Official Document RCC.11 - Rich Communication Suite Endorsement of OMA CPM 2.2 Conversation Functions



Rich Communication Suite Endorsement of OMA CPM 2.2 Conversation Functions Version 12.0 04 June 2024

Security Classification: Non-Confidential

Access to and distribution of this document is restricted to the persons permitted by the security classification. This document is subject to copyright protection. This document is to be used only for the purposes for which it has been supplied and information contained in it must not be disclosed or in any other way made available, in whole or in part, to persons other than those permitted under the security classification without the prior written approval of the Association.

Copyright Notice

Copyright © 2024 GSM Association

Disclaimer

The GSMA makes no representation, warranty or undertaking (express or implied) with respect to and does not accept any responsibility for, and hereby disclaims liability for the accuracy or completeness or timeliness of the information contained in this document. The information contained in this document may be subject to change without prior notice.

Compliance Notice

The information contain herein is in full compliance with the GSMA Antitrust Compliance Policy.

This Permanent Reference Document is classified by GSMA as an Industry Specification, as such it has been developed and is maintained by GSMA in accordance with the provisions set out GSMA AA.35 - Procedures for Industry Specifications.

V12.0 Page 1 of 41

Table of Contents

1	Introduction				
	1.1	Overview	7		
	1.2	Scope	7		
	1.3	Definition of Terms	7		
	1.4	Document Cross-References	8		
2	Refe	erences	9		
3	Tern	ninology and Conventions	9		
4	Intro	oduction	9		
	4.1	CPM Version 1.0	13		
	4.2	CPM Version 2.0	13		
	4.3	CPM Version 2.1	14		
	4.4	CPM Version 2.2	14		
5	Forn	nat of CPM Conversation Items	14		
	5.1	CPM Standalone Message	14		
	5.2	CPM Session	14		
	5.2.1	SDP Contents for CPM Sessions	14		
	5.3	CPM Conversation Identification	14		
	5.4	Disposition Notifications	14		
	5.4.1	Generate Delivery Notification	15		
	5.4.2	2 Generate Read Report	15		
	5.4.3	Receive Delivery Notification	15		
	5.4.4	Receive Read Report	15		
	5.4.5	Multidevice handling	15		
	5.4.6	Generate Interworking Notification	16		
	5.4.7	Receive Interworking Notification	16		
	5.5	"isComposing" Notifications	16		
	5.6	CPM Service IDs	16		
6	Common Procedures				
	6.1	Authenticated Originator's CPM Address	16		
	6.1.1	Identifying the sending user and device in SIP requests and responses	16		
	6.1.2	Identifying the recipient device in SIP requests and responses	16		
	6.2	SIP/IP Core	16		
	6.3	Display Name and Anonymity	16		
	6.4	SIP Warning Header Field	17		
	6.4.1	General	17		
	6.4.2	<u> </u>	17		
	6.5	Communicating With the ISF and IWF	17		
	6.5.1		17		
	6.6	Suitable CPM Clients	17		
	6.7	CPM Event Reporting Framework	17		
	6.7.1		17		
	6.7.2	2 Supported Event Reporting Scenarios	17		

V12.0 Page 2 of 41

Official Document RCC.11 - Rich Communication Suite Endorsement of OMA CPM 2.2 Conversation Functions

	6.7.3	Handling of the CPM Event Reporting requests and responses	17
	6.7.4	CPM Event Reporting Data Definition	18
	6.7.5	CPM Defined Events	18
	6.8	CPM Group Session Data Management	18
	6.8.1	Data Structure of the CPM Group Session Data Management	18
7	Proced	dures at CPM Client	19
	7.1 F	Registering at the SIP/IP Core	19
	7.2	CPM Standalone Message Handling	19
	7.2.1	Sending CPM Standalone Messages	19
	7.2.2	Receiving CPM Standalone Messages	20
	7.2.3	Deferred CPM Message Handling	20
	7.3	CPM Session Handling	21
	7.3.1	Initiating New CPM Sessions	21
	7.3.2	Receiving a CPM Session Invitation	22
	7.3.3	Extending a CPM 1-1 Session to a CPM Group Session	22
	7.3.4	Closing a CPM Session	22
	7.3.5	Invite other Principals to existing CPM Group Session	23
	7.3.6	Remove Participants from a CPM Group Session	23
	7.3.7	Modifying a CPM Session	23
	7.3.8	Handling a Received CPM Session Modification Request	23
	7.3.9	Media Plane Handling for CPM Sessions	23
	7.3.10	Participant Information	24
	7.3.11	Handling Deferred CPM 1-1 Session Message Delivery	24
	7.3.12	Handling Deferred CPM Group Session Message Delivery	24
	7.4	CPM File Transfer	24
	7.5 H	Handling of Denoted Messages	25
8	Proced	dures at CPM Participating Function	25
	8.1 F	Registration	25
	8.1.1	Receive SIP REGISTER Notification	25
	8.1.2	Receive Registration Event Information Notifications	25
	8.1.3	Terminating the Subscription to Registration Event Information	25
	8.1.4	Using the Registration Event Information	25
	8.1.5	Core Network Registration	25
	8.2 F	Procedures in the Originating Network	25
	8.2.1	CPM Standalone Message Handling	25
	8.2.2	CPM Session Handling	26
	8.2.3	CPM File Transfer Handling	27
	8.2.4	Sending a Disposition Notification	27
	8.3 F	Procedures in the Terminating Network	27
	8.3.1	CPM Standalone Message Handling	27
	8.3.2	CPM Session Handling	29
	8.3.3	CPM File Transfer Handling	30
	8.3.4	Sending a Disposition Notification	30
	8.3.5	Delivery of Disposition Notifications	30

V12.0 Page 3 of 41

Official Document RCC.11 - Rich Communication Suite Endorsement of OMA CPM 2.2 Conversation Functions

8.3.6	Delivery Policies in Terminating CPM Participating Function	30
8.3.7	Interworking Results Handling in the CPM Participating Function	30
8.4	User Preferences	31
8.4.1	Retrieving User Preferences	31
8.5	Record CPM Conversation History	31
8.5.1	Record CPM Standalone Message	31
8.5.2	Record CPM Session	31
8.5.3	Record CPM File Transfer	31
8.5.4	Record Interworked Deliveries	31
8.6	Media Plane Handling	31
8.6.1	Procedures upon Receiving MSRP	31
8.6.2	Procedures to Send MSRP	31
8.6.3	Recording MSRP for CPM Sessions	31
8.7	Termination of all CPM Sessions of a CPM User due to Administrative	
	Trigger	31
9 Proce	dures at CPM Controlling Function	32
9.1	CPM Standalone Message Handling	32
9.1.1	Pager Mode CPM Standalone Message Handling	32
9.1.2	Large Message Mode CPM Standalone Message Handling	32
	CPM Group Session Handling	32
9.2.1	CPM Group Session Initiation	32
9.2.2	Session Cancellation Request	32
9.2.3	Participant Joining a CPM Group Session for a CPM Pre-defined Group	32
9.2.4	Rejoining CPM Group Session Request	32
9.2.5	Adding Participants Request	32
9.2.6	Removing Participant Request	32
9.2.7	Session Leaving Request	33
9.2.8	CPM Group Session Modification	33
9.2.9	Group Session Ending Request	33
9.2.10	•	33
9.2.11		33
9.2.12	3	33
	Pseudonyms in a CPM Group Session	33
	Participant Information	33
9.2.15	•	34
	CPM File Transfer Handling	34
Appendix		34
Appendix	·	34
Appendix		34
	Header Field Definitions	34
	Conversation-ID	34
	Contribution-ID	34
	InReplyTo-Contribution-ID	35
C.1.4.	Session-Replaces	35

V12.0 Page 4 of 41

Official Document RCC.11 - Rich Communication Suite Endorsement of OMA CPM 2.2 Conversation Functions

	N.1.	Correla	ation algorithm		38
	CPM	Messa	ge Store (Norma	ative)	38
Αp	pendi	κN.	Algorithm for C	Correlating SMS messages with Objects from the	
Αp	pendi	х M.	Example of Eve	ent Reporting body (Normative)	38
				displayed instant messages	38
			•	d/sent instant messages	38
				y the CPM Clients	37
			supported emotion		37
Аp	pendi		Emoticons	(Normative)	37
•	pendi			fication For Deferred CPM Message After Expiry	37
-	pendi			ages Event Package Definition	37
_	l.2.			Deferred CPM Message After Expiry	37
				Message Notification Format	37
				CPM Message Notification Format	37
			•	e Notification Format	37
•	•		CPM Notification		37
۸			erted-Service Hea		37
			CPM-based Serv		37
		•		CPM Feature Identifiers	37
			Client Behaviour	CDM Facture Identifica	36
		_	eature Identifiers	5	36
чþ	pendix		CPM Feature Ta		36
•	pendi			With OMA Simple IM Clients	
•	•				36 36
•	•		•	s to be Provisioned for CPM Service	36
Δn				PM-Based Services	36
			ersion 2.1 /ersion 2.2		36
			ersion 2.0 ersion 2.1		36 36
٦þ	•		ersion 1.0	in in Oser-Agent and Server Headers (Normative)	36
Δn		ADINE k D.		n in User-Agent and Server Headers (Normative)	36
				ned SDP parameter	36
				ned SIP Headers ensions to SIP Headers	36 36
			, ,,	ned SIP Headers	35 35
		3. 4.	Payload-Type	e for headers extensions	35 35
				o for hooders extensions	35 35
		1. 2.	Message-Correl IW-Number	alUi	35 35
			Message Correl		35
	C.1.9.Message-Direction C.1.10. Message-Context				
C.1.8.SDP attributes and values				35 35	
			t Header field va		35
			•		35
					35
	_				

V12.0 Page 5 of 41

GSMA	Non-confidential
Official Document RCC.11 - Rich Communication Suite Endorsement of OMA CPI	M 2.2 Conversation

Functions

N.2. Dealing with Collisions	38
Appendix O. CPM Extensions for IMDN (Normative)	38
O.1. ABNF Update	38
O.2. The RelaxNG Schema	38
Appendix P. The CPM Group Session Data Management (Normative)	38
P.1. Schema Description	38
P.2. CPM Extensions to the Conference Event Package	38
Appendix Q. CPM Ad-hoc Group Policy (Normative)	39
Q.1. CPM Controlling Function Policy	39
Q.1.1. Participant Removal Policy	39
Q.1.2. CPM User Roles	39
Annex A Document Management	40
A.1 Document History	40
A.2 Other Information	41

V12.0 Page 6 of 41

Official Document RCC.11 - Rich Communication Suite Endorsement of OMA CPM 2.2 Conversation Functions

1 Introduction

1.1 Overview

This document describes which sections of the Open Mobile Alliance Converged IP (Internet Protocol) Messaging (OMA CPM) 2.2 Conversation Functions specification (see [CPMCONVFUNC]) are supported by the current version of RCS (Rich Communications Suite).

For details on how this fits technically in the RCS scope, please see [PRD-RCC.07].

For easier reference, this document follows the same structure as [CPMCONVFUNC]. For that reason the headings of the sections are citations of the headings used in [CPMCONVFUNC], within the sections they describe what part the equivalent section in [CPMCONVFUNC] is supported by RCS. For sections that are not applicable in their entirety, this is mentioned at the top level of the section and the subsections are not mentioned explicitly thereafter. For sections in which no difference with [CPMCONVFUNC] is introduced however, also the subsections are mentioned to state explicitly that they are applicable as well.

This specification lists differences and clarifications for RCS compared to [CPMCONVFUNC]. The former category includes both differences in expected behaviour compared to [CPMCONVFUNC] as well as corrections in behaviour, which should disappear over time when bug fixes will be applied to [CPMCONVFUNC]. The latter category describes what options are chosen for RCS in case [CPMCONVFUNC] provides multiple possibilities and provides clarifications on how the provided functionality is expected to be used.

1.2 Scope

This document provides the details of the messaging technology used in this release of RCS.

1.3 Definition of Terms

Term	Description
3GPP	3rd Generation Partnership Project
3GPP2	Third Generation Partnership Project 2
ABNF	Augmented Backus-Naur Form
B2BUA	Back to back user agent
CPIM	Common Presence and Instant Messaging
СРМ	Converged IP Messaging
GRUU	Globally Routable User Agent URI
HTTP	Hyper-Text Transfer Protocol
IARI	IMS Application Reference Identifier
ICSI	IMS Communication Service Identifier
IETF	Internet Engineering Task Force
IM	Instant Messaging
IMDN	Instant Message Disposition Notification. See [RFC5438].

V12.0 Page 7 of 41

GSMA Non-confidential Official Document RCC.11 - Rich Communication Suite Endorsement of OMA CPM 2.2 Conversation Functions

Term	Description
IMS	IP Multimedia Subsystem
IP	Internet Protocol
ISF	Interworking Selection Function
IWF	Interworking Function
MMS	Multimedia Messaging Service
MSRP	Message Session Relay Protocol
OMA	Open Mobile Alliance
RCS	Rich Communication Suite
RFC	Request For Comments
RTCP	RTP Control Protocol
RTP	Real-time Transport Protocol
SDP	Session Description Protocol
SIMPLE	SIP for Instant Messaging and Presence Leveraging Extensions
SIP	Session Initiation Protocol
SLA	Service Level Agreement
SMS	Short Message Service
SVG	Scalable Vector Graphics
TCP	Transmission Control Protocol
UA	User Agent
UAC	User Agent Client
UAS	User Agent Server
URI	Uniform Resource Identifier
XDM	XML Document Management
XDMS	XML Document Management Server
XML	eXtensible Markup Language

1.4 Document Cross-References

Ref	Document Number	Title
1	[PRD-RCC.07]	GSMA PRD RCC.07, Rich Communication Suite - Advanced Communications: Services and Client Specification
		http://www.gsma.com/rcs/
	[CPMCONVFUNC]	CPM Conversation Functions, Open Mobile Alliance Ltd.
		OMA-TS-CPM_Conv_Fnct-V2_2-20201207-D
2		http://member.openmobilealliance.org/ftp/Public_documents/com/COM
		-CPM/Permanent_documents/OMA-TS-CPM_Conversation_Function-
		<u>V2_2-202012-07-D.zip</u>

V12.0 Page 8 of 41

Official Document RCC.11 - Rich Communication Suite Endorsement of OMA CPM 2.2 Conversation Functions

Ref	Document Number	Title
	[RFC4028]	Session Timers in the Session Initiation Protocol (SIP), IETF, April
3		2005 http://www.ietf.org/rfc/rfc4028.txt

2 References

See chapter 1.4.

3 Terminology and Conventions

The same conventions, terminology, definitions and abbreviations used in chapter 3 of [CPMCONVFUNC] are valid for RCS. Additional abbreviations and terms specific for this document are in chapter 1.3.

4 Introduction

RCS supports the following modes of CPM-based communication

- One-to-One and One-to-Many Pager Mode Standalone messaging including interworking
- One-to-One and One-to-Many Large Message Mode Standalone Messaging including interworking
- Deferred Messaging
- One-to-one session (including interworking) and Ad-hoc session mode messaging

RCS supports or makes use of the following CPM concepts, and the additional requirements or clarifications listed below:

- User-Agent and Server header field
 - In addition to what is specified in Appendix D, a client and messaging server for RCS shall include the applicable, additional server-vals defined in Annex C.4.1 of [PRD-RCC.07] as part the User-Agent and Server header field.
 - The verification of the User-Agent header to require support of a specific User Agent Version is not needed.
 - CPM Enabler version 2.2 uses the same server-val tags and encoding as defined by CPM version 2.0, with the following difference:
 - CPM-product-version = "OMA2.2"

RCS does not support the following modes of CPM-based communication

- Pre-defined group messaging
- Interworking of CPM Group Sessions
- Closed CPM Group Messaging
- CPM File Transfer and
- Interoperability of different MSRP session matching algorithms.

V12.0 Page 9 of 41

GSMA Non-confidential Official Document RCC.11 - Rich Communication Suite Endorsement of OMA CPM 2.2 Conversation Functions

RCS also does not support or make use of the following CPM Concepts:

- The CPM Client requesting anonymity when sending a CPM Standalone Message or setting up a CPM Session.
 - For RCS a client shall not request Privacy and the CPM Controlling and Participating Functions shall apply a Service Provider Policy where privacy is not allowed when handling incoming requests and responses.
- User Preferences managed by the user through XML (extensible Markup Language) Document Management (XDM).
 - For RCS, preferences shall be the same for all users and therefore set by the network either as fixed policies in the CPM Participating Function or by pre-provisioning XDM documents on behalf of the user:
 - Recording shall always be applied for RCS based on Service Provider policies i.e. equivalent to a rule with the <allow-offline-storage> sub-element of the action element set to "true"
 - o Delivery policies in the terminating CPM Participating Function shall be as follows:
 - Deliver and interwork if the user has a non-CPM capable primary device when handling a message (e.g. a device with only CS/SMS connectivity) the equivalent of a <allow-delivery-and-interwork> sub-element of the action element set to "true"
 - Regular delivery for users of which the primary device is currently CPM capable or when handling a disposition notification
 - The CPM rules to always reject invites (i.e. an '<allow-reject-invite>' sub-element set to "true"), do not disturb (i.e. an '<allow-do-not-disturb>' sub-element set to "true"), direct interworking (i.e. an '<allow-interwork>' sub-element set to "true"), direct delivery to the Message Store (i.e. an '<allow-store>' sub-element set to "true"), forwarding of incoming messages (i.e. an '<allow-forward>' sub-element set to "true") and direct deferral of incoming (i.e. '<allow-defer>' sub-element set to "true") is thus not used for RCS.
 - A client-based blacklist shall be used. The CPM Participating Function shall thus not have to compare the Authenticated Originator CPM Address against a list stored in the XMDS.
 - There will be no rules to exclude CPM clients from delivery. All clients registered for the corresponding CPM Service shall be considered suitable to receive the SIP INVITE or MESSAGE request.
 - Expired Deferred Messages shall always be discarded and shall thus not be delivered to the CPM Message Store
- CPM Clients requesting "negative-delivery" disposition notifications when sending a message
- Support for CPM Clients that do not support IMDN

and [PRD-RCC.07]

 Support for GRUU.
 When the CPM Participating Function needs to address a specific client, for RCS this shall always be done using a sip.instance parameter as described in section 6.1.2

V12.0 Page 10 of 41

Official Document RCC.11 - Rich Communication Suite Endorsement of OMA CPM 2.2 Conversation Functions

- The CPM Participating Function requesting event(s) to the CPM client and therefore also CPM Activity Events
- Interoperability with OMA SIMPLE IM Clients
- Client CPM-based Service Identification. RCS has its own extension identification format that can be used beyond CPM-based services as described in [PRD-RCC.07].
- Aggregation of IMDNs
 For RCS, the CPM Participating and Controlling Functions will never aggregate IMDNs
- Denoting Messages in CPM Standalone Group Conversations and CPM Group Sessions
- Message-UID: For RCS the CPM Participating Function shall never provide a Message-UID
- IMAP folders vs generic folders: For RCS, generic folders shall be used rather than IMAP folders
- For CPM Sessions:
 - The functioning of the CPM Participating Function as a Proxy. For RCS the Participating Function shall always work as a Back-to-Back User Agent (B2BUA) and shall always stay in the media path.
 - Using multiple media streams in a session
 For RCS, there shall be only one media stream in a CPM session and it will be an MSRP stream.
 - For RCS the CPM Participating Function shall thus also not have to handle cases where only part of the media streams have been accepted and at most one IWF will be involved in a session. Therefore, if an IWF indicates closure of the CPM session, Service Provider policy shall thus always be to close the CPM session completely.
 - The use of real-time continuous media, using RTP (Real Time Protocol) / Real Time Control Protocol) RTCP (in combination with CPM that is).
 - The modification of an ongoing CPM session.
 For RCS a client shall not request to add or remove media streams in an ongoing session and the handling of incoming requests requesting such modifications is thus out-of-scope for RCS. For RCS the only use case for sending a SIP INVITE request in an ongoing dialog for a CPM Session shall be to refresh the SIP Session timer as described in [RFC4028].
 - The CPM Participating Function shall therefore reject any CPM Session Modification Requests with a SIP 488 Not Acceptable Here response.
 - The extension of a CPM 1-to-1 Session to a CPM Group Session.
 Instead, an RCS client shall set up a new CPM Group Session without referring to the CPM 1-to-1 session in the SIP INVITE for that CPM Group Session.
 - Temporarily declining a session with a SIP 480 response
 - Redirecting an incoming session with a SIP 302 response
 - Rejecting an incoming invitation for a 1-to-1 session with a SIP 603 response
 - Sending regular Messages to only one other participant in a CPM Group Chat conversation.

The functionality is used only for sending disposition notifications.

V12.0 Page 11 of 41

GSMA Non-confidential Official Document RCC.11 - Rich Communication Suite Endorsement of OMA CPM 2.2 Conversation Functions

- CPM Group Sessions that are not CPM Long-Lived Group Sessions.
 For RCS all CPM Group Sessions will be considered long-lived and a CPM client will always be allowed to restart it provided that the CPM User is considered a participant in the CPM Group Session. Service Provider policy in the CPM Participating Function will thus always allow the user to restart the CPM Long-lived Group Session
- The Terminating CPM Participating Function establishing the Chat Session with only the first CPM Client that answered 200 OK or establishing the Chat Session with all the CPM user's CPM Clients until a message or "isComposing" notifications
 - For RCS the Terminating CPM Participating Function shall establish Chat Session with all the CPM user's clients and keep those sessions established for the duration of the Chat Session or until the client loses connectivity.
- Not storing the SDP in attributes associated with the media streams in the Session Info Object.
 - For RCS, the SDP shall always be stored.
- Recording the Chat at the end of the session.
 For RCS, the content shall always be recorded live.
- Releasing a CPM Ad-hoc Group Session when the initiator leaves
- Not buffering the MSRP messages exchanged by the other Participants in the CPM Group Session until a 2xx final response is received from the pending Participant.
 - For RCS, messages exchanged while a SIP INVITE request is pending towards a participant shall always be buffered until a final SIP response is received from that participant.
- The "all Participants" Participant Removal Policy.
 For RCS, the CPM Controlling Function shall indicate the "Administrator only"
 Participant Removal Policy and if no policy was indicated, RCS clients shall assume that the "no Participant Removal policy" applies.
- Setting the icon in a Group Chat using the <file-info> element in the Group Session Data Management
 RCS clients shall set icons for CPM Group Sessions only by use of the "icon-uri" element in the set icon request.
- Support for updating the bulletin in CPM Group Session Data Management and Participant Notifications. The bulletin shall not be used for RCS.
- o For disposition notifications associated to CPM Messages exchanged within a 1-to-1 Chat session that are sent outside of the session using a SIP MESSAGE request, the party generating the disposition notification shall either include as value for the Contribution-ID and Conversation-ID headers the values for the respective headers from the session setup of the 1-to-1 Chat session or it shall generate and include new Conversation-ID and Contribution-ID header field values.
- o For a 1-to-1 Chat session, the client shall assume that the session continues the previous conversation and shall for the Conversation-ID and Contribution-ID headers either reuse the values of the previous 1-to-1 CPM session that was established and ended with this recipient or the client shall generate and include new Conversation-ID and Contribution-ID header field values.

V12.0 Page 12 of 41

GSMA Non-confidential Official Document RCC.11 - Rich Communication Suite Endorsement of OMA CPM 2.2 Conversation Functions

- For CPM Standalone Messages:
 - Including stored data without downloading it to the client (i.e. referring to external bodies) when sending a CPM Standalone Message.
 An RCS client shall always send the content as part of the message itself and RCS Clients and Participating Functions shall not download external content referred to from a CPM Standalone Message.
 - Conversion in the network from a Pager Mode CPM Standalone Message to a Large Message Mode CPM Standalone Message.
 It is assumed that since the body is not changed, a message that can be delivered to the CPM Participating Function as Pager Mode, can be sent onwards as such even if the total size would have gotten be above the 1300 bytes limit due to inclusion of additional SIP header fields.
 - Pulling of deferred CPM Standalone Messages is not applicable for RCS.
 The network shall always push deferred messages when a client of the user comes online. An RCS client shall thus not subscribe to the "deferred-messages" event package.
 - Furthermore, the policy shall be such that if the Participating Function has information that a user did not come online, but can receive a message provided that it is interworked, the Participating Function can decide to push the deferred messages to the ISF. How the Participating Function obtains this information is out of the scope of this document. The Participating Function can do this as soon as the message is deferred or later on when it finds out that the user's capability for receiving a message that is interworked has changed.
 - In-band and Out-of-Band Notifications on availability and expiry of Deferred CPM Standalone Messages.
 For RCS a Participating Function shall not send such notifications and the
 - Addressing a CPM Standalone Message to a destination address that is neither SIP URI address nor TEL URI address

handling when receiving them is thus not applicable for RCS clients

 Using the "TO" and "CC" qualifiers in the recipient list when sending a multi-party CPM Standalone Message. For RCS only "BCC" shall be used.

Following clarifications for RCS:

 The length of the values for the IMDN.Message-ID generated by the CPM Client and CPM Participating Function shall not exceed 36 characters for RCS.

4.1 CPM Version 1.0

No differences with [CPMCONVFUNC] after taking into account the use cases and technology choices for RCS that are described in section 4.

4.2 CPM Version 2.0

No differences with [CPMCONVFUNC].

V12.0 Page 13 of 41

Official Document RCC.11 - Rich Communication Suite Endorsement of OMA CPM 2.2 Conversation Functions

4.3 CPM Version 2.1

No differences with [CPMCONVFUNC] after taking into account the use cases and technology choices for RCS that are described in section 4.

4.4 CPM Version 2.2

No differences with [CPMCONVFUNC] after taking into account the use cases and technology choices for RCS that are described in section 4.

5 Format of CPM Conversation Items

5.1 CPM Standalone Message

No differences with [CPMCONVFUNC].

5.2 CPM Session

No differences with [CPMCONVFUNC] after taking into account the use cases and technology choices for RCS that are described in section 4.

5.2.1 SDP Contents for CPM Sessions

5.2.1.1 SDP Contents when Initiating or Modifying a CPM Session

No differences with [CPMCONVFUNC], after taking into account the use cases and technology choices for RCS that are described in section 4

5.2.1.2 SDP Handling at Intermediate Nodes

No differences with [CPMCONVFUNC] after taking into account the use cases and technology choices for RCS that are described in section 4.

5.2.1.3 SDP Handling at Terminating Nodes

No differences with [CPMCONVFUNC], after taking into account the use cases and technology choices for RCS that are described in section 4.

5.2.1.4 Handling of Media connection parameters for MSRP

No differences with [CPMCONVFUNC] after taking into account the use cases and technology choices for RCS that are described in section 4.

5.2.1.4.1 Legacy MSRP session matching

Not applicable for RCS following the use cases and technology choices for RCS that are described in section 4

5.3 CPM Conversation Identification

No differences with [CPMCONVFUNC].

5.4 Disposition Notifications

No differences with [CPMCONVFUNC] after taking into account the use cases and technology choices for RCS that are described in section 4.

V12.0 Page 14 of 41

Official Document RCC.11 - Rich Communication Suite Endorsement of OMA CPM 2.2 Conversation Functions

5.4.1 Generate Delivery Notification

No differences with [CPMCONVFUNC].

As a clarification for RCS:

- For RCS in step 2.a. ii) the client shall either
 - include for delivery notification requests associated with CPM Messages exchanged in a 1-to-1 Chat session the Conversation-ID and Contribution-ID header value received in the original SIP request; or
 - generate and include new Conversation-ID and Contribution-ID header field values.

5.4.2 Generate Read Report

No differences with [CPMCONVFUNC] after taking into account the use cases and technology choices for RCS that are described in section 4.

As a clarification for RCS:

- For RCS in step 2.a. 5) the client shall either
 - include for read report requests associated with CPM Messages exchanged in a 1-to-1 Chat session the Conversation-ID and Contribution-ID header value received in the original SIP request; or
 - generate and include new Conversation-ID and Contribution-ID header field values.

5.4.3 Receive Delivery Notification

No differences with [CPMCONVFUNC].

As a clarification for RCS:

 For RCS, a CPM client may receive disposition notifications with a different Conversation-ID and/or Contribution-ID than the 1-to-1 Chat in which the message to which the notification applies was sent. An RCS client shall match received notifications to the messages sent based only on the IMDN Message-ID.

5.4.4 Receive Read Report

No differences with [CPMCONVFUNC].

As a clarification for RCS:

 For RCS, a CPM client may receive disposition notifications with a different Conversation-ID and/or Contribution-ID than the 1-to-1 Chat in which the message to which the notification applies was sent. An RCS client shall match received notifications to the messages sent based only on the IMDN Message-ID.

5.4.5 Multidevice handling

No differences with [CPMCONVFUNC].

V12.0 Page 15 of 41

Official Document RCC.11 - Rich Communication Suite Endorsement of OMA CPM 2.2 Conversation Functions

5.4.6 Generate Interworking Notification

No differences with [CPMCONVFUNC].

5.4.7 Receive Interworking Notification

No differences with [CPMCONVFUNC].

5.5 "isComposing" Notifications

No differences with [CPMCONVFUNC].

5.6 CPM Service IDs

No differences with [CPMCONVFUNC].

As a clarification for RCS:

• older CPM version values may be received in the service capabilities exchange via OMA Presence (e.g. version=2.0),

6 Common Procedures

6.1 Authenticated Originator's CPM Address

No differences with [CPMCONVFUNC] after taking into account the use cases and technology choices for RCS that are described in section 4.

6.1.1 Identifying the sending user and device in SIP requests and responses No differences with [CPMCONVFUNC].

As a clarification for RCS:

- If multiple URIs are available, the TEL URI shall be used, following the procedure in section 2.5 of [PRD-RCC.07].
- The value used for the instance identifier shall be determined as specified in section 2.4.2 of [PRD-RCC.07].

6.1.2 Identifying the recipient device in SIP requests and responses

No differences with [CPMCONVFUNC].

6.2 SIP/IP Core

No differences with [CPMCONVFUNC].

As a clarification for RCS:

 The SIP/ Internet Protocol (IP) core shall always correspond to Third Generation Partnership Project (3GPP/3GPP2) (IP Multimedia Subsystem) IMS

6.3 Display Name and Anonymity

No differences with [CPMCONVFUNC] after taking into account the use cases and technology choices for RCS that are described in section 4.

V12.0 Page 16 of 41

Official Document RCC.11 - Rich Communication Suite Endorsement of OMA CPM 2.2 Conversation Functions

6.4 SIP Warning Header Field

6.4.1 General

No differences with [CPMCONVFUNC].

6.4.2 Warning Texts

No differences with [CPMCONVFUNC].

6.5 Communicating With the ISF and IWF

No differences with [CPMCONVFUNC] after taking into account the use cases and technology choices for RCS that are described in section 4.

6.5.1 Interworking Response handling

No differences with [CPMCONVFUNC].

6.6Suitable CPM Clients

No differences with [CPMCONVFUNC].

6.7CPM Event Reporting Framework

No differences with [CPMCONVFUNC] after taking into account the use cases and technology choices for RCS that are described in section 4.

6.7.1 Service Identification

No differences with [CPMCONVFUNC].

6.7.2 Supported Event Reporting Scenarios

No differences with [CPMCONVFUNC].

6.7.2.1 CPM Client reported event(s) to CPM Participating Function

No differences with [CPMCONVFUNC].

6.7.2.2 CPM Participating Function requesting event(s) to CPM Client

Not applicable for RCS following the use cases and technology choices for RCS that are described in section 4

6.7.2.3 CPM Enabler as a Transport for Other Applications/Enablers

No differences with [CPMCONVFUNC].

6.7.2.4 CPM Event Reporting for Multi-device

Not applicable for RCS following the use cases and technology choices for RCS that are described in section 4.

6.7.3 Handling of the CPM Event Reporting requests and responses

6.7.3.1 Sending One Time CPM Events

No differences with [CPMCONVFUNC].

V12.0 Page 17 of 41

Official Document RCC.11 - Rich Communication Suite Endorsement of OMA CPM 2.2 Conversation Functions

6.7.3.2 Receiving One Time CPM Events

No differences with [CPMCONVFUNC].

6.7.3.3 Initiating bi-directional Session for CPM Events

No differences with [CPMCONVFUNC].

6.7.3.4 Receiving a Bi-directional Session for CPM Events invitation

No differences with [CPMCONVFUNC].

6.7.3.5 Closing a Session for CPM Events

No differences with [CPMCONVFUNC].

6.7.3.6 Checking CPM Events requests

No differences with [CPMCONVFUNC].

6.7.4 CPM Event Reporting Data Definition

No differences with [CPMCONVFUNC].

6.7.4.1 Data Semantics and Validation Constraints

No differences with [CPMCONVFUNC].

6.7.5 CPM Defined Events

No differences with [CPMCONVFUNC] after taking into account the use cases and technology choices for RCS that are described in section 4.

6.7.5.1 CPM IMAP Events

No differences with [CPMCONVFUNC].

6.7.5.2 CPM Interworking Events

No differences with [CPMCONVFUNC].

6.7.5.2.1 Interworking Events Structure

No differences with [CPMCONVFUNC].

6.7.5.3 CPM ACTIVITY Events

Not applicable for RCS following the use cases and technology choices for RCS that are described in section 4.

6.8 CPM Group Session Data Management

No differences with [CPMCONVFUNC] after taking into account the use cases and technology choices for RCS that are described in section 4.

6.8.1 Data Structure of the CPM Group Session Data Management

No differences with [CPMCONVFUNC] after taking into account the use cases and technology choices for RCS that are described in section 4.

V12.0 Page 18 of 41

Official Document RCC.11 - Rich Communication Suite Endorsement of OMA CPM 2.2 Conversation Functions

7 Procedures at CPM Client

No differences with [CPMCONVFUNC].

7.1 Registering at the SIP/IP Core

Registration will be done as described in [PRD-RCC.07]. This means the following differences with [CPMCONVFUNC]:

 In step 4, the feature tags, ICSIs and IARIs (IMS Application Reference Identifier) for the other RCS use cases and enablers will be included.

As a clarification for RCS:

• In Step 3 and Note 1: The value used for the instance identifier shall be determined as specified in section 2.4.2 of [PRD-RCC.07].

7.2 CPM Standalone Message Handling

No differences with [CPMCONVFUNC].

7.2.1 Sending CPM Standalone Messages

7.2.1.1 Sending a Pager Mode CPM Standalone Message

No differences with [CPMCONVFUNC] after taking into account the use cases and technology choices for RCS that are described in section 4.

As clarifications for RCS:

Multiple authenticated originators' CPM addresses may be received (see [PRD-RCC.07]). The address used when sending a reply shall be based on the provisioning setting described in [PRD-RCC.07].

7.2.1.2 Sending a Large Message Mode CPM Standalone Message

No differences with [CPMCONVFUNC] after taking into account the use cases and technology choices for RCS that are described in section 4.

As clarifications for RCS:

Multiple authenticated originators' CPM addresses may be received (see [PRD-RCC.07]). The address used when sending a reply shall be as described in [PRD-RCC.07].

7.2.1.3 Generate a CPM Standalone Message

No differences with [CPMCONVFUNC] after taking into account the use cases and technology choices for RCS that are described in section 4.

7.2.1.4 Forwarding/Including Stored Data without Downloading to the CPM Client Not applicable for RCS as clarified in section 4.

V12.0 Page 19 of 41

Official Document RCC.11 - Rich Communication Suite Endorsement of OMA CPM 2.2 Conversation Functions

7.2.2 Receiving CPM Standalone Messages

7.2.2.1 Receiving a Pager Mode CPM Standalone Message and SIP IMDNs

No differences with [CPMCONVFUNC] after taking into account the use cases and technology choices for RCS that are described in section 4.

7.2.2.2 Receiving a Large Message Mode CPM Standalone Message

No differences with [CPMCONVFUNC] after taking into account the use cases and technology choices for RCS that are described in section 4.

7.2.2.3 CPIM Handling of Received CPM Standalone Messages and SIP IMDNs

No differences with [CPMCONVFUNC] after taking into account the use cases and technology choices for RCS that are described in section 4.

7.2.3 Deferred CPM Message Handling

7.2.3.1 Subscribe to Deferred CPM Message Info

Not applicable for RCS (device settings shall not be to pull Deferred CPM Standalone Messages) as clarified in section 4.

7.2.3.2 Handling Deferred CPM Message(s)

7.2.3.2.1 Handling Deferred CPM Standalone Message(s) before Having Received an Expiry Notification

Not applicable for RCS (device settings shall not be to pull Deferred CPM Standalone Messages) as clarified in section 4.

7.2.3.2.2 Retrieving CPM Standalone Message(s) after Receiving a Notification for Expiry of Deferred CPM Message(s)

Not applicable for RCS as clarified in section 4

7.2.3.3 Receiving a notification for Expiry of Deferred CPM Standalone Message

Not applicable for RCS as clarified in section 4

7.2.3.4 Notification Handling

7.2.3.4.1 Out-of-band Notifications

Not applicable for RCS as clarified in section 4

7.2.3.4.2 In-band Notifications

Not applicable for RCS (device settings shall not be to pull Deferred CPM Standalone Messages) as clarified in section 4.

V12.0 Page 20 of 41

Official Document RCC.11 - Rich Communication Suite Endorsement of OMA CPM 2.2 Conversation Functions

7.3 CPM Session Handling

7.3.1 Initiating New CPM Sessions

7.3.1.1 Initiating a CPM 1-1 Session

No difference with [CPMCONVFUNC] after taking into account the use cases and technology choices for RCS that are described in section 4.

As clarifications for RCS:

- When a 200 OK response is received on an INVITE request from a user with whom a
 one-to-one CPM session is established already, an RCS client will terminate the
 existing session by sending a SIP BYE request.
- When a response is received to the INVITE request that differs from 200 OK or 486
 Busy Here, the user will be informed that the session could not be set-up
 Note as described in chapter 7.3.2 of this document re-direction is not supported in
 RCS.
- In step 12, since CPM conversation does not exist for RCS, the client shall either:
 - include a Conversation-ID header with value from previous 1-1 CPM session that was established and ended with this recipient; or
 - generate and include new Conversation-ID and Contribution-ID header field values.

7.3.1.2 Initiating a CPM Group Session for a CPM Ad-hoc Group

Following difference with [CPMCONVFUNC] after taking into account the use cases and technology choices for RCS that are described in section 4:

• For RCS in step 10 the CPM Client shall set the Contribution-ID to the same value as the Conversation-ID since in RCS there is only one Group Chat within a conversation.

As a clarification for RCS:

- In step 3, when supporting File Transfer in a Group Chat, File Transfer via HTTP (Hyper-Text Transfer Protocol) and/or Geolocation PUSH the client shall take into account the differences and clarifications provided in [PRD-RCC.07].
- In step 6, when the size of resource-list body exceeds the configured parameter CONTENT COMPRESS SIZE defined in Section A.1.3 of [PRD-RCC.07], and SIP Content-Compression is supported by the client, the resource-list body should be compressed with the Content-Encoding header set to "gzip".
- When a response is received to the INVITE request that differs from 200 OK, the user will be informed that the session could not be set-up

7.3.1.3 Initiating a CPM Group Session for a CPM pre-defined Group

Not applicable for RCS following the use cases and technology choices for RCS that are described in section 4.

V12.0 Page 21 of 41

Official Document RCC.11 - Rich Communication Suite Endorsement of OMA CPM 2.2 Conversation Functions

7.3.1.4 Joining a CPM Group Session for a Join-in Group

Not applicable for RCS following the use cases and technology choices for RCS that are described in section 4.

7.3.1.5 Re-joining a CPM Long-lived Group Session

Following difference with [CPMCONVFUNC] after taking into account the use cases and technology choices for RCS that are described in section 4:

- Step 5 shall be done as described in the addressing section in [PRD-RCC.07].
- The option for the client to continue the CPM Group Session for the CPM user on reception of a SIP 404 or SIP 403 response is not applicable for RCS.

As clarifications for RCS:

 When a response is received to the INVITE request other than the ones defined above, the user will be informed that the session could not be set-up

7.3.2 Receiving a CPM Session Invitation

No differences with [CPMCONVFUNC] after taking into account the use cases and technology choices for RCS that are described in section 4.

Following clarifications are given for RCS:

- In step 6 c, an RCS client shall correlate the CPM Address of the inviting user with the client's address book in order to derive a display name for the user
- The display of the CPM User list as defined in step 6 d is not applicable for RCS.

7.3.3 Extending a CPM 1-1 Session to a CPM Group Session

Not applicable for RCS following the use cases and technology choices for RCS that are described in section 4.

7.3.4 Closing a CPM Session

7.3.4.1 Closing a CPM 1-1 Session

No differences with [CPMCONVFUNC].

7.3.4.2 Leaving a CPM Group Session

No differences with [CPMCONVFUNC] after taking into account the use cases and technology choices for RCS that are described in section 4

7.3.4.3 Receiving a CPM Session Closing Request

No differences with [CPMCONVFUNC].

As a clarification for RCS:

• For RCS no specific behaviour will be provided in case a Reason Header is included with the protocol set to "SIP" and the protocol-cause set to "200".

V12.0 Page 22 of 41

Official Document RCC.11 - Rich Communication Suite Endorsement of OMA CPM 2.2 Conversation Functions

7.3.4.4 Receiving a CPM Session Cancellation

No differences with [CPMCONVFUNC].

As a clarification for RCS:

For RCS as described in section 2.10 of [PRD-RCC.07], when a Reason Header is
included with the protocol set to "SIP" and the protocol-cause set to "200", a group
chat session for which an explicit invitation was shown to the user as a result of the
configuration described in [PRD-RCC.07] will not be indicated to the user
appropriately and therefore not as a "missed call" or similar.

7.3.5 Invite other Principals to existing CPM Group Session

No differences with [CPMCONVFUNC] after taking into account the use cases and technology choices for RCS that are described in section 4.

7.3.6 Remove Participants from a CPM Group Session

No differences with [CPMCONVFUNC].

As a clarification for RCS:

An RCS client shall only offer the user the removal of participants if the "CPM
Controlling Function Policy", the "Participant Removal Policy" and the user's "CPM
User Role" as defined in Appendix Q of this document permit it.

7.3.7 Modifying a CPM Session

Not applicable for RCS following the use cases and technology choices for RCS that are described in section 4

7.3.8 Handling a Received CPM Session Modification Request

Not applicable for RCS following the use cases and technology choices for RCS that are described in section 4.

7.3.9 Media Plane Handling for CPM Sessions

No differences with [CPMCONVFUNC].

As a clarification for RCS:

An RCS client will support the emoticons defined in Appendix L

7.3.9.1 MSRP-based Media Streams

Following difference with [CPMCONVFUNC] after taking into account the use cases and technology choices for RCS that are described in section 4:

 RCS clients will send isComposing Messages as described in [CPMCONVFUNC] chapter 5.5.

Following clarifications are given for RCS:

V12.0 Page 23 of 41

Official Document RCC.11 - Rich Communication Suite Endorsement of OMA CPM 2.2 Conversation Functions

- In step 1 b, the CPIM To header will be set to sip:anonymous@anonymous.invalid.
- In 1-to-1 sessions, the CPIM From header will be set to sip:anonymous@anonymous.invalid.

7.3.9.2 RTP/RTCP-based Media Streams

Not applicable for RCS following the use cases and technology choices for RCS that are described in section 4.

7.3.10 Participant Information

7.3.10.1 Subscribe to Receiving CPM Group Session Participant Information

No differences with [CPMCONVFUNC] after taking into account the use cases and technology choices for RCS that are described in section 4.

As a clarification for RCS:

• If SIP Content-Compression is supported by the client, the client should include an Accept-Encoding header field set to *GZIP* in the SIP SUBSCRIBE request.

7.3.10.2 Receive Participant Information Notification

No difference with [CPMCONVFUNC] after taking into account the use cases and technology choices for RCS that are described in section 4.

As a clarification for RCS:

 If the body of the SIP NOTIFY request is compressed by using GZIP encoding, the client should decompress the body of the SIP NOTIFY request and handle it accordingly.

7.3.11 Handling Deferred CPM 1-1 Session Message Delivery

No differences with [CPMCONVFUNC] after taking into account the use cases and technology choices for RCS that are described in section 4.

As a clarification for RCS:

 In step 2, for forwarding stored disposition notifications to a client, the session is set up as sendonly and will be identified by the user part of the URI in the P-Asserted-Identity header being rcse-standfw. This session shall only be used to deliver stored IMDNs and an RCS client shall automatically accept this session

7.3.12 Handling Deferred CPM Group Session Message Delivery

No differences with [CPMCONVFUNC].

7.4 CPM File Transfer

Not applicable for RCS following the use cases and technology choices for RCS that are described in section 4

V12.0 Page 24 of 41

Official Document RCC.11 - Rich Communication Suite Endorsement of OMA CPM 2.2 Conversation Functions

7.5 Handling of Denoted Messages

Not applicable for RCS following the use cases and technology choices for RCS that are described in section 4

8 Procedures at CPM Participating Function

8.1 Registration

No differences with [CPMCONVFUNC].

8.1.1 Receive SIP REGISTER Notification

No differences with [CPMCONVFUNC].

8.1.2 Receive Registration Event Information Notifications

No differences with [CPMCONVFUNC].

8.1.3 Terminating the Subscription to Registration Event Information

No differences with [CPMCONVFUNC].

8.1.4 Using the Registration Event Information

No differences with [CPMCONVFUNC].

8.1.5 Core Network Registration

No differences with [CPMCONVFUNC].

8.2 Procedures in the Originating Network

No differences with [CPMCONVFUNC].

8.2.1 CPM Standalone Message Handling

8.2.1.1 Handle a Pager Mode CPM Standalone Message and SIP IMDNs

No differences with [CPMCONVFUNC] after taking into account the use cases and technology choices for RCS that are described in section 4:

As a clarification for RCS:

 If a CPM Standalone Message request is about to be routed through a border element to a network with which no CPM Standalone Message interworking agreement exists, that border element will have to reject the request with one of the error responses described in step 8 A) iii in order to allow for a fallback to other means of delivering the message.

8.2.1.2 Handle a Large Message Mode CPM Standalone Message

Following differences with [CPMCONVFUNC] after taking into account the use cases and technology choices for RCS that are described in section 4:

V12.0 Page 25 of 41

Official Document RCC.11 - Rich Communication Suite Endorsement of OMA CPM 2.2 Conversation Functions

 If a CPM Standalone Message request is about to be routed through a border element to a network with which no CPM Standalone Message interworking agreement exists, that border element will have to reject the request with one of the error responses described in [CPMCONVFUNC] as error responses from the SIP/IP to initiate the interworking to the ISF.

8.2.2 CPM Session Handling

No differences with [CPMCONVFUNC] after taking into account the use cases and technology choices for RCS that are described in section 4.

8.2.2.1 Handle a CPM Session Invitation

No differences with [CPMCONVFUNC] after taking into account the use cases and technology choices for RCS that are described in section 4.

As a clarification for RCS

If a CPM Session request is about to be routed through a border element to a
network with which no CPM Session interworking agreement exists, that border
element will have to reject the request with one of the error responses described in
[CPMCONVFUNC] as error responses from the SIP/IP to initiate the interworking to
the ISF.

8.2.2.2 Handle a Cancel Request

No differences with [CPMCONVFUNC].

8.2.2.3 Handle a SIP BYE Request

No differences with [CPMCONVFUNC] after taking into account the use cases and technology choices for RCS that are described in section 4.

8.2.2.4 SIP Session Timer Expiry

No differences with [CPMCONVFUNC] after taking into account the use cases and technology choices for RCS that are described in section 4.

8.2.2.5 Handle a CPM Session Modification Request

Not Supported for RCS following the use cases and technology choices for RCS that are described in section 4.

8.2.2.6 Handling of Participants Information

No differences with [CPMCONVFUNC].

8.2.2.6.1 Receive Participant Information Notification

No differences with [CPMCONVFUNC] after taking into account the use cases and technology choices for RCS that are described in section 4.

V12.0 Page 26 of 41

Official Document RCC.11 - Rich Communication Suite Endorsement of OMA CPM 2.2 Conversation Functions

8.2.2.7 CPM Group Session Re-join Requests

8.2.2.7.1 General Re-join Handling

Following differences with [CPMCONVFUNC] after taking into account the use cases and technology choices for RCS that are described in section 4:

 If there was already, a SIP INVITE request for the same Group Chat sent to the client, the Participating Function shall handle the situation as described in [PRD-RCC.07].

8.2.2.7.2 CPM Long-lived Group Session

No differences with [CPMCONVFUNC] after taking into account the use cases and technology choices for RCS that are described in section 4:

8.2.2.8 Handling of Failed Deferred Delivery of Chat Messages

No differences with [CPMCONVFUNC].

8.2.2.9 Adding/Removing Participants Request

No differences with [CPMCONVFUNC].

8.2.3 CPM File Transfer Handling

Not applicable for RCS following the use cases and technology choices for RCS that are described in section 4

8.2.4 Sending a Disposition Notification

No differences with [CPMCONVFUNC].

8.2.4.1 Sending a Disposition Notification on behalf of a Served CPM User

Not applicable for RCS following the use cases and technology choices for RCS that are described in section 4.

8.3 Procedures in the Terminating Network

No differences with [CPMCONVFUNC] after taking into account the use cases and technology choices for RCS that are described in section 4.

8.3.1 CPM Standalone Message Handling

8.3.1.1 Handle a Pager Mode CPM Standalone Message and SIP IMDNs

No differences with [CPMCONVFUNC] after taking into account the use cases and technology choices for RCS that are described in section 4.

8.3.1.2 Handle a Large Message Mode CPM Standalone Message

No differences with [CPMCONVFUNC] after taking into account the use cases and technology choices for RCS that are described in section 4.

V12.0 Page 27 of 41

Official Document RCC.11 - Rich Communication Suite Endorsement of OMA CPM 2.2 Conversation Functions

8.3.1.3 Applying delivery policies

No differences with [CPMCONVFUNC].

8.3.1.4 Replacing Media with a Reference

Not applicable for RCS following the use cases and technology choices for RCS that are described in section 4

8.3.1.5 Establish MSRP Session for Receiving Large Message Mode CPM Standalone Message

No differences with [CPMCONVFUNC].

8.3.1.6 Defer CPM Standalone Messages

No differences with [CPMCONVFUNC] after taking into account the use cases and technology choices for RCS that are described in section 4.

8.3.1.6.1 Storing a Deferred CPM Message in the Deferred CPM Message Queue

No differences with [CPMCONVFUNC].

8.3.1.6.2 Pushing Deferred CPM Messages

No differences with [CPMCONVFUNC] after taking into account the use cases and technology choices for RCS that are described in section 4.

8.3.1.6.3 Sending Notifications and Awaiting CPM Client Action

Not applicable for RCS following the use cases and technology choices for RCS that are described in section 4.

8.3.1.6.4 Delivering Deferred CPM Messages to the Message Storage Server

Not applicable for RCS following the use cases and technology choices for RCS that are described in section 4

8.3.1.6.5 Handle a Deferred CPM Message Information Request

Not applicable for RCS following the use cases and technology choices for RCS that are described in section 4.

8.3.1.6.6 Sending a Pager Mode Deferred CPM Message

No differences with [CPMCONVFUNC] after taking into account the use cases and technology choices for RCS that are described in section 4.

8.3.1.6.7 Sending a Large Message Mode Deferred CPM Message

No differences with [CPMCONVFUNC] after taking into account the use cases and technology choices for RCS that are described in section 4.

8.3.1.6.8 Handling Deferred CPM Messages on Expiry Time

No differences with [CPMCONVFUNC] after taking into account the use cases and technology choices for RCS that are described in section 4.

V12.0 Page 28 of 41

Official Document RCC.11 - Rich Communication Suite Endorsement of OMA CPM 2.2 Conversation Functions

8.3.2 CPM Session Handling

No differences with [CPMCONVFUNC] after taking into account the use cases and technology choices for RCS that are described in section 4.

8.3.2.1 Handle a CPM Session Invitation

No differences with [CPMCONVFUNC] after taking into account the use cases and technology choices for RCS that are described in section 4.

8.3.2.1.1 Handling of CPM Session responses from CPM Clients

Following differences for RCS after taking into account the use cases and technology choices for RCS that are described in section 4:

 For RCS when in a Group Session there is an INVITE request for the same Group Chat sent to the Conference Focus, the case shall be handled as described in [PRD-RCC.07].

8.3.2.1.2 MSRP Media Stream with one CPM Client

Not applicable to RCS following the use cases and technology choices for RCS that are described in section 4.

8.3.2.1.3 Multiple MSRP Media Streams

No differences with [CPMCONVFUNC].

8.3.2.2 Handle a Cancel Request

No differences with [CPMCONVFUNC].

8.3.2.3 Handle a SIP BYE Request

No differences with [CPMCONVFUNC] after taking into account the use cases and technology choices for RCS that are described in section 4.

8.3.2.4 SIP Session Timer Expiry

No differences with [CPMCONVFUNC] after taking into account the use cases and technology choices for RCS that are described in section 4.

8.3.2.5 Handle a CPM Session Modification Request

Not supported for RCS following the use cases and technology choices for RCS that are described in section 4.

8.3.2.6 Handling of Participants Information

No differences with [CPMCONVFUNC].

8.3.2.7 Receive Participant Information Notification

No differences with [CPMCONVFUNC].

8.3.2.8 CPM Group Session Re-join Requests

No differences with [CPMCONVFUNC].

V12.0 Page 29 of 41

Official Document RCC.11 - Rich Communication Suite Endorsement of OMA CPM 2.2 Conversation Functions

8.3.2.9 Deferred CPM Session handling

No differences with [CPMCONVFUNC] after taking into account the use cases and technology choices for RCS that are described in section 4.

8.3.2.9.1 Deferred delivery initiated by the CPM Participating Function

8.3.2.9.1.1 The 1-1 CPM Session delivery

No differences with [CPMCONVFUNC] after taking into account the use cases and technology choices for RCS that are described in section 4:

8.3.2.9.1.2 CPM Group Session delivery

No differences with [CPMCONVFUNC].

As a clarification for RCS:

For RCS, the CPM Participating Function may delay the deferred delivery of CPM
Group Session data until after an implementation dependent timeout to avoid the race
condition between this deferred delivery and an automatic rejoin performed by the
client if the user sent messages to the group while the client was offline.

8.3.2.9.1.3 Deferred delivery performed upon CPM Client re-join

No differences with [CPMCONVFUNC].

8.3.2.9.1.4 Deferred delivery race conditions

Not applicable for RCS. In RCS the CPM Participating Function will accept the rejoin and rely on the client handling for managing multiple sessions described in [PRD-RCC.07].

8.3.3 CPM File Transfer Handling

Not applicable for RCS following the use cases and technology choices for RCS that are described in section 4

8.3.4 Sending a Disposition Notification

No differences with [CPMCONVFUNC].

8.3.5 Delivery of Disposition Notifications

No differences with [CPMCONVFUNC] after taking into account the use cases and technology choices for RCS that are described in section 4.

8.3.6 Delivery Policies in Terminating CPM Participating Function

No differences with [CPMCONVFUNC].

8.3.7 Interworking Results Handling in the CPM Participating Function

No differences with [CPMCONVFUNC].

V12.0 Page 30 of 41

Official Document RCC.11 - Rich Communication Suite Endorsement of OMA CPM 2.2 Conversation Functions

8.4 User Preferences

No differences with [CPMCONVFUNC] after taking into account the use cases and technology choices for RCS that are described in section 4.

8.4.1 Retrieving User Preferences

No differences with [CPMCONVFUNC] after taking into account the use cases and technology choices for RCS that are described in section 4.

8.5 Record CPM Conversation History

No differences with [CPMCONVFUNC].

As a clarification for RCS:

 RCS requires that if a "tk=on" or "tk=off" URI parameter is present in the URL, then it shall be included in the folder name.

8.5.1 Record CPM Standalone Message

No differences with [CPMCONVFUNC] after taking into account the use cases and technology choices for RCS that are described in section 4.

8.5.2 Record CPM Session

No differences with [CPMCONVFUNC] after taking into account the use cases and technology choices for RCS that are described in section 4.

8.5.3 Record CPM File Transfer

Not applicable for RCS following the use cases and technology choices for RCS that are described in section 4.

8.5.4 Record Interworked Deliveries

No differences with [CPMCONVFUNC].

8.6 Media Plane Handling

8.6.1 Procedures upon Receiving MSRP

No differences with [CPMCONVFUNC].

8.6.2 Procedures to Send MSRP

No differences with [CPMCONVFUNC].

8.6.3 Recording MSRP for CPM Sessions

No differences with [CPMCONVFUNC] after taking into account the use cases and technology choices for RCS that are described in section 4.

8.7 Termination of all CPM Sessions of a CPM User due to Administrative Trigger

No differences with [CPMCONVFUNC].

V12.0 Page 31 of 41

Official Document RCC.11 - Rich Communication Suite Endorsement of OMA CPM 2.2 Conversation Functions

9 Procedures at CPM Controlling Function

No differences with [CPMCONVFUNC] after taking into account the use cases and technology choices for RCS that are described in section 4.

9.1 CPM Standalone Message Handling

9.1.1 Pager Mode CPM Standalone Message Handling

No differences with [CPMCONVFUNC] after taking into account the use cases and technology choices for RCS that are described in section 4.

9.1.2 Large Message Mode CPM Standalone Message Handling

No differences with [CPMCONVFUNC] after taking into account the use cases and technology choices for RCS that are described in section 4.

9.2 CPM Group Session Handling

The CPM Group Session Identity is the focus Session Identity in RCS.

9.2.1 CPM Group Session Initiation

No differences with [CPMCONVFUNC] after taking into account the use cases and technology choices for RCS that are described in section 4.

9.2.2 Session Cancellation Request

No differences with [CPMCONVFUNC].

9.2.3 Participant Joining a CPM Group Session for a CPM Pre-defined Group

Not applicable for RCS following the use cases and technology choices for RCS that are described in section 4

9.2.4 Rejoining CPM Group Session Request

Following differences with [CPMCONVFUNC] after taking into account the use cases and technology choices for RCS that are described in section 4:

 For RCS the CPM Controlling Function shall also check whether the rejoining user is part of the session already or being invited. If either is the case, the Controlling Function shall handle the situation as described in section 3.2.4.10 of [PRD-RCC.07].

9.2.5 Adding Participants Request

No differences with [CPMCONVFUNC] after taking into account the use cases and technology choices for RCS that are described in section 4.

9.2.6 Removing Participant Request

No differences with [CPMCONVFUNC] after taking into account the use cases and technology choices for RCS that are described in section 4.

V12.0 Page 32 of 41

Official Document RCC.11 - Rich Communication Suite Endorsement of OMA CPM 2.2 Conversation Functions

9.2.7 Session Leaving Request

No differences with [CPMCONVFUNC] after taking into account the use cases and technology choices for RCS that are described in section 4.

9.2.8 CPM Group Session Modification

Not applicable for RCS following the use cases and technology choices for RCS that are described in section 4

9.2.9 Group Session Ending Request

No differences with [CPMCONVFUNC] after taking into account the use cases and technology choices for RCS that are described in section 4.

9.2.10 Create Session with a Participant

No differences with [CPMCONVFUNC] after taking into account the use cases and technology choices for RCS that are described in section 4.

9.2.11 Participant Removing Request

No differences with [CPMCONVFUNC].

9.2.12 Media Plane Handling

No differences with [CPMCONVFUNC].

9.2.12.1 Media Plane Handling for MSRP Sessions

No differences with [CPMCONVFUNC].

9.2.12.2 Media Plane Handling for RTP Sessions

Not applicable for RCS following the use cases and technology choices for RCS that are described in section 4

9.2.13 Pseudonyms in a CPM Group Session

No differences with [CPMCONVFUNC] after taking into account the use cases and technology choices for RCS that are described in section 4.

9.2.14 Participant Information

9.2.14.1 CPM Group Session Participant Information Request

No differences with [CPMCONVFUNC] after taking into account the use cases and technology choices for RCS that are described in section 4.

As a clarification for RCS:

- Some throttling controlled by local server policy should be applied to the notifications sent during the initial and final phases of the group session
- The CPM Controlling Function shall check whether an Accept-Encoding header field set to GZIP is included in the SIP SUBSCRIBE request before step 10. If the header

V12.0 Page 33 of 41

Official Document RCC.11 - Rich Communication Suite Endorsement of OMA CPM 2.2 Conversation Functions

field is included, the CPM Controlling Function may generate and send the bodies of the SIP NOTIFY request using GZIP encoding.

9.2.14.2 Long-lived CPM Group Session

No differences with [CPMCONVFUNC] after taking into account the use cases and technology choices for RCS that are described in section 4.

9.2.14.3 Sending Participant Information Notifications

No differences with [CPMCONVFUNC] after taking into account the use cases and technology choices for RCS that are described in section 4.

As a clarification for RCS:

 The CPM Controlling Function shall check whether an Accept-Encoding header field set to GZIP is included in the SIP SUBSCRIBE request. If the header field is included, the CPM Controlling Function may generate and send the bodies of the SIP NOTIFY request using GZIP encoding.

9.2.14.4 Terminating the Subscription

No differences with [CPMCONVFUNC].

9.2.15 Disposition Notification

No differences with [CPMCONVFUNC] after taking into account the use cases and technology choices for RCS that are described in section 4.

9.3 CPM File Transfer Handling

Not applicable for RCS following the use cases and technology choices for RCS that are described in section 4

APPENDIX A. Change History

Appendix not relevant for RCS: as with the other RCS documents the history table is at the end of the document.

APPENDIX B. Static Conformance Requirements

Appendix not relevant for RCS

APPENDIX C. CPM-Defined Header Fields

C.1. Header Field Definitions

C.1.1. CONVERSATION-ID

No differences with [CPMCONVFUNC].

C.1.2. Contribution-ID

No differences with [CPMCONVFUNC].

V12.0 Page 34 of 41

Official Document RCC.11 - Rich Communication Suite Endorsement of OMA CPM 2.2 Conversation Functions

C.1.3. InReplyTo-Contribution-ID

No differences with [CPMCONVFUNC].

C.1.4. Session-Replaces

No differences with [CPMCONVFUNC].

C.1.5. Message-Expires

No differences with [CPMCONVFUNC].

C.1.6. Message-UID

Not applicable for RCS since after taking into account the use cases and technology choices for RCS that are described in section 4, there is no requirement to include the Message-UID header in any SIP request or response.

C.1.7. Contact Header field values

No differences with [CPMCONVFUNC]:

C.1.8. SDP attributes and values

No differences with [CPMCONVFUNC].

C.1.9. Message-Direction

No differences with [CPMCONVFUNC].

C.1.10. Message-Context

No differences with [CPMCONVFUNC].

C.1.11. Message-Correlator

No differences with [CPMCONVFUNC].

C.1.12. IW-Number

No differences with [CPMCONVFUNC].

C.1.13. CPM namespace for headers extensions

No differences with [CPMCONVFUNC].

C.1.14. Payload-Type

No differences with [CPMCONVFUNC].

C.2. ABNF for the CPM-defined SIP Headers

No differences with [CPMCONVFUNC] after taking into account the use cases and technology choices for RCS that are described in section 4.

V12.0 Page 35 of 41

Official Document RCC.11 - Rich Communication Suite Endorsement of OMA CPM 2.2 Conversation Functions

C.3. ABNF for the CPM extensions to SIP Headers

No differences with [CPMCONVFUNC].

C.4. ABNF for the CPM-defined SDP parameter

No differences with [CPMCONVFUNC].

APPENDIX D. Release Version in User-Agent and Server Headers (Normative)

No differences with [CPMCONVFUNC].

D.1. CPM Version 1.0

Not applicable for RCS

D.2. CPM Version 2.0

No differences with [CPMCONVFUNC].

D.3. CPM Version 2.1

No differences with [CPMCONVFUNC].

D.4. CPM Version 2.2

No differences with [CPMCONVFUNC].

APPENDIX E. Examples of CPM-Based Services

Appendix not relevant for RCS because it is an informative annex.

APPENDIX F. The Parameters to be Provisioned for CPM Service

Appendix is not relevant for RCS. Provisioning parameters are described in [PRD-RCC.07].

APPENDIX G. Interoperability With OMA Simple IM Clients

Appendix not applicable for RCS following the use cases and technology choices for RCS that are described in section 4.

APPENDIX H. CPM Feature Tags

No differences with [CPMCONVFUNC]

H.1. CPM Feature Identifiers

No differences with [CPMCONVFUNC].

H.2. CPM Client Behaviour

No differences with [CPMCONVFUNC].

V12.0 Page 36 of 41

Official Document RCC.11 - Rich Communication Suite Endorsement of OMA CPM 2.2 Conversation Functions

H.3. Proposed Formats for CPM Feature Identifiers

No differences with [CPMCONVFUNC].

H.4. Client CPM-based Service Identification

Not applicable for RCS following the use cases and technology choices for RCS that are described in section 4.

H.5. P-Asserted-Service Header Field

No differences with [CPMCONVFUNC].

APPENDIX I. CPM Notification Formats

I.1. Deferred CPM Message Notification Format

I.1.1. Out-of-band Deferred CPM Message Notification Format

Not applicable for RCS following the use cases and technology choices for RCS that are described in section 4.

I.1.2. In-band Deferred CPM Message Notification Format

Not applicable for RCS following the use cases and technology choices for RCS that are described in section 4.

I.2. Notification Format of Deferred CPM Message After Expiry

Not applicable for RCS following the use cases and technology choices for RCS that are described in section 4.

APPENDIX J. Deferred Messages Event Package Definition

Appendix not relevant for RCS following the use cases and technology choices for RCS that are described in section 4

APPENDIX K. Format Of Notification For Deferred CPM Message After Expiry

Appendix not relevant for RCS following the use cases and technology choices for RCS that are described in section 4

APPENDIX L. Emoticons (Normative)

L.1. List of supported emoticons

No differences with [CPMCONVFUNC].

L.2. Emoticon processing by the CPM Clients

No differences with [CPMCONVFUNC].

V12.0 Page 37 of 41

Official Document RCC.11 - Rich Communication Suite Endorsement of OMA CPM 2.2 Conversation Functions

L.2.1.Emoticons in composed/sent instant messages

No differences with [CPMCONVFUNC].

L.2.2.Emoticons in received/displayed instant messages

No differences with [CPMCONVFUNC].

APPENDIX M. Example of Event Reporting body (Normative)

No differences with [CPMCONVFUNC].

APPENDIX N. Algorithm for Correlating SMS messages with Objects from the CPM Message Store (Normative)

No differences with [CPMCONVFUNC].

N.1. Correlation algorithm

No differences with [CPMCONVFUNC].

As a clarification for RCS:

• In addition, the section 4.1.9 of [PRD-RCC.07] applies.

N.2. Dealing with Collisions

No differences with [CPMCONVFUNC].

As a clarification for RCS:

• In addition, the section 4.1.10 of [PRD-RCC.07] applies.

APPENDIX O. CPM Extensions for IMDN (Normative)

O.1. ABNF Update

No differences with [CPMCONVFUNC].

O.2. The RelaxNG Schema

No differences with [CPMCONVFUNC].

APPENDIX P. The CPM Group Session Data Management (Normative)

P.1. Schema Description

No differences with [CPMCONVFUNC] after taking into account the use cases and technology choices for RCS that are described in section 4.

P.2. CPM Extensions to the Conference Event Package

No differences with [CPMCONVFUNC].

V12.0 Page 38 of 41

Official Document RCC.11 - Rich Communication Suite Endorsement of OMA CPM 2.2 Conversation Functions

Appendix Q. CPM Ad-hoc Group Policy (Normative)

No differences with [CPMCONVFUNC].

Q.1. CPM Controlling Function Policy

No differences with [CPMCONVFUNC].

Q.1.1. Participant Removal Policy

No differences with [CPMCONVFUNC] after taking into account the use cases and technology choices for RCS that are described in section 4.

Q.1.2. CPM User Roles

No differences with [CPMCONVFUNC].

V12.0 Page 39 of 41

GSMA Non-confidential Official Document RCC.11 - Rich Communication Suite Endorsement of OMA CPM 2.2 Conversation Functions

Annex A Document Management

A.1 Document History

Version	Date	Brief Description of Change	Approval Authority	Editor / Company
1.0	13 Aug 2012	First version for RCS 5.1 Based on the document for RCS 5.0 Approved by DAG and PSMC	PSMC	Tom Van Pelt/ GSMA
1.0	26 Sep 2012	Added RCC.11 number		Tom Van Pelt / GSMA
1.0	18 Sep 2013	Converted to Infocentre2 PRD template		Tom Van Pelt / GSMA
2.0	25 Sep 2013	RCS 5.1 Maintenance#2: Include agreed CR1001	RCC TF	Tom Van Pelt / GSMA
3.0	07 May 2014	First version of the document for RCS 5.2: Include approved CR1002	GSG	Tom Van Pelt / GSMA
4.0	28 Feb 2015	First version of the document for RCS 5.3: Include approved CR1003	PSMC	Tom Van Pelt / GSMA
5.0	21 Mar 2016	First version of the document for RCS 6.0: Include approved CR1004	PSMC	Tom Van Pelt / GSMA
6.0	28 Jun 2017	First version of the document for RCS 7.0: Include approved CR1005	TG	Tom Van Pelt / GSMA
7.0	16 May 2018	Include approved CR1006	TG	Tom Van Pelt / GSMA
8.0	06 Dec 2018	Include approved CR1002	TG	Tom Van Pelt / GSMA
9.0	16 Oct 2019	Include approved CR1003	NG	Tom Van Pelt / GSMA
10.0	16 Oct 2020	Include approved CR1004: endorse updated OMA specification	NG	Tom Van Pelt / GSMA
11.0	19 Dec 2022	Include approved CR1005: Align with latest OMA CPM TS	ISAG	Tom Van Pelt / GSMA
12.0	04 June 2024	Include approved CR1012	ISAG	Tom Van Pelt / GSMA

V12.0 Page 40 of 41

GSMA Non-confidential Official Document RCC.11 - Rich Communication Suite Endorsement of OMA CPM 2.2 Conversation

Functions

A.2 Other Information

Туре	Description
Document Owner	RCS Group
Editor / Company	Tom Van Pelt, GSMA

It is our intention to provide a quality product for your use. If you find any errors or omissions, please contact us with your comments. You may notify us at prd@gsma.com

Your comments or suggestions & questions are always welcome.

V12.0 Page 41 of 41