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Introducing the M4D Impact Evaluation Service Model

A means of helping and advising organisations to better use their data
An opportunity & challenge around data in mobile for development

Big Picture – “Big data” touted as game changing in international development, mobile leads the way

- Many refer to the opportunity in “big data” in international development as a means to better serve the interests of underserved populations.

- Solutions in the international development space that use mobile technology are of particular interest because of the volume of data they quickly generate - with over 1800 Mobile for Development (M4D) services now tracked by M4D Impact, this opportunity grows every day.

A Key Barrier for the Industry – Not data access but data use

- Organisations offering mobile products and services that target underserved populations – from Mobile Network Operators to NGOs – all desire to better use data they already have, with business questions in mind to ensure sustainability, social impact, and scale.

- The need for tools and frameworks that allow implementing organisations to make better use of the data they have is striking, with 56% of respondents from a recent M4D survey citing this need as primary.

The data opportunity

"[industry] is realizing the potential for channeling these torrents of data into actionable information that can be used to identify needs & provide services for the benefit of low-income populations"

- World Economic Forum

Major challenge in M4D

What is the greatest barrier to using existing data?**

56%

Are in need of tools and frameworks to make use of the data they have

Source: (*) M4D Impact product and service trackers (**) M4D Impact survey results – see ‘Making the most of data in M4D’ report
Our approach creates direct impact and shares replicable methods

We work with M4D service providers across sectors

Results from our survey show a need across sectors and organisation types to make better use of existing data*

Provide support to tackle barrier of lack of frameworks and tools

A cost effective service supporting M4D service providers to make use of existing data, focusing on tools and approaches that can be reused would prove valuable for a wide range of organisations

Seek replicable approaches across sectors & organisation types

Beginning on a case-by-case basis with given M4D service providers in tackling barriers to data use, we seek to refine replicable frameworks and tools across sectors

Add value to the wider M4D industry across sectors

Share findings with the wider industry – insights and tools that are relevant and applicable across sectors; both directly and indirectly impacting M4D service providers in supporting them overcome barriers to data use

Source: (*) M4D Impact survey results – see ’Making the most of data in M4D’ report

Note on privacy: It is important that the request for data be in line with regulatory market requirements (e.g. customer location data generally cannot be shared without the customer’s consent). For further resources on data privacy go to http://www.gsma.com/publicpolicy/mobile-and-privacy/design-guidelines & http://www.gsma.com/publicpolicy/mobile-and-privacy/mobile-privacy-principles
Disseminating Information & Mobile Intelligence

The opportunity for mobile information dissemination in many developing markets not just about ubiquity, but also intelligence
Limited options to push content & pull insight in low income markets

The standard options for donors/NGOs and others to disseminate information to the bottom of the economic pyramid is limited

- At present, radio, paper media, TV, and mobile phones are the primary channels through which donors/NGOs and others can reach their target audience in lower income markets

- Those disseminating information to a mass audience with the intention of creating positive behavior change find it hard to understand the effect of their communication

Mobile’s potential in terms of data generated is distinctive among the channels

- For markets that are lower down the mobile data adoption curve, living in lower income circumstances means basic handsets are the primary channel of access

- However, even these basic channels offer the chance for much more granular insights from data than the other options available for content dissemination

- There are organisations at a mature stage of development in the space that have a lot of valuable usage data at their disposal allowing us to investigate this potential further

What can mobile providers in this space do to take advantage of their distinctive ‘data potential’?
We evaluated this topic through an NGO that has reached +3 million users over mobile

HNI has reached +3 million mobile subscribers with the 3-2-1 service in Madagascar since 2010

HNI, a US-based NGO offers public service information via Airtel 3-2-1 on a range of topics such as health, agriculture, and gender in the local language via mobile phone:

- Working with content providers (i.e. NGOs and donors) who are trying to reach a mass audience, HNI have designed a model to convene content generation committees, making content mobile ready.

The mobile phone represents the most cost-effective way to reach the population at large. Multi-channel access value added services (VAS), e.g. IVR + SMS + USSD allow efficient targeting.

- The partnership with a mobile network operator (MNO) enables reaching millions of individuals.
HNI’s mobile service leverages IVR, USSD and SMS

Users can access the service via different channels, obtaining varying access to content, mainly for free

The IVR channel offers access to the richest range of content, and is also best suited to users with lower literacy levels, yet allows only 4 free calls per month. Other channels have less content, but allow unlimited free access. Access to all channels is significantly subsidized by the MNO.

- **IVR**
  - Access by simply dialing 3-2-1

- **USSD**
  - Access by dialing *3-2-1#*

- **SMS**
  - Access by keywords
  - Access via SIM (STK) application*

---

### 3 Channels

- **IVR**
  - I prefer to call because it is easier for me to follow the instructions — User Interview

- **USSD**

- **SMS**

### With different features

- Access to all 8 topics: Gender (launched in Oct. 2014), health, family planning, microfinance, agriculture, water & sanitation & land tenure
- **First 4 calls are free of charge** (increasing to 8 in 2015), then charged at 200 Ar (reduced to 100 Ar starting in 2015)
- Menus: gender (Oct. 2014), health, agriculture, water & sanitation
- **Unlimited access, free of charge**
- Menus (keyword access): gender, health, agriculture, water & sanitation
- Menus (STK access): health, agriculture
- **Unlimited access, free of charge**

### Across 7 topic areas

- Gender
- Health
- Family Planning
- Micro Finance
- Agriculture
- Water & Sanitation
- Land Tenure

---

* STK — stands for ‘Sim Toolkit Application’

| Access to agriculture topic depends on the version of the SIM, as Airtel has changed the STK application over time due to size constraint |
| Emergency (launched in Dec. 2014) has been excluded from analysis in this report which covers a period of data pre Dec 2014 |
HNI asked M4D Impact to investigate 4 key questions

- **Quality Usage**: How many users are really engaged, what should we measure?
- **Cost Structure**: What is our customer's willingness to pay? Is a B2C play viable?
- **Effective Information**: What content is receiving the most listenership and user engagement?
- **Knowing our Customers**: How can we better distinguish male/female users on the system?
We answered, based on analytics and customer insights*

The IVR home menu is the biggest barrier in the customer’s call journey to quality use

A good story for the customer base is that ~50% are listening to messages in full

Data casts doubt on user willingness to pay, so a B2C model not advisable

Yet the value of existing user data could create foundation for strong B2B offering

Information targeted at women (gender content) most popular over IVR, prior to this health content was most popular

Ability to isolate most/least effective messages at granular level showcased

Significant challenges disaggregating inconsistent data collected from users where they self ascribe male/female

Leading hypothesis is ‘user curiosity’ is driving inconsistent patterns

(*) Note: we used the IVR channels as the basis for analysis as this was the richest form of data to analyse
Insights from the Customer Journey Toward Engagement

Details of the customer journey model used, and the findings it unearthed to investigate key questions asked
A basic customer journey is mapped across 5 categories

We formulated a customer journey similar to those already used by the GSMA

We have data for later stages of the journey across channels for the 3-2-1 service

A key metric considered is ‘engagement’ – i.e. whether a user listens to a full message

The GSMA has used a similar model of the customer journey to analyse the quality of user bases across different service types including mobile agriculture information services, mobile money services, and mobile insurance products. In each case we map the progression of customers from a state of low awareness to quality (or engaged) use of the mobile service.

Awareness and earlier stages of the journey are harder to quantify using available data. We will focus on understanding different kinds of use later on in the customer journey, from ‘cursory use’ onwards.

A key metric considered is ‘engagement’ – i.e. whether a user listens to a full message.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Non-Aware</th>
<th>Aware</th>
<th>Cursory</th>
<th>Occasional</th>
<th>Repeat</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Users have potential to access the 3-2-1 service but are not aware of the service</td>
<td>Users have become aware of the 3-2-1 service</td>
<td>Users have not accessed a single message, they have only had a superficial interaction with the service</td>
<td>Occasional users have called less than 5 times over the period and have accessed at least one message</td>
<td>Repeat users have called 5 times or more over the period and have accessed at least one message</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(*) we chose 75% as the most appropriate lower bound to isolate users who had listened to messages in full by investigating listening patterns across a range of users.
IVR home menu is key barrier, while 50% of callers engaged overall*

We analyzed where users exit, and engagement across the customer stages later in the journey.

- **Drop Outs**: 83.8%
  - Of cursory users exit at home menu

- **Total users evaluated**: ~360k

- **OVERALL ENGAGEMENT**: 50% of the user base are engaged overall
  - 49.7% Engaged
  - 50.3% Non-Engaged
  - 14.8% Repeat
  - 34.9% Occasional
  - 39.5% Cursory
  - 45.2% Non-Aware

- *See more in depth analysis in full report*

- **TOTAL IVR user base (over period)**: 49.7% Engaged, 50.3% Non-Engaged

- **Highlights**: need to look at home menu in further detail

*This is a positive story – how can the engagement ratio be further improved?*
Message to user ratios further highlights value of repeat users

We analysed the number of messages listened to in full versus the total number of users in the category.

For every repeat user, 3.8 messages are listened to in full, versus 1.5 for occasional use.

Demonstrates that repeat users are not only more frequent, but also more valuable users.
Investigating direct/indirect revenue from 3-2-1 users is key for HNI and MNOs

Direct Revenue (B2C) doesn’t seem to be a good option for a sustainable model

- Customer willingness to pay was evidenced in some user interviews – results from customer interviews showed a likelihood to pay when the user has a clear need (e.g., pertinent health info) vs. mere curiosity - but this was not reflected in the data

User Testimonials:

"200 Ar is very reasonable"

“I can afford it"

- But evidence from data analytics does not show a similar story

Indirect revenue or benefit for the MNO is something that should be further investigated

- Existing aggregated data can be used to show the average churn rate & ARPU of 3-2-1 users

- But to make the case more compelling this can be investigated across customer segments (since the difference between segments may be of interest to operators)

User Testimonials:

Only 9,177 repeat users have had a paying usage

45% of paid calls made by repeat users end at the home menu - high number of users hanging up potentially for fear of being charged

19.5% of paying users are occasional users not listening to a single message in full

These values not yet calculated, but could be with more granular data

Exploring a B2B sustainability model likely better

Cost Structure

Are higher value segments also higher value MNO subscribers, e.g.,
Better ARPU? Better Churn?

Cursory

Occasional

Repeat

This kind of analysis should be explored with MNO
Access and engagement trends help assess content area efficacy

Using data analytics we can...

1. assess which areas gain the most hits – initial demand
2. assess the ‘pass through’ rates - attrition
3. assess the overall level of engagement\(^1\) – ‘real access’ to information

\(^1\) i.e. >75% listening ratio
Gender content has generated highest usage*

46.8% of all IVR content accessed is gender content

87% Is the highest conversion ratio to message listening (for Micro Finance)

64% Is the lowest conversion ratio to message listening (family planning, water sanitation)

These trends can be mapped against implementation actions relating to content areas

* See more in depth analysis in full report, note this analysis done for period 01/10/14 – 31/11/14
We can go deeper, investigating hits & engagement at message level

Here we examine the ‘gender content’ IVR tree – where yellow nodes are submenus & green bubbles represent the final message.

The lowest hit messages (purple) are at the same level as the highest hits (blue) in the gender menu (Gender home menu → 2 sub menus → message) which suggests that message location is not a factor in access.

We can also compare each message’s performance based on engagement levels. Here we highlight the highest performing message in green outline (the lowest in red).

This IVR tree mapping could be used as a dashboard to show the efficacy of messages at a granular level, to help assess the impact and refine content.

Key:
- Most hits
- Lowest hits
- High quality engagement messages
- Low quality engagement messages
Determining whether users are male or female proves challenging.

Capturing data on male/female demographics is important for the service, but a problem occurred:

- To understand whether or not the gender content has an impact on a female audience, it is critical to have basic user demographics, yet this can be notoriously hard to obtain.
- To capture this data, HNI inserted a question as users entered the IVR gender content area that asked users for their gender.

Both analytics and user interviews remain inconclusive:

- Early hypotheses were that device sharing created the inconsistency.
- User interviews suggested that mainly curiosity and some phone sharing drives the inconsistency.

We used two approaches to investigate the issue:

- The first involved data analytics to see if we could disaggregate the 20%, the second involved user interviews to explore possible hypothesis for the choice of both M/F answers.

20% of users select inconsistently.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Press 1: For male</th>
<th>Press 2: For female</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>20.1% Of users in the gender section* have answered both M/F</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>But most have answered M/F in equal measure</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>53.4% of users answered once only</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(*) having answered at least once to the gender question, over a 2 month period.

Examples of user responses:

- I pick the female and my sister picks the male so we can hear the difference.
- I wanted to learn about the differences between the rights of men and women.
- This hypothesis needs further investigation through user research.
Recommendations – a quick view

- **Quality Usage**
  - Cursory stage is the main barrier in the customer journey
  - Investigate IVR exits at home menu using rigorous user testing and change accordingly

- **Cost Structure**
  - Data casts doubt on user willingness to pay
  - Focus on a B2B model, looking at the best revenue models given the assets owned, particularly existing data

- **Effective Information**
  - Information in gender content area most popular over IVR
  - Use the gender content analysis dashboard as an example to monetize data you have, test B2B offer to existing partners

- **Knowing our Customers**
  - Dis-aggregating data collected on male/female users is a greater challenge than anticipated
  - Further user testing is key – e.g. try changing tone, or providing an explanation
Headline Recommendation – use data to create a B2B model

Document and analyse customer content engagement in line with interests of content partners

**Higher subscriber content engagement** is little understood at present, but there is huge potential to refine and adapt content areas based on data analytics, which can also be offered as a monetised value added service to content partners.

**Data in IVR channel alone** demonstrates the potential to gain deep insights into user behaviour with respect to content engagement. These insights add significantly more detail, and are based on much larger sample sizes (i.e. entire populations!) than these organisations would otherwise have access to.

By **benchmarking against the costs such organisations may incur in conducting M&E** on ‘communication outreach work’ of this nature, HNI can start to build a business case for the sustainability of the 3-2-1 service, considering whether this monetisation channel would be able to support their operations, which largely represent fixed costs in nature.

- **Recurring revenue model**
- **Chance to layer extra services**

note: if engagements with these (B2B) content generating clients are on-going, this analytics model has the advantage of a **recurring revenue model** (e.g., monthly reports, based on a subscription fee)

note: there is potential to build **further expertise** (that is monetisable in a similar way) to help clients refine their existing content to improve engagement metrics

We show how existing IVR data can be used to create granular insights – test value with funders

The slide below outlines analysis of content in the gender section, this could form the basis of a report that is passed back to content area funders, and is – in some form or another – monetised in the future.

---

**IVR data monetisable proposition**

Data analysis

push content to masses

gain insight

---

**A B2B value proposition to content area funders**

Create a replicable approach to producing content dashboards to content funders so they can track the performance and make improvements to the content areas.

**Key**

- Most hits
- Lowest hits
- High-quality engagement messages
- Low-quality engagement messages
- In-depth user insights

**Gender Content Area**

- Analysis time period:
Takeaways for the Wider Industry
Themes and ideas that emerged from this case study useful for a large range of M4D players
NGOs have data too, and can use it to create a sustainable way forward

‘Doing more with your data’ is a theme that applies across the board

- There is a lot of discussion around getting access to more sensitive mobile network data, and the value this could provide for outcomes that further international development agendas

- While that may be true, we show here the value of data that a NGO already has, without the need to engage an operator for 'hard to get' data sets – this once again shows the importance of focusing on the ‘use cases’ for data and analytics, rather than ‘access’ to data alone

Differences between data collected by non-profit M4D vendors (NGOs) and for-profit vendors not as stark as expected

Key Takeaway

Organisations from NGOs to MNOs can focus on doing more with the data they already have

This type of investment can produce quick returns for these organisations in informing their future direction, as demonstrated through the findings here

"[industry] is realizing the potential for channeling these torrents of data into actionable information that can be used to identify needs & provide services for the benefit of low-income populations”

– World Economic Forum

Source: (*) M4D Impact survey results – see ‘Making the most of data in M4D’ report
Replication depends more on delivery channel and service model

Replication of this analysis depends more on horizontal features of services than a given sector

• The service examined here is based on an interactive content model (user pulls content based on specific requests), it also operates over basic channels IVR, SMS, and USSD – this description could describe hundreds of other existing services across sectors (in fact, we see in this case how the content covers multiple sectors from Agriculture, Microfinance, Gender, etc.)

• This means the analysis can be replicated by a wide number of players, and recycled for a wide range of purposes

• This analysis works for data captured over basic handsets and as smarter handsets are on the horizon, these analyses only get richer with more sophisticated datasets to work with

Significant numbers of M4D services are using content delivery models

>1800 M4D services tracked*

Many based on push content or interactive content models**

Source: (*) M4D Impact product and service trackers (**) M4D Impact analysis, see ‘Scaling Mobile for development’ report

Key Takeaway
these analysis frameworks and findings can be re-used and recycled by a wide number of players

The analysis can be built upon as users ascend the handset/data use curve
Data analytics has its limits, user research must investigate the ‘why’

Ensure data analytics are combined with qualitative methods that explore phenomenon from the user’s perspective

- We can clarify where issues persist using data, but the ‘why’ is hard to determine from data alone.
- In the instance of trying to disaggregate data on male/female responses we only start to scratch the surface when seeing the 'curiosity' issue – more must be done to investigate and explore user attitudes, beliefs and motivations to understand why certain phenomenon exist in the data.
- Exciting opportunities lie in this area, to combine insights from data analytics and user research (e.g. design based methods) that create the most powerful ‘user-centric’ approaches coupled with the data driven insights to successfully navigate businesses.

Example of layering user stories over data analytics model for greater insight

Key Takeaway

don’t stop at data analytics, the combination with in depth user research will yield the most powerful insights to design successful M4D business models
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