom Kom

Java ME

Platform level

Application Services
Carbon
Cocoa

Framework level

Source: MDI Analysis
The cost of development

- **Low cost**
- **Medium cost**
- **High cost**

Source: MDI Analysis
Why is achieving impact with scale important?

With increasing scale, there is increasing...

- Audience reach
- Ability to leverage size (e.g. to form partnerships, economies of scale and scope)
- Sustainability
- Impact

Impact in M4D can and has been achieved by small (e.g. local) and large (e.g. regional) scale organisations.

However, relatively few have achieved sustainable impact.

“We think [our impact is] probably around a $100 a farmer a year, which is maybe 10-20% of their annual revenue. However, the fact that impact equals scale doesn’t necessarily follow.”

Mark Davies, CEO, Esoko

Source: MDI Analysis
Defined value chain

- Fully formed: Full ecosystem with defined value proposition at each level
- Partially formed: Collection of participants, but lack of defined value proposition
- Unformed: Defined customer base, streamlined operations, defined cost structure, revenue linked to investment

Visibility

- Poor
- Modest
- Good

Increasing scale

Sustainable business model

- Self reinforcing
- Middle stage
- Early stage

Clusters and pilots, local impact, lack sustainable revenue

Taking a user-centric approach to organisational culture and product design also plays a key role (see section 6)

Source: MDI Analysis
Barriers to scale in M4D

- We show below key barriers to scale in M4D
- These will be felt more by some sectors than others

The need for...

- Defined value chains with scalable infrastructure
  - Proven value proposition for participants at each stage of the value chain (e.g. M4D service provider, vendors, mobile operators)

- Sustainable business models
  - Services with potential to become self-sufficient or to have funding underpinned on long term basis

- Visibility across markets and sectors
  - Knowledge of adjacent participants in the sector and ability to partner in areas that expand reach or value of the service

Current barriers

- Lack, as opposed to multiplicity, of frameworks underpinning M4D services
- Impact without scale
- Funding models (silos, pilotitis)
- Inability to harness scale of mobile operators
- Partnerships

Source: MDI Analysis
The mobile money sector has the most defined value chain in M4D, helped by the presence of vendors whose business is to design and deploy frameworks (e.g. Fundamo, Comviva, Utiba).

The majority of mobile money services sit on a framework owned by one of a few providers (who have relatively large scale).

In contrast, the majority of M4D services in other sectors are bespoke, which reduces the potential for re-use.

**Does the mobile service use a framework?**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sector</th>
<th>Open framework</th>
<th>Closed framework</th>
<th>Foundation M4D application</th>
<th>Bespoke M4D application</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Money</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>77%</td>
<td>13%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>63%</td>
<td>20%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agriculture</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>57%</td>
<td>20%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Learning</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>17%</td>
<td>60%</td>
<td>30%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E&amp;E</td>
<td>30%</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>63%</td>
<td>15%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>54%</td>
<td>25%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: MDI Analysis
Criteria for impact investors moving to focus more on ensuring scalability, sustainability and innovation as opposed to solely impact.

Willingness to accept risk provided an identifiable problem can be solved by the proposed solution, and that potential for long term scale exists (e.g. hundreds of thousands or millions of users).

“We don’t mind if the investment opportunity has a 90% risk of failing, if the 10% chance of success has a disruptive impact capable of changing the market” – investor in M4D (anonymised)

---

**Sustainable business models: the investors view**

- Services that scale over time
- Mature, proven models (few)
- Services that do not scale
- High risk innovators (many)

**Note:** coloured spheres are indicative only, and do not correspond to hard data points.

**Source:** Omidyar Network, MDI Analysis
Sustainable business models: funding silos and pilotitis

- Funding silos can result in duplication of services in different markets
- This is exacerbated with small pilot projects that prioritise impact in the local area over the short to medium term
- The result is a lack of sustainable business models with visibility to be used across markets or sectors, limiting scale
- Most common in mobile health sector, but also seen in others (e.g. agriculture)

Source: mHealthAfrica.com
Sustainable business models: overlap of services

- As an example, the GSMA has tracked the number of mHealth services by type (e.g. wellness, prevention etc)
  - Africa alone has around 130 services, of which 66 are centered around prevention; we believe there are around 30 countries in the region with at least one mHealth service, equating to over 4 per country
  - Multiplicity of services is even higher in specific segments of the health market in some countries
    - For example, the GSMA tracks 18 mHealth services focused on HIV/AIDS in South Africa run by 14 separate organisations; 8 health prevention services in Uganda run by 6 separate organisations

- In some sense, this can be related to objectives – the WHO defines mHealth as the “use of mobile and wireless technologies to support the achievement of health objectives”

mHealth services by region

```
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Region</th>
<th>Wellness</th>
<th>Prevention</th>
<th>Diagnosis</th>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>Monitoring</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Africa</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Americas</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>/</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asia Pacific</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>/</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Europe: East</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>/</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
```

Source: GSMA mHealth program, MDI Analysis
Visibility: matching vendors with mobile operators

- Use of different vendors may increase cost for mobile operator, limiting roll out and slowing down service deployment (ultimately limiting scale)
- Other difficulties can arise if…
  - Need Value Added Service (VAS) provider with equipment embedded within network of the local MNO (this holds even if the MNO is a multi-national, as often need to hold separate negotiations with country op-co’s to launch a service in local markets)
  - Use multiple VAS providers (e.g. IVR VAS and a content VAS)
  - Negotiating with MNO on a business model
    - If M4D service provider wants revenue share or to charge a subscription for service, must have relationship with local MNO

Op-CO’s = operating company (e.g. MTN Uganda)

Source: MDI Analysis
Visibility: geographic fragmentation

**Smartphone OS providers**

- **Number**: Few (5 majors)
- **Scale**: International
- **Ecosystem**: Integrated

  - Microsoft (Redmond, WA)
  - Apple (Cupertino, CA)
  - Google (Mountainview, CA)
  - BlackBerry (Waterloo, Canada)
  - Nokia/Symbian (Espoo, Finland)

**M4D deployments (e.g. m-health services led by MNO)**

- **Number**: Many (hundreds)
- **Scale**: Local or national
- **Ecosystem**: Fragmented (also hit by funding silos)

Source: MDI Analysis
Visibility: forming partnerships

- Important for M4D organisations to form range of partnerships (e.g. MNO, vendor, content)
- Mobile operators are key partners both for connectivity and reach to the end user
- MNO-led M4D services appear most common in sectors with more of a proven value proposition
- There is an opportunity in other sectors to develop this
- Discussions with stakeholders suggest it is key to understand motivations – e.g. balancing the need for a return with the goal of social impact

“It’s not a sector [mAgriculture] that’s represented real value to them [MNOs] in the past. You need to approach them in such a way as meets their business objectives”
   – Mark Davies, CEO, Esoko

“Very quickly we realised that positioning the product straight up as a business benefit made better sense. Until now we haven’t been proven wrong on this”
   – Bhanu Potta, Nokia Life Tools

M4D services led by MNOs

- Money: 70%
- Learning: 49%
- Health: 35%
- Agriculture: 27%

Source: MDI Analysis
Re-use: a continuum of opportunity

Scope for re-use (sector or geography)

Low → High

Many → Few

Deployed in industry

Specific → Generic

Bespoke applications (e.g. KomKom, Cell Life)

Frameworks (e.g. Ushahidi, Motech, Fundamo)

Platforms (e.g. iOS, Android, Linux)

• Designed for specific purpose (few generic elements)
• Built with many generic elements that could be re-used (e.g. database, location engine, language)
• Limited by need for customisability (e.g. identity, payment platforms)
• Generic (e.g. built so that many services/applications can plug into)

Source: MDI Analysis
To understand re-use, we must understand technology

- High level view of key components in a phone, network and server (e.g. where an M4D service is hosted)
- The area we are interested most in is the application layers which give a functional view of the services being represented

Source: MDI Analysis
Handset application layer

- The terminal represents the mobile device – e.g. phone, tablet, etc
- Hosts the applications principally used by the user
- Built in apps (e.g. SMS inbox, browser)
  - mAgri service sending push SMS to the phone
- Applications downloaded by someone after they buy a phone (e.g. BlackBerry apps)
  - Diagnostic app for child illnesses used by frontline health workers

Network application layer

- The network represents the mobile network – e.g. base stations, communications
- The application layer in the network hosts services used by the handsets
- These applications include messaging, voice, identity and location

Source: MDI Analysis
Under the hood: server

- **Application layer**
  - Backend infrastructure that defines and realises the mobile service
  - Two parts: **application** and **framework**
  - Application
    - single self-contained application (bespoke - e.g. Z on right), OR
    - As an application built upon shared resources collected in a framework (e.g. A/B/C on right)
  - Framework
    - collection of resources and repositories that can be used by multiple applications in order function as a coherent service

- **Communications**

- **Platform**
  - Underpins the entire mobile service

- **Caveats**
  - Generic system shown, not specific to any one M4D service
  - Most frameworks themed to match a particular need (e.g. transactional in mobile money, identity in mobile health)
Service overlap

- This diagram shows the touch points in the architecture of two example mobile services.

Key:
- Items used by an agricultural SMS tip service (A)
- Items used by a diagnosis app for Health Workers (B)
- Items used by both

Scenario A - agricultural SMS tip service
- This is a service where a farmer would subscribe to receiving a periodic agricultural tip over SMS which is relevant to the crops they grow and the location their farm is located, according to the crop calendar/cycle

Scenario B – diagnosis app for health workers
- This is a service where frontline health workers are issued with mobile phones capable of running a downloaded diagnosis app on the phone. This works with a back end server to allow the health worker to step through a basic diagnosis tree for infant health and recommend relevant courses of action
Re-use in practice

- **Genuine re-use**
  - Open frameworks (e.g. Ushahidi, Motech)
    - Less resource (e.g. coding, money) to deploy an application based on an existing framework than to create an application from scratch (e.g. weeks instead of months)
    - APIs open to developers. Cost to use framework often low or zero, but may have licensing restrictions for commercial use

- **Integration**
  - Use of other frameworks (e.g. m-Pesa)
    - Connect M4D service to a payment solution (the need to transact is a ‘common denominator’ that can be integrated with other services)
      - Utility access for rural or remote communities (see spotlight overleaf)

Source: Ushahidi, MDI Analysis
Spotlight: enhanced utility solutions

- Leveraging connectivity and mobile money to support Community Services
- GSM connectivity integrated to micro-utility systems can increase their resilience
  - Remote monitoring of units (e.g. photovoltaic energy production, battery voltage) and early detection of failure
  - User’s consumption patterns
- Micropayments can support energy access initiatives:
  - The “Pay As You Go” model allows for flexibility of payments and remote transaction
  - Provides consumer financing, eliminating the prohibitively high upfront costs needed to acquire equipment
  - Allows to send remittances wirelessly to the mobile money account of the individuals using the micro-utility system

m-Kopa provides Pay-as-you-go solar solution, allowing users to pay KES40 per day (USD0.47) via their m-Pesa account to use system for lighting and mobile charging. They have a distribution partnership with Safaricom, leveraging its 45,000 agent network in Kenya.

Grundfos Lifelink provides Pay-as-you-go purified water services in rural Kenya. The revenue management system allows water service providers to collect revenue via m-Pesa and monitor daily water consumption and revenue (close to 40 sites to date).

Source: m-Kopa, Grundfos, MDI Analysis
Mobile operator opportunity: early view

- Few operators have publicly disclosed results or guidance on mobile services beyond connectivity
- Estimates largely confined to mobile money
- Clear upside potential, even beyond the proven businesses
  - Safaricom’s m-Pesa already 16% of group revenue (compared to 9% in 2010), and is a major driver of growth for the overall business
  - Telenor’s mobile money business <1% of group revenue, but it expects this to grow to around 5% by 2016
- Direct benefits are obvious (e.g. transaction fees); less obvious (and more interesting) are indirect benefits, such as those arising from ARPU uplift and churn reduction

### Contribution to revenue growth (Safaricom)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Voice</th>
<th>M-Pesa</th>
<th>Other</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Mobile money revenue (Telenor)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Indirect</th>
<th>Direct</th>
<th>Share of group revenue</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2011</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016 (f)</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>1,000</td>
<td>6%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Safaricom, Telenor, MDI Analysis
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## Key findings

**Organisations, not departments:** developing user-centric innovation means the end user must be at the heart of all parts of an organisation, including management culture, product and service design, and marketing.

**Segmentation and personas are key:** M4D service providers must define target end user segments and develop personas that clearly define the gaps and opportunities that could be met by a given service.

**Cost and communication are key barriers:** high up-front costs and the fact many M4D organisations have partnerships where each party has a different background and set of objectives create barriers to effective implementation of user-centric innovation.

## Key implications

**From theory to practice:** user-centric innovation needs to be seen not as a theoretical concept, but a real modus operandi that permeates all parts of an organisation and is fundamental in the long term sustainability of an M4D service.

**Act early:** these should be defined as part of the service planning, which means an upfront cost and time resource. While there are encouraging examples of organisations redefining a service and its marketing message after launch (e.g. Tigo Money in Paraguay), this can be even more costly and time consuming.

**These are surmountable:** clear communication between stakeholders of a given service and a demonstrable link between investment in key tools (e.g. user research, segmentation and personas, and user feedback) and scale will drive acceptance and wider implementation of the user-centric concept.
What does it mean to be user-centric?

**User-centric**
- All parts of the organisation oriented towards the user and their needs
  - Vision and strategy
  - Management approach
  - Organisational culture and rewards
  - User segmentation, targeting and positioning
  - Products/services developed
  - Marketing competencies and resources
  - Operational processes

**Functional-centric**
- Vision and strategy not oriented around customer needs
- Users not often voiced in management discussions
- User focus not a shared organisational norm
- No clearly defined target segments or positioning
- ‘One-size-fits-all’ products/services
- Inadequate marketing competencies and resources
- Processes not customer driven

Source: MDI Analysis
In one project, an organisation tried to send personal HIV/AIDS test results to people over their mobile phones; they were surprised by the low pick-up rate until they realized that many people in the community share their mobiles with family and friends. A text message isn’t personal when multiple people share one phone.

Lesson
need to understand user and community habits before designing services

Note: examples adapted from: http://www.mobileactive.org/how-fail-mobileactives-definitive-guide-failure
Source: Mobileactive.org, MDI Analysis
The process of converting a customer who had never heard of mobile money to one that habitually uses the service

In theory this is the way it works, but where can practical barriers spring up?
Lack of user centricity as a barrier in the journey

- **Unaware**: Customer has never heard of mobile money
- **Awareness**: Customer has heard of mobile money and knows what it does
- **Understanding**: Customer understands how mobile money could be useful to them
- **Knowledge**: Customer knows the steps necessary to transact
- **Trial**: Customer tries the service
- **Regular Use**: Customer habitually uses the mobile money service

- **MTN Uganda & GSMA MMU consumer research (2011)**
  - High awareness
  - Clear understanding
  - Lack of knowledge

- **Vernacular of Mobile Money**
  - "send money" means to Ugandans to post it, literally putting it in an envelope. When it comes to cell phone management of money, they would use the term "put"

- "I do not trust it because I do not know how the money will reach the other person." – **Non user**
- "Here in the deep village not many people know much about it, so they should sensitize us more on how it works." – **Non user**

Source: GSMA Mobile Money program, MDI Analysis
Organisations and user centricity

User centricity impacts organisations at all these levels

- Organisation
- Strategy
- Culture
- Marketing
- Product & Service development

Source: MDI Analysis
Organisations and user centricity

Source: MDI Analysis
## Best practice for user-centric business – key questions

### Organisation
- Are we integrated across functions and divisions (or even across organisations) to meet customer needs? Or do different business units serve the same customer segments independently?
- Are employees at every level rewarded based on customer metrics?
- Are frontline employees empowered to resolve user problems?
- Are systems in place to feedback user problems throughout the organisation?

### Strategy
- Do we have clearly defined target market segments? For each of these, do we have a clear differentiation versus existing products/services?

### Culture
- Is our mission/vision customer-focused?
- Is the customer’s voice adequately represented in strategy conversations?
- Do top managers demonstrate a behavioural commitment to customers?
- Do our most powerful symbols or rituals reinforce the importance of customers?
- Is customer obsession a shared norm?
Organisations and user centricity

Marketing

- Do we continually invest in better understanding our users’ needs?
- Have we benchmarked our marketing processes?
- Does our customer database actually help us to serve customers better?
- Are marketing metrics clearly defined and well understood? Can we show the link to ROI?
- Is our marketing mix based on robust customer research?

Source: MDI Analysis
The ‘user focused’ marketing process

Personas

Segmentation → Targeting → Positioning → Products and Services

Possible feedback from any of the key activities back to user research phase

Source: MDI Analysis
The ‘user focused’ marketing process

**Personas**
- Put a human face on abstract segment data (but still need to carefully link back to real user research, not just conjecture)
- Orient product design and messaging

**Segmentation**
- Geographic
- Demographic
- Behavioural
- Needs-based
  Chosen methodology a trade-off: predictive accuracy vs. ease of implementation

**Targeting**
Choose target segments based on the needs of different user groups, organisational competencies to meet them and the competitive landscape

**Positioning**
- Product
- Pricing
- Place (distribution)
- Promotion
  All designed to provide a compelling offering to the targeted user segments

**Products and Services**
- Product
- Pricing
- Place (distribution)
- Promotion
  All designed to provide a compelling offering to the targeted user segments

**User Research**
- User research e.g.
  - Focus groups
  - Interviews
  - Immersion
  - Observation
  - Experiments
  - Surveys
  - Conjoint analysis
  - Perceptual maps

Source: MDI Analysis
Examining the process in more detail...

- **Personas**
  - Segmentation
  - Targeting
  - Positioning
  - Products and Services

**User Research**

*Source: MDI Analysis*
The process in action: CGAP

- Quantitative survey covering 2,634 Ugandan households
- Question topics covered: Demographics; Financial Service Behaviours; Mobile Usage
- A process of prioritisation according to: Market opportunity; Customer accessibility; Willingness to try new financial service products

Source: CGAP, MDI Analysis
**Coming full circle: Tigo mobile money (Paraguay)**

**Pre segmentation**
- Multiple service offerings
- Marketed all services to all customer segments
- Failed to differentiate on key consumer purchasing criteria
- Low take-up and traction

**Post segmentation**
- Consolidated service offerings
- Re-focused marketing with unified message around single, core consumer segment
- Improved uptake and volume
- Increased scale to other Tigo markets (Guatemala, Honduras)

**Segmentation lines**
- Route of remittance flow
- Amount and frequency of money transfers
- Customer behaviours

**Purchase criteria**
- Cost (most important)
- Speed (least important)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Tigo Cash</th>
<th>Giros Tigo</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Services</strong></td>
<td>e-Wallet, merchant payments, domestic remittances via P2P transfer, top ups</td>
<td>e-Wallet, merchant payments, domestic remittances via OTC withdrawal, top ups</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Marketing focus</strong></td>
<td>All services</td>
<td>Domestic remittances</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Registration</strong></td>
<td>Application and validation at agent point</td>
<td>USSD (approximately 45 seconds)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Tigo, GSMA Mobile Money program, MDI Analysis
Creating Personas: further into the persona design process

[Diagram showing the process flow with Personas at the center, connected to Segmentation, Targeting, Positioning, and Products and Services, with User Research at the bottom]

Source: MDI Analysis
Practical steps: creating a persona

given a targeted market segment….

Then, a persona should:

- Be a representation of the goals and behaviour of a fictional individual
- Epitomise the (prioritised) market segment
- Follow the rough outline of the template opposite

Persona template elements

- **Name**: respectful fictitious label
- **Role**: within a given user group, e.g., family
- **Demographics**: Age, income and personal details
- **Knowledge, skills and abilities**: real but generalised capabilities of persona
- **Goals, motives, and concerns**: describe the real needs of the user
- **Usage Patterns**: how frequently do they use mobile, and in what way
- **Action statement**: opportunity to provide a specific product/service that meets user gaps and needs

Source: MDI Analysis
Example of Persona: CGAP

Matovu Simon

VILLAGE ENTREPRENEUR
Credit hungry, non-saver

Simon is a bike repairman in Fort Portal, Uganda. He needs small amounts of capital to invest in new parts that he can use in his bike repair business.

Currently he goes to friends, families, and sometimes SACCOs for small loans to invest in his business. The returns are good – over six months he makes a 100% ROI on any funds he puts into his business.

Simon doesn’t feel a need to save; he covers his family’s daily living costs with earnings from his business, and if he needs money for an emergency, he borrows more. He wishes he had access to more credit, however – he thinks he could grow his business with larger loans.

“I prefer getting money in one lump sum upfront and then paying down over time. If I can earn when I put money in my business, why save and not make anything?”

Name: Matovu Simon
Place: Fort Portal, Uganda
Primary occupation: Bike repair, farming
Marital status: Married with children
Monthly income: 80,000UGX

Insights & Observations

Money makes money when moving, makes nothing when stationary.

Some people have much higher appetites for risk.

Ideas

Idea #1: Additional lines of credit via mobile phone

Idea #2: Credit scoring for atypical borrowers

Source: CGAP, MDI Analysis
Best practice persona design and use

Personas of proposed m-service

1. Intuitively sound?
   The persona should make you believe this based on a real person
   Use a "real" fictitious name, ideally first and surnames. Make sure the back story contains relevant information (even when not directly attributable to the service) e.g. educational background, aspirations, emotional disposition (optimistic, pessimistic, etc.)

2. Elements there?
   The persona should feature all elements of the persona template
   Refer back to primary research to find relevant details, do not be tempted to create the relevant parts without reference to primary data. Usage patterns should be based on pre-defined patterns constructed from the primary research.

3. Based on fieldwork?
   The personas should be based upon bespoke fieldwork
   Ideally data should be from a combination of quantitative and qualitative survey data, along with user interviews, relevant macro level reports (e.g. regional teledensity, etc.) and user concept testing. At a very minimum there should be user interviews and concept testing.

4. Visible to wider organisation?
   The personas should be used by all relevant members of the organisation
   Re-create new personas based on the baseline data involving all the relevant people/teams in the process. So they can understand the thinking behind the persona.

5. Developed user stories?
   The personas should be used to develop user stories
   If they have developed user stories prior to the persona's they should have a persona for each user derived from the methods above and from the user stories, plus they should reconcile the personas to the user stories and primary research. If they have their personas but not yet created the users stories then they should hold an ideation workshop to compile the relevant user stories based on the provided personas with a wide a base of stakeholders

6. Proposed user research in future?
   The development process should be such that user research is used in future.
   Assuming an Agile approach to development, they should be planning to implement further user testing at key iterations of the development cycle as well as planning for user testing to be an intrinsic part of the final UAT.

Source: MDI Analysis
Organisations and user centricity

Source: MDI Analysis
Barriers to implementing user-centric design in emerging markets

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Access to users</th>
<th>Cost of initial research and on going user testing</th>
<th>Time and process costs</th>
<th>Cross partner communication</th>
<th>Understanding of UX v's UI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• Geographical</td>
<td>• Quantitative and qualitative surveys, prep, execution and analysis</td>
<td>• Up front research and analysis</td>
<td>• Multi-partner projects need coordination across different teams e.g. organisations focused on social impact partnering with organisations specialising in tech development</td>
<td>• Tech development organisations are prone to doing user interfaces without much thought to user experience and user interaction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Cultural</td>
<td>• User interviews</td>
<td>• Iterative testing and feedback loops</td>
<td></td>
<td>• Cross-team involvement in the process</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Identification of users</td>
<td>• User testing on an iterative basis</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: MDI Analysis
Calls to action

Common Pitfalls

Donors
- Focused on big picture, less on whether service meets user needs
- Funding structures that don’t allow for user research, testing, etc
- Waste money on projects not targeting a real user segment

Managers
- Mismatch in MNO incentives and long term nature of BoP solutions
- Vendor/NGO managers minimise user testing due to upfront costs; rely instead on 3rd party reports
- Marketing neglected

Designers
- Use ‘one size fits all’ template over primary user research
- Too much focus on visual design rather than whole experience
- Pick up problems after service designed (expensive to change)

Call to Action

• Understand the value of user centric design, and develop tools to test for it
• Organise business practices around user centric principles
• Integrate with end users as part of design process

Source: MDI Analysis
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## Key findings

**A ubiquitous influence:** the role of government on the mobile for development sector is mostly indirect through setting regulation and influencing the business environment. Direct investment in mobile-enabled services has so far been limited, with this mostly focused on the health sector.

**USFs strong in theory, weak in reality:** Despite Universal Service Funds (USFs) offering a way of underpinning coverage expansion to rural areas, these have been largely mired by inefficiency. Around $11 billion has been collected worldwide but not actually been put towards any projects, with 97% of this in the developing world.

**Good business opportunities, not always the best environments:** while the culture for entrepreneurship has improved in many markets (e.g. parts of Asia, Latam), there are still developing regions languishing – 63% of markets in Africa and 33% in South Asia still fall into the bottom quartile worldwide in the ease of doing business.

## Key implications

**Clear dialogue:** frequent dialogue between stakeholders in the mobile sector (including operators, entrepreneurs and investors) and policy makers is crucial to recognise the shared opportunity in a more connected population (especially with mobile data) but also to be frank about the challenges and how partnership can help to overcome these.

**Opportunity cost:** any failure so far to expand coverage as a result of USF inefficiency cannot be reversed, but there are increasingly visible economic (and social) opportunities in urban and rural regions by offering access to utilities like electricity and water (mobile-enabled community services). Given the potential for ARPU uplift, proof points of these businesses will be key in further incentivising coverage expansion.

**If you build it, they will come:** Entrepreneurship is a key part of the growth story in mobile for development. Maintaining this means both improvements in the skills developed in country and the proportion of the population with those skills (a refilling talent pool), and promoting an investment friendly environment, particularly for small and medium size businesses.
What is the role of government in M4D?

- Governments are a somewhat unique stakeholder – they control vast amounts of resources, but often act ‘behind the scenes’, as such playing more of an influencer as opposed to direct actor role in M4D

- This influence is broadly separated into two areas

  - Regulatory environment (excluding direct regulation on pricing)
    - Ease of doing business
    - Promoting network coverage (via USFs)
    - SIM registration

  - Investment
    - Direct (or through partnerships)
    - Promoting a business-friendly investment environment
Regardless of sector (telecoms, pharmaceuticals etc), government has a role in fostering new business. Some of this will come through the use of fiscal levers to encourage large scale investment. However, equally important are the factors that go into setting up a small or medium-sized business (e.g. taxation, ease of company formation, accessing credit, enforcing contracts). While progress has been made, many developing countries in Africa and South Asia still languish relative to regional peers and mature markets, placing an (unnecessary) impediment to entrepreneurship.

**Ease of doing business rank, 2012**

- **Top**
- **Upper middle**
- **Lower middle**
- **Bottom**

Source: IFC, World Bank, MDI analysis
Mechanism of Universal Service Funds (USF)

- Universal Service Funds (USFs) were created mostly over the last 10 years with the aim of extending access to telecommunications services at affordable prices.

- They are intended, in effect, to ensure communications operators provide coverage irrespective of the economic rationale for doing so (particularly in rural areas).

- USFs have historically funded a range of communication services in addition to mobile:
  - Fixed line
  - Broadband and dial-up
  - Other services
Prospects for USFs as funding for M4D

- The main target of USF funding in mobile is network rollout to rural areas.
- The impact on M4D services is therefore mainly indirect – enhanced coverage is a requisite for mobile access, which increases the addressable audience for mobile-enabled services.
- There is also potential for direct funding of M4D services in some markets, such as water and electricity access.
- However, this potential has largely gone unfulfilled.

Source: GSMA Universal Fund Study (2013), **Ladcomm Corp (2013), MDI Analysis.
Funds in the developing world are generally financed through a contribution mechanism from telecommunications service providers (usually calculated as a percentage of revenues – e.g. 5% in India)

These started out around 5-6%, but for countries that have introduced USFs more recently, the levies have come down to around 2-3%

*In theory*, this makes sense because governments have an in-built way of linking the incentives of mobile operators (acquiring spectrum) with governments (connecting as many people as possible)

**USF levy by market**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year fund established</th>
<th>Levy (percentage of revenue)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1990</td>
<td>6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1995</td>
<td>4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2000</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2005</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: GSMA USF study (2013), MDI analysis
...but inefficient in practice

- Nearly a third of USFs in the developing world are inactive, compared to under 10% in mature markets
- Worldwide, we believe there to be around $11 billion accrued by governments through USF mechanisms, but not yet spent on actual telecom projects
- The vast majority of inefficiency is in the developing world, predominantly in Asia and Latin America, with around 70-80% of funds unspent in several markets

* Inactive funds were identified through attempting to contact/find a fund administering body, where no such body could be found

Note: figures on undisbursed funds reflect the information available/reasonably estimable concerning the financials of USFs. The actual figure for total undisbursed funds is likely to be much higher, as details of many fund’s financials are often unobtainable.

Source: GSMA Universal Fund Study (2013), MDI analysis

- of the $11 billion waiting to be disbursed, 97% is in developing world

![USF efficiency chart](chart.png)
Although the greatest proportion of undispersed funds come from India and Brazil, other countries fair poorly when their undisbursed funds are seen as a percentage of GDP.

These amounts are far from negligible, particularly for small, largely rural economies, where the opportunity cost of mobile network rollout is likely to be highest.

**Undisbursed funds as % of GDP**

*Calculated on the basis of country samples from regions about which the GSMA was able to find data (Africa, 20; Asia, 9; Latam, 12; developed, 5)*

Source: GSMA Universal Fund Study (2013), World Bank, MDI Analysis
**SIM registration**

- In the last 10 years 80% of countries in Africa have started requiring registration in order to activate a prepaid SIM card (mostly as a counter-terrorism effort)
- While this gives operators more details on their customers (to offer more tailored services), it also can have unintended consequences
- Customers unable to get to an operator store or distribution point in the specified time frame may simply be cut off from service
- This can favour operators with larger distribution networks, with a resulting risk to competition

*Information collected where starting year of registration could be determined
Source: A.Martin & K.P.Donovan (2012), N.Jentzsch (2012), MDI Analysis*
Investment

- Governments can invest directly or indirectly in mobile
- Direct investments (or partnerships) are currently limited, and mainly targeted towards mobile health services
- The indirect role is to promote a friendly investment environment (e.g. use of tax incentives) – FDI is a reasonable proxy, with East Asia and Latam the largest and fastest growing destinations

**Investments in M4D**

- **MNO**: 2.3
- **Vendor and entrepreneur**: 1.8
- **Development organisation***: 1.6
- **Government**: 1.2
- **Academic**: 1.4

*Includes donors, NGOs and other development groups

**Number of unique organisations (country operating companies of MNOs each count as a unique organisation)

Source: UNCTAD, Financial Times Ltd, fDi Markets (FDI), MDI analysis (M4D investments)
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<p>| <strong>2G</strong> | The second generation of digital mobile phone technologies including GSM, CDMA IS-95 and D-AMPS IS-136 |
| <strong>3G</strong> | The third generation of mobile phone technologies covered by the ITU IMT-2000 family |
| <strong>Active Mobile Connections</strong> | Active unique SIM cards (or phone numbers, where SIM cards are not used), excluding M2M, that have been used for voice, messaging or data activity on the mobile network over the operator’s activity period, which can range from one to 13 months. |
| <strong>Advertising</strong> | Revenue generated from advertising delivered through service itself |
| <strong>API</strong> | An application programming interface is a protocol intended to be used as an interface by software components to communicate with each other. |
| <strong>Framework</strong> | A set of re-usable software tools and interfaces for developing applications |
| <strong>Apps</strong> | A software application designed to run on mobile devices. (typically smartphones, and tablet computers) |
| <strong>ARPU</strong> | Average Revenue Per User |
| <strong>Basic phone</strong> | Offers basic voice services (telephony/voice mail), SMS and USSD based services. |
| <strong>Business</strong> | Businesses targeted by service to generate revenue. Generally supports internal business processes (e.g. Inventory management), or core business services (e.g., recruitment) |
| <strong>Call Centre</strong> | Simple voice call to a trained human content provider |
| <strong>Consumer (MNO led)</strong> | Rolled out as a value added service (VAS) by an MNO. While it may not earn revenue from customer directly, VAS designed to drive new customer uptake/ reduce customer churn. |
| <strong>Consumer (non MNO led)</strong> | Revenue generated directly by end user. e.g. subscription, one off mobile money payment |
| <strong>Data collection</strong> | Create customised surveys and send them to fieldworkers’ mobiles |
| <strong>Donor</strong> | Primary funding comes from donor organisations, usually in a lump sum grant |
| <strong>Feature phone</strong> | Basic phone features plus… Internet enabled, supports transmission of picture messages downloading music, built-in camera |
| <strong>Foundation M4D application</strong> | Application that is designed to sit on top of a framework owned by another vendor |
| <strong>Government</strong> | Primary funding comes from government |
| <strong>GSM</strong> | Global System for Mobile communications, the second generation digital technology originally developed for Europe but which now has in excess of 71 per cent of the world market. Initially developed for operation in the 900MHz band and subsequently modified for the 850, 1800 and 1900MHz bands. GSM originally stood for Groupe Speciale Mobile, the CEPT committee which began the GSM standardisation process |
| <strong>HHI</strong> | Herfindahl-Hirschman Index, A commonly accepted measure of market concentration. It is calculated by squaring the market share of each firm competing in a market, and then summing the resulting numbers |
| <strong>Interactive content</strong> | Content based services that users can access by querying a central database. May be delivered via IVR, SMS, USSD, app, WAP, etc. |
| <strong>Internet</strong> | A loose confederation of autonomous databases and networks. Originally developed for academic use the Internet is now a global structure of millions of sites accessible by anyone |
| <strong>Inventory management</strong> | Supply chain management and stock ordering tools. Product security / validation tools |
| <strong>IP</strong> | Internet Protocol |
| <strong>IVR</strong> | Interactive voice response, allows a computer to interact with humans through &amp; voice recognition navigation and DTMF tones via keypad |
| <strong>Java</strong> | A programming language developed by Sun Microsystems Java is characterised by the fact that programs written in Java do not rely on an operating system |
| <strong>M2M</strong> | Machine-to-machine is a broad label that can be used to describe any technology that enables automated wired or wireless communication between mechanical or electronic device |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Glossary</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>MNO</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Active mobile subscriber penetration</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Bespoke M4D application</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Open Source</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Other</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>OTT</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Payments</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>PC/laptop</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Peer to peer content</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Platform</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Push content</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>SIM</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Smart phone</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>SMS</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>STK</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Tablet</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TCO</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Text-to-Speech</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Mobile Connections</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>USSD</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Voice</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>WAP</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>WAP</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Web</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
CEOs and high level executives from organisations the GSMA regards as leading in their sector were interviewed.

- Interviews were 1-to-1 and lasted around an hour
- We asked questions specifically tailored to issues of scalability and user centric attitudes

Interviews revealed that for these leading organisations:
- Success depended upon building to scale from the outset
- Reaching out to users on a regular basis to test products was essential
- There was a strong desire to clarify social impact of work
- Sustainable business models were essential, but tough to prove
- Partnerships were essential
- MNO partnerships were fruitful but hard to set up

Case Study work
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