Cross-border data flows

The free flow of data across borders is essential for the digital economy, yet many governments place restrictions on the movement of data internationally. Some of these restrictions may be unnecessary and can stifle innovation, efficiency and economic activity. What, then, is the right balance for regulation?

Enabling the digital economy

E-commerce and internet-enabled services within countries rely on the movement of data internationally. The free flow of data across borders allows people to access the global range and quality of services, and permits businesses to reduce their costs and prices for customers. It also delivers social and economic benefits to individuals, businesses and governments more rapidly by allowing the digital economy to flourish.

In a regulatory environment that allows data to flow, businesses are able to operate, innovate and access solutions and support anywhere in the world. For example:

- Services can emerge and be adopted in one national market, then expand readily to other markets, bringing benefits for consumers and businesses.
- Startup businesses can have a global reach from Day One by establishing an internet presence that is simultaneously national and international.
- Internet infrastructure suppliers, such as cloud computing providers and mobile operators, can structure their services to serve large numbers of clients in multiple markets at the lowest overall cost.
- Businesses can scale up (and down) at critical points in their development, making direct or indirect use of cloud and software-as-a-service (SaaS) providers.

The strategic role of cross-border data flows has been recognised by policymakers

**UNCTAD** quotes McKinsey Global Institute:

“The international dimension of flows [of goods, services and finance has] increased global GDP by approximately 10 percent, equivalent to a value of $7.8 trillion in 2014. Data flows represent an estimated $2.8 trillion of this added value.”

**The OECD**:

“Cross-border data flows have increased economic efficiency and productivity, raising welfare and standards of living.”

**The European Commission**:

“Unjustified restrictions on the free movement of data are likely to constrain the development of the EU data economy [...] risk fragmenting the market, reducing the quality of service for users and the competitiveness of data service providers, especially smaller entities.”

**International Chamber of Commerce (ICC)**:

“ICC urges governments to ensure all citizens and companies can realize the full potential of the Internet [...] by adopting policies that facilitate the adoption of new technologies and global movement of data that supports them.”

Cross-border flows of data are currently regulated by a number of international, regional and national instruments and laws intended to protect individuals’ privacy, the local economy or national security.

Cooperation between countries and regions could see widespread adoption of convergent approaches to data privacy for cross-border data flows. Not only could this improve privacy protection for individuals, but it could also stimulate data-driven economic activity across the entire region.

The mobile industry recognises that data privacy regulation, including rules on cross-border data flows, is necessary. This regulation should aim to give consumers confidence in engaging with digital services without creating significant additional costs for service providers.

To achieve this, it is crucial for privacy regulation to be aligned with the core principles that sit “at the heart of most national [privacy] laws and international regimes” as well as data privacy initiatives in industry. Such principles allow companies to treat data consistently across their operations, innovate more rapidly, achieve larger scale and reduce costs. As a result, consumers can benefit from wider choice, improved service quality and lower prices of services.

The 2009 Madrid Resolution, for example, encourages consistent international protection of personal data and embraces privacy approaches from all five continents to facilitate “the international flows of personal data needed in a globalised world.”

At the heart: Globally recognised privacy principles

The Madrid Resolution advocates six privacy principles to be adopted by policymakers:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Lawful and fair</th>
<th>Purpose</th>
<th>Proportionate</th>
<th>Quality</th>
<th>Openness</th>
<th>Accountable</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Personal data must be lawfully and fairly processed</td>
<td>Processing should be limited to specified purposes</td>
<td>Processing should be proportionate and not excessive</td>
<td>Data held should be accurate</td>
<td>The processor should be open about its activities</td>
<td>The processor should be accountable for its activities</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Similar principles are reflected repeatedly in laws and policy initiatives around the world such as the Council of Europe Convention 108, the OECD Guidelines, the EU General Data Protection Regulation, the US Federal Trade Commission’s Fair Information Practice Principles and the APEC Privacy Framework. The mobile industry has also adopted the GSMA Mobile Privacy Principles to give mobile users confidence that their personal data is being properly protected, irrespective of service, device or country.

Regional approaches make good sense

Most individuals around the world share the same concerns over data privacy and security. Where national data privacy regulation is being introduced or updated, it follows that it should be consistent with globally recognised principles that apply across a region.

Regional data privacy initiatives such as the APEC Privacy Framework and Cross Border Privacy Rules (CBPR) and the EU’s Binding Corporate Rules (BCR) have already moved in this direction, allowing organisations to transfer personal data generally under certain conditions. These regional frameworks are based on internationally accepted data protection principles, and on ensuring that the organisation transferring the data remains accountable for the subsequent use of the data. However, more needs to be done to make these frameworks easier to use, to encourage other regions to adopt similar frameworks and to make the frameworks interoperable.8 Interoperability creates greater legal certainty and predictability that allows businesses to build scalable and accountable data protection and privacy frameworks.

Localisation rules risk undermining personal data protection

Countries impose data localisation rules for a number of reasons, including the belief that supervisory authorities can more easily scrutinise data that is stored locally, or that the privacy and security standards of a country can only be enforced if the data stays in that country. However, there are solutions and principles that can mitigate these risks without restricting data flows and the benefits they bring.

Requirements for organisations to use local data storage or technology create unnecessary duplication and cost for companies, and there is little evidence that such policies produce tangible benefits for local economies or improved privacy protection for individuals.

Specifically:

- A fragmented approach results in inconsistent protection (e.g., differences across jurisdictions and sectors in what can be stored and for how long) and causes confusion impacting the secure management of personal data.
- Fragmentation through localisation may also create barriers that make investments in security protection prohibitively expensive.
- Collectively, this may undermine efforts by mobile network operators and other service providers to develop privacy-enhancing technologies and services to protect consumers.

8. The common reference model established by a joint APEC / EU working party to drive interoperability between the APEC Cross-Border Privacy Rules (CBPR) and the EU Binding Corporate Rules (BCR) is a welcome development.
Flows of data across borders are extremely important for societal and economic reasons. Without them, we frustrate potential economic growth as well as the potential benefits of the digital transformation for society. It is therefore incumbent on governments, regulators, industry and civil society groups to reject localisation measures and, instead, to work together to enable the flow of data while protecting the personal data and privacy of individuals.

Key policy recommendations

• Facilitate cross-border data flows in a way that is consistent with consumer privacy and local laws by supporting industry best practices and frameworks for the movement of data and working to make these frameworks interoperable.

• Ensure that these frameworks have strong accountability mechanisms, and that the authorities can play a role in overseeing/monitoring their implementation.

• Only impose measures that restrict cross-border data flows if they are absolutely necessary to achieve a legitimate public policy objective. The application of these measures should be proportionate and not be arbitrary or discriminatory against foreign suppliers or services.
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